Evaluation Scoring Sheet RFP No. 080-2400407-AA	Attachment E
Score: 500 points maximum	
Respondent: <u>SUVEY</u> - MILLER Evaluator #: / Date: 6	23/23
CRITERIA	Total Points
I. <u>Technical Proposal Summary Statement</u>	<u>150 max.</u>
	135
II. <u>Value Added Enhancements</u>	<u>125 max.</u>
	115
III. <u>Schedule</u>	<u>100 max.</u>
	/00
IV. Key Personnel and Subcontractors	<u>75 max.</u>
	50
V. <u>Degree of Compliance with the County Model Contract</u>	<u>50 max.</u>
	50
Respondent Total:	450
Maximum Points Possible:	500

Evaluation Scoring Sheet RFP No. 080-2400407-AA	Attachment E
Score: 500 points maximum	
Respondent: 0+1NO CONSTRUCTON Evaluator #: 1 Date: 6	123/23
CRITERIA	Total Points
I. <u>Technical Proposal Summary Statement</u>	<u>150 max.</u>
	140
II. <u>Value Added Enhancements</u>	<u>125 max.</u>
	125
III. <u>Schedule</u>	<u>100 max.</u>
0	100
IV. <u>Key Personnel and Subcontractors</u>	<u>75 max.</u> <u>15</u>
V. <u>Degree of Compliance with the County Model Contract</u>	<u>50 max.</u> 50
Respondent Total:	490
Maximum Points Possible:	500

3

Evaluation Scoring Sheet RFP NO. 080-2400407-AA	Attachment E
Score: 500 points maximum	
Respondent: Date: Date:	
CRITERIA	Total Points
I. <u>Technical Proposal Summary Statement</u>	<u>150 max.</u>
	135
II. Value Added Enhancements	<u>125 max.</u>
	110
III. <u>Schedule</u>	<u>100 max.</u>
	80
IV. <u>Key Personnel and Subcontractors</u>	<u>75 max.</u> 66
V. <u>Degree of Compliance with the County Model Contract</u>	<u>50 max.</u>
Respondent Total:	440
Maximum Points Possible:	500

Evaluation Scoring Sheet RFP No. 080-2400407-AA	Attachment E
Score: 500 points maximum	
Respondent: <u>AHXO CONST.</u> Evaluator #: <u>2</u> Date: <u>6</u>	6.26.23
CRITERIA	Total Points
I. <u>Technical Proposal Summary Statement</u>	<u>150 max.</u>
	145
II. Value Added Enhancements	<u>125 max.</u>
	120
III. <u>Schedule</u>	<u>100 max.</u>
	90
IV. Key Personnel and Subcontractors	<u>75 max.</u>
	70
V. Degree of Compliance with the County Model Contract	<u>50 max.</u>
	50
Respondent Total:	415
Maximum Points Possible:	500

Evaluation Scoring Sheet RFP No. 080-2400407-AA	Attachment E
Score: 500 points maximum	
Respondent: <u>DhNO/RJM</u> Evaluator #: <u>3</u> Date: <u>b/</u>	27/23
CRITERIA	Total Points
I. <u>Technical Proposal Summary Statement</u>	<u>150 max.</u>
	150
II. Value Added Enhancements	<u>125 max.</u>
	125
III. <u>Schedule</u>	<u>100 max.</u>
	100
IV. Key Personnel and Subcontractors	<u>75 max.</u>
	65
V. Degree of Compliance with the County Model Contract	<u>50 max.</u>
Respondent Total:	490
Maximum Points Possible:	500

Evaluation Scoring Sheet RFP No. 080-2400407-AA Score: 500 points maximum	Attachment E
Respondent: <u>Sully</u> - Miller Evaluator #: <u>3</u> Date: <u>b/2</u>	27/23
CRITERIA	Total Points
I. <u>Technical Proposal Summary Statement</u>	<u>150 max.</u>
	140
II. <u>Value Added Enhancements</u>	<u>125 max.</u>
	115
III. <u>Schedule</u>	<u>100 max.</u>
	100
IV. Key Personnel and Subcontractors	75 max.
	=0.
V. Degree of Compliance with the County Model Contract	<u>50 max.</u>
	07
Respondent Total:	475
Maximum Points Possible:	500

