RFP: Youth Alcohol and Other Drug Prevention Services Individual Scoring Summary

R	ID		ED	NΙΛ	ME:	. (C Γ	
	ı	שו				. •	UL	_

	E 1	E2	E3		
Section A - Experience and Qualifications					
Maximum Points Available 30					
Question 1	3.5	3.5	3.5		
Question 2	3.5	3.5	5		
Question 3	3.5	3.5	3.5		
Total	10.5	10.5	12		
Average Score	3.5	3.5	4		
Points Awarded	21.00	21.00	24.00		

Maximum Points shown are for sample purposes only. Maximum points for each category will be customized accordingly.

Section B - Services			
Maximum Points Available 30			
Question 1	3.5	3.5	3.5
Question 2	2	2	3.5
Question 3	3.5	2	3.5
Total	9.00	7.50	10.50
Average Score	3.00	2.50	3.50
Points Awarded	18.00	15.00	21.00

= Unacceptable 0

1 = Poor

= Below Average 2

3.5 = Average 4 = Above Average

= Excellent

Section C - Partnership Letters			
Maximum Points Available 10			
Question 1	3.5	3.5	3.5
Total	3.50	3.50	3.50
Average Score	3.50	3.50	3.50
Points Awarded	7.00	7.00	7.00

Section D - Staffing Maximum Points Available: 10			
Question 1	3.5	3.5	4
Question 2	2	3.5	3.5
Question 3	3.5	2	3.5
Question 4	5	4	4
Question 5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Question 6	3.5	3.5	3.5
Question 7	3.5	3.5	3.5
Total	24.50	23.50	25.50
Average Score	3.50	3.36	3.64
Points Awarded	7.00	6.70	7.30

Section E - Facility			
Maximum Points Available 0			
Question 1	N/A		
Question 2			
Question 3			
Total	0	0	0
Average Score	0	0	0
Points Awarded	0.00	0.00	0.00

Section F - Budget			
Maximum Points Available 10			
Question 1	3.5	4	3.5
Question 2	1	1	1
Question 3	3.5	3.5	3.5
Question 4	3.5	3.5	3.5
Question 5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Question 6	3.5	3.5	3.5
Total	18.5	19	18.5
Average Score	3.08	3.17	3.08
Points Awarded	8.60	8.90	8.60

Section G - Bidder Presentation Maximum Points Available 10

1 of 8

DocuSign Envelope ID: C7897AB6-4077-424F-BD45-23C	B/08A0E11		
Question	4	4	4
Question 2	5	4	4
Question 3	3.5	3.5	4
Total	12.5	11.5	12
Average Score	4.17	3.83	4
Points Awarded	8.34	7.66	8.00

Total Points Awarded per Evaluator 69.94 66.26 75.90

Total Combined Points Awarded by

all Evaluators 212.10

Average of All Points Awards -

Final Proposal Score 70.70

RFP: Youth Alcohol and Other Drug Prevention Services

Individual Scoring Summary

ı	BIDDER	NAMF-	Partners	for V	Vellness
	JIDDEIN		i aitiicis	I OI V	V CIII ICOO

	E1	E2	E 3			
Section A - Experience and Qualifications						
Maximum Points Available 30						
Question 1	3.5	5	3.5			
Question 2	4	3.5	3.5			
Question 3	4	5	3.5			
Total	11.5	13.5	10.5			
Average Score	3.83	4.5	3.5			
Points Awarded	22.98	27.00	21.00			

Maximum Points shown are for sample purposes only. Maximum points for each category will be customized accordingly.

Section B - Services			
Maximum Points Available 30			
Question 1	3.5	4	3.5
Question 2	4	4	4
Question 3	3.5	3.5	3.5
Total	11.00	11.50	11.00
Average Score	3.67	3.83	3.67
Points Awarded	22.02	22.98	22.02

0 = Unacceptable

= Poor 1

= Below Average 2

3.5 = Average 4 = Above Average

= Excellent 5

Section C - Partnership Letters			
Maximum Points Available 10			
Question 1	3.5	4	3.5
Total	3.50	4.00	3.50
Average Score	3.50	4.00	3.50
Points Awarded	7.00	8.00	7.00