٢

Evaluation Scoring Sheet RFP No. 080-2400407-AA	Attachment E
Score: 500 points maximum	
Respondent: <u>Sully-Miller</u> Evaluator #: <u>4</u> Date: <u>4</u>	127/23
CRITERIA	Total Points
I. <u>Technical Proposal Summary Statement</u>	<u>150 max.</u>
	135
II. <u>Value Added Enhancements</u>	<u>125 max.</u>
-	120
III. <u>Schedule</u>	<u>100 max.</u>
	100
IV. Key Personnel and Subcontractors	75 max.
	65
V. Degree of Compliance with the County Model Contract	<u>50 max.</u>
	SU
Respondent Total:	470
Maximum Points Possible:	500

	Evaluation Scoring Sheet RFP No. 080-2400407-AA		Attachment E
	Score: 500 points maximum		
Respondent: <u>Ohno</u>	Evaluator #:	Date: 6	27 23
	CRITERIA		Total Points
I. <u>Technical Proposal Summary</u>	<u>Statement</u>		<u>150 max.</u>
			145
II. <u>Value Added Enhancements</u>			<u>125 max.</u>
			125
III. <u>Schedule</u>			<u>100 max.</u>
			199
IV. Key Personnel and Subcontr	actors		<u>75 max.</u>
			75
V. Degree of Compliance with th	e County Model Contract		<u>50 max.</u>
			50
		ondent Total:	495
	Maximum Po	ints Possible:	500

Evaluation Scoring Sheet RFP No. 080-2400407-AA Score: 500 points maximum	Attachment E
Respondent: 0HNO Evaluator #: 5 Date: 6	127/23
CRITERIA	Total Points
I. <u>Technical Proposal Summary Statement</u>	<u>150 max.</u> <u>//40</u>
II. <u>Value Added Enhancements</u>	<u>125 max.</u> 120
III. <u>Schedule</u>	<u>100 max.</u> 90
IV. <u>Key Personnel and Subcontractors</u>	<u>75 max.</u> <u>70</u>
V. <u>Degree of Compliance with the County Model Contract</u>	<u>50 max.</u> <u>50</u>
Respondent Total:	470
Maximum Points Possible:	500

NMG?

Evaluation Scoring Sheet RFP No. 080-2400407-AA Score: 500 points maximum Respondent: SULT MUEL Evaluator #: 5 Date: 6	Attachment E
CRITERIA	Total Points
I. <u>Technical Proposal Summary Statement</u>	<u>150 max.</u>
	150
II. <u>Value Added Enhancements</u>	<u>125 max.</u>
	125
III. <u>Schedule</u>	<u>100 max.</u>
	96
IV. Key Personnel and Subcontractors	<u>75 max.</u>
	70
V. Degree of Compliance with the County Model Contract	<u>50 max.</u>
	50
Respondent Total:	485
Maximum Points Possible:	500

Interview Evaluation Scoring Sheet RFP No. 080-2400407-AA	Attachment E
Score: 400 points maximum	
Respondent: Evaluator #: Dat	e: 7/13/23
QUESTIONS	Total Points
1. What is the largest cost saving effort have you identified for this project? And why?	<u>100 max.</u>
wity !	95
2. What Element of Design have you incorporated to reduce the ongoing maintenance operations or extend the useful life of these improvements?	<u>100 max.</u>
	00
3. The ground water at this site is very high (approximately 3ft), knowing that the water table depth may influence overall design, what actions would you take to	<u>100 max.</u>
confront the ground water issues?	100
4. What is your experience working with SCE and how have issue been resolved? How has budget and schedule been impacted by your solutions?	<u>75 max.</u>
The stanger and series and seen impacted by your bolaions.	-15
5. Pop Question –	<u>25 max.</u>
Drawing from past experiences, what challenges do you foresee with this project and what actions would you take to mitigate those challenges?	25
Respondent Total:	395
Maximum Points Possible:	400

Interview Evaluation Scoring Sheet RFP No. 080-2400407-AA

Attachment E	
--------------	--

Score:	400	points	maximum

. .