Section D - Staffing			
Maximum Points Available:	10		
Question 1	3.5	4	4
Question 2	4	4	3.5
Question 3	4	4	3.5
Question 4	4	5	4
Question 5	4	4	3.5
Question 6	3.5	4	3.5
Question 7	3.5	4	3.5
Total	26.50	29.00	25.50
Average Score	3.79	4.14	3.64
Points Awarded	7.60	8.30	7.30

Section E - Facility			
Maximum Points Available 0			
Question 1	N/A		
Question 2			
Question 3			
Total	0	0	0
Average Score	0	0	0
Points Awarded	0.00	0.00	0.00

Section F - Budget			
Maximum Points Available 10			
Question 1	4	5	4
Question 2	1	1	1
Question 3	3.5	3.5	3.5
Question 4	3.5	3.5	3.5
Question 5	3.5	4	3.5
Question 6	3.5	3.5	3.5
Total	19	20.5	19
Average Score	3.17	3.42	3.17
Points Awarded	8.90	9.60	8.90

Section G - Bidder Presentation Maximum Points Available 10

HCA ASR 21-001052

Page 3 of 15

DocuSign Envelope ID: C7897AB6-4077-424F-BD45-23C	PA00A0E11		
Question	.0490A9F11 3.3	3.5	3.5
Question 2	2	2	2
Question 3	3.5	3.5	2
Total	9	9	7.5
Average Score	3	3	2.5
Points Awarded	6.00	6.00	5.00

Total Points Awarded per Evaluator 74.50 81.88 71.22

Total Combined Points Awarded by

all Evaluators 227.60

Average of All Points Awards -

Final Proposal Score 75.87

RFP: Youth Alcohol and Other Drug Prevention Services Individual Scoring Summary

BIDDER NAME: Waymakers

	E1	E2	E3			
Section A - Experience and Qualifications						
Maximum Points Available 30						
Question 1	3.5	5	3.5			
Question 2	5	5	4			
Question 3	3.5	5	5			
Total	12	15	12.5			
Average Score	4	5	4.17			
Points Awarded	24.00	30.00	25.02			

Maximum Points shown are for sample purposes only. Maximum points for each category will be customized accordingly.

Section B - Services			
Maximum Points Available 30			
Question 1	4	5	4
Question 2	5	4	4
Question 3	5	4	4
Total	14.00	13.00	12.00
Average Score	4.67	4.33	4.00
Points Awarded	28.02	25.98	24.00

0 = Unacceptable

= Poor 1

= Below Average 2

3.5 = Average 4 = Above Average

= Excellent 5

Section C - Partnership Letters			
Maximum Points Available 10			
Question 1	5	5	4
Total	5.00	5.00	4.00
Average Score	5.00	5.00	4.00
Points Awarded	10.00	10.00	8.00

Section D - Staffing			
Maximum Points Available:	10		
Question 1	3.5	4	4
Question 2	4	4	4
Question 3	4	4	3.5
Question 4	5	4	4
Question 5	4	4	3.5
Question 6	3.5	4	3.5
Question 7	3.5	4	3.5
Total	27.50	28.00	26.00
Average Score	3.93	4.00	3.71
Points Awarded	7.90	8.00	7.40

N/A		
0	0	0
0	0	0
0.00	0.00	0.00
	N/A 0 0 0 0.00	0 0 0 0

Section F - Budget			
Maximum Points Available 10			
Question 1	3.5	5	3.5
Question 2	1	1	1
Question 3	3.5	3.5	3.5
Question 4	3.5	3.5	3.5
Question 5	3.5	2	3.5
Question 6	3.5	3.5	3.5
Total	18.5	18.5	18.5
Average Score	3.08	3.08	3.08
Points Awarded	8.60	8.60	8.60

Section G - Bidder Presentation Maximum Points Available 10

5 of 8

DocuSign Envelope ID: C7897AB6-4077-424F-BD45-230			
Question	4	3.5	4
Question 2	3.5	3.5	4
Question 3	4	4	4
Total	11.5	11	12
Average Score	3.83	3.67	4
Points Awarded	7.66	7.34	8.00