Respondent: <u>SULLEY-MILLEE</u> Evaluator #: 1 Date: 7/13/23

QUESTIONS	Total Points
1. What is the largest cost saving effort have you identified for this project? And why?	<u>100 max.</u>
2. What Element of Design have you incorporated to reduce the ongoing maintenance operations or extend the useful life of these improvements?	<u>100 max.</u>
	-/0
3. The ground water at this site is very high (approximately 3ft), knowing that the water table depth may influence overall design, what actions would you take to confront the ground water issues?	<u>100 max.</u> 70
4. What is your experience working with SCE and how have issue been resolved? How has budget and schedule been impacted by your solutions?	<u>75 max.</u> 65
5. Pop Question –	<u>25 max.</u>
Drawing from past experiences, what challenges do you foresee with this project and what actions would you take to mitigate those challenges?	20
Respondent Total:	300
Maximum Points Possible:	400

Interview Evaluation Scoring Sheet RFP No. 080-2400407-AA	Attachment E
Score: 400 points maximum	
Respondent: <u>24410 Construction</u> Evaluator #: <u>2</u> Date	e: <u>7.13.23</u>
QUESTIONS	Total Points
1. What is the largest cost saving effort have you identified for this project? And	<u>100 max.</u>
why?	96
2. What Element of Design have you incorporated to reduce the ongoing maintenance operations or extend the useful life of these improvements?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>95</u>
3. The ground water at this site is very high (approximately 3ft), knowing that the water table depth may influence overall design, what actions would you take to confront the ground water issues?	<u>100 max.</u> _/00
4. What is your experience working with SCE and how have issue been resolved? How has budget and schedule been impacted by your solutions?	<u>75 max.</u> 76
5. Pop Question – Drawing from past experiences, what challenges do you foresee with this project and what actions would you take to mitigate those challenges?	<u>25 max.</u> 26
Respondent Total:	390
Maximum Points Possible:	400

1.75

Interview Evaluation Scoring Sheet RFP No. 080-2400407-AA	Attachment E
Score: 400 points maximum	
Respondent: <u>SIUH-Mille</u> Evaluator #: <u>Data</u>	e: 7.13.22
QUESTIONS	Total Points
1. What is the largest cost saving effort have you identified for this project? And why?	<u>100 max.</u>
	-15
2. What Element of Design have you incorporated to reduce the ongoing maintenance operations or extend the useful life of these improvements?	<u>100 max.</u> 65
3. The ground water at this site is very high (approximately 3ft), knowing that the water table depth may influence overall design, what actions would you take to confront the ground water issues?	<u>100 max.</u> 66
4. What is your experience working with SCE and how have issue been resolved? How has budget and schedule been impacted by your solutions?	<u>75 max.</u> 60
5. Pop Question – Drawing from past experiences, what challenges do you foresee with this project and what actions would you take to mitigate those challenges?	<u>25 max.</u> 2-0
Respondent Total:	285
Maximum Points Possible:	400

Interview Evaluation Scoring Sheet RFP No. 080-2400407-AA	Attachment E
Score: 400 points maximum	
Respondent: 04 No Evaluator #: 3 Dat	te: 7 13 23
QUESTIONS	Total Points
1. What is the largest cost saving effort have you identified for this project? And why?	<u>100 max.</u> 95
2. What Element of Design have you incorporated to reduce the ongoing maintenance operations or extend the useful life of these improvements?	<u>100 max.</u> [DD
3. The ground water at this site is very high (approximately 3ft), knowing that the water table depth may influence overall design, what actions would you take to confront the ground water issues?	<u>100 max.</u> 100
4. What is your experience working with SCE and how have issue been resolved? How has budget and schedule been impacted by your solutions?	<u>75 max.</u> 7 <i>S</i>
5. Pop Question – Drawing from past experiences, what challenges do you foresee with this project and what actions would you take to mitigate those challenges?	<u>25 max.</u> 25
Respondent Total:	395
Maximum Points Possible:	400

Interview Evaluation Scoring Sheet RFP No. 080-2400407-AA	Attachment E
Score: 400 points maximum	
Respondent: Evaluator #: Dat	e: 7/13/23
QUESTIONS	Total Points
 What is the largest cost saving effort have you identified for this project? And why? 	<u>100 max.</u> 75
2. What Element of Design have you incorporated to reduce the ongoing maintenance operations or extend the useful life of these improvements?	<u>100 max.</u> 65
3. The ground water at this site is very high (approximately 3ft), knowing that the water table depth may influence overall design, what actions would you take to confront the ground water issues?	<u>100 max.</u> 70
4. What is your experience working with SCE and how have issue been resolved? How has budget and schedule been impacted by your solutions?	<u>75 max.</u> 6 S
5. Pop Question – Drawing from past experiences, what challenges do you foresee with this project and what actions would you take to mitigate those challenges?	<u>25 max.</u> 20
Respondent Total:	295
Maximum Points Possible:	400

Interview Evaluation Scoring Sheet RFP No. 080-2400407-AA	Attachment E
Score: 400 points maximum	
Respondent: the Construction Evaluator #: 4 Dat	e: 7/13/23
QUESTIONS	Total Points
1. What is the largest cost saving effort have you identified for this project? And why?	<u>100 max.</u>
2. What Element of Design have you incorporated to reduce the ongoing maintenance operations or extend the useful life of these improvements?	<u>100 max.</u>
3. The ground water at this site is very high (approximately 3ft), knowing that the water table depth may influence overall design, what actions would you take to confront the ground water issues?	<u>100 max.</u> 100
4. What is your experience working with SCE and how have issue been resolved? How has budget and schedule been impacted by your solutions?	<u>75 max.</u> 75
5. Pop Question – Drawing from past experiences, what challenges do you foresee with this project and what actions would you take to mitigate those challenges?	<u>25 max.</u> 2.5
Respondent Total:	400
Maximum Points Possible:	400

Interview Evaluation Scoring Sheet RFP No. 080-2400407-AA	Attachment E
Score: 400 points maximum	
Respondent: <u>Solly-Miller</u> Evaluator #: <u>4</u> Dat	e: 7/13/23
QUESTIONS	Total Points
1. What is the largest cost saving effort have you identified for this project? And why?	<u>100 max.</u>
	75
2. What Element of Design have you incorporated to reduce the ongoing maintenance operations or extend the useful life of these improvements?	<u>100 max.</u>
	75
3. The ground water at this site is very high (approximately 3ft), knowing that the water table depth may influence overall design, what actions would you take to	<u>100 max.</u>
confront the ground water issues?	
4. What is your experience working with SCE and how have issue been resolved? How has budget and schedule been impacted by your solutions?	<u>75 max.</u>
	60
5. Pop Question –	<u>25 max.</u>
Drawing from past experiences, what challenges do you foresee with this project and what actions would you take to mitigate those challenges?	20
Respondent Total:	305
Maximum Points Possible:	400

10.80

Interview Evaluation Scoring Sheet RFP No. 080-2400407-AA

Attac	hme	ent	E

Score:	400	points	maximum	

Respondent: 04710

3 S - 2

Evaluator #:____

5 Date: 7/13/23

	QUESTIONS	Total Points
1.	What is the largest cost saving effort have you identified for this project? And why?	<u>100 max.</u> 10 0
2.	What Element of Design have you incorporated to reduce the ongoing maintenance operations or extend the useful life of these improvements?	<u>100 max.</u>
3.	The ground water at this site is very high (approximately 3ft), knowing that the water table depth may influence overall design, what actions would you take to confront the ground water issues?	<u>100 max.</u>
4.	What is your experience working with SCE and how have issue been resolved? How has budget and schedule been impacted by your solutions?	<u>75 max.</u> 75
5.	Pop Question – Drawing from past experiences, what challenges do you foresee with this project and what actions would you take to mitigate those challenges?	<u>25 max.</u> 25
	Respondent Total:	400
	Maximum Points Possible:	400

Interview Evaluation Scoring Sheet RFP No. 080-2400407-AA	Attachment E
Score: 400 points maximum	
Respondent: <u>SMLY-MILLER</u> Evaluator #: <u>5</u> Dat	e: 7/13/23
QUESTIONS	Total Points
1. What is the largest cost saving effort have you identified for this project? And	<u>100 max.</u>
why?	70
2. What Element of Design have you incorporated to reduce the ongoing maintenance operations or extend the useful life of these improvements?	<u>100 max.</u> 15
3. The ground water at this site is very high (approximately 3ft), knowing that the water table depth may influence overall design, what actions would you take to confront the ground water issues?	<u>100 max.</u> 70
4. What is your experience working with SCE and how have issue been resolved? How has budget and schedule been impacted by your solutions?	<u>75 max.</u> 65
5. Pop Question – Drawing from past experiences, what challenges do you foresee with this project and what actions would you take to mitigate those challenges?	<u>25 max.</u> 20
Respondent Total:	360
Maximum Points Possible:	400