Total Points Awarded per Evaluator 86.18 89.92 81.02

Total Combined Points Awarded by

all Evaluators 257.12

Average of All Points Awards -

Final Proposal Score 85.71

RFP: Youth Alcohol and Other Drug Prevention Services RFP # 042-1484819-BL Final Scoring Summary

	E1	E2	E3	TOTAL SCORE	AVERAGE SCORE		
BIDDERS							
Waymakers	86.18	89.92	81.02	257.12	85.71		
P4W	74.50	81.88	71.22	227.60	75.87		
OCDE	69.94	66.26	75.90	212.10	70.70		

Summarized Scoring Sheet Page 7 of 8

RFP: Youth Alcohol and Other Drug Prevention Services RFP # 042-1484819-BL

We hereby certify that all the scores above are true and correct.

Panel Members Date: 3/21/22

E1 - Egg468B922R94BE

E2 - Katarina Jamora

FE10BASEF0F944E...

E3 - DocuSigned by:

Prepared by: Brandon Lecuna

Date: 3/21/22

Proposal Evaluation Form

RFP: 042-1484819-BL

Section A - Experience and Qualifications

Final Score Section A: 0.00
Maximum Score Available: 30.00

Corresponds to RFP Section V.A. (page 25) and Attachment II, Form E of the RFP.

	Rating Scale											
(ס	1	2	3.5	4	5						
Unacceptable Poor Below Average Average Above Average Excell												
Score												
	1.	How well was Form E completed with all of the required information, e.g., begin/end dates, service areas, funding amounts, etc.? How well did the bidder's past and present experience provided on Form E relate to the services described in this solicitation?										
	2.		idder describe their organiz the services described in th	·	•							
	3.	use prevention ser partners involved,	idder describe their organiz vices? How appropriate we and interventions impleme has partnering with school o organizations?	re the examples on ted? How well d	of populations they id the bidder descri	have served, be any experience						

CS Use Only Section A											
Total Score	# of ?s	= Average Score x	Weight	=	Final Score						
0.00	3	0.00	6.00		0.00						

HCA ASR 21-001052 Page 9 of 15

Proposal Evaluation Form

RFP: 042-1484819-BL

Section B - Services

Final Score Section B: 0.00

Maximum Score Available: 30.00

Corresponds to RFP Sections II.B. (pages 14-15) and V.B. (pages 25) of the RFP.

			Rating	Scale							
	0	1	2	3.5	4	5					
Unacce	eptable	Poor	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Excellent					
Score											
	1.	How well did the bidder identify which school districts in which to provide youth substance use prevention services? How appropriate were their selections based on greater need for these services? How well did they support their selections with local data and clear descriptions?									
	2.	How well did the bidder identify the evidence-based curricula they propose to implement and explain why they have selected such?									
	3.		idder describe their organi se prevention conferences		ed process and outco	me objectives for the					

CS Use Only Section B											
Total Score	# of ?s	= Average Score x	Weight	=	Final Score						
0.00	3	0.00	6.00		0.00						

HCA ASR 21-001052 Page 10 of 15

Proposal Evaluation Form

RFP: 042-1484819-BL

Section C - Partnership Letters of Support

Final Score Section C: 0.00

Maximum Score Available: 10.00

Corresponds to RFP Section V.C. (page 26) of the RFP.

			Ratin	g Scale			
0		1	2	3.5	4	5	
Unaccep	table	Poor	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Excellent	
Score							
		districts and oth	e Letters of Support demons er youth-serving organization rated ability to build such a	ons with an expr	•	•	

CS Use Only Section C										
	Total Score	# of ?s	= Average Score x	Weight	=	Final Score				
	0.00	1	0.00	2.00		0.00				

HCA ASR 21-001052 Page 11 of 15

Proposal Evaluation Form

RFP: 042-1484819-BL

Section D - Staffing

Final Score Section D:

0.00

Maximum Score Available:

10.00

Corresponds to RFP Sections II.D. (pages 16) and V.D. (page 26) of the RFP.

			Ratin	g Scale								
0		1	2	3.5	4	5						
Unaccept	able	Poor	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Excellent						
Score												
	1.	How appropriate is the bidder's description on the number and type of staff (program and administrative) that will be allocated to the Program?										
	2.		e is the bidder's descriptior ices described in the solicit		ganization will recru	uit, hire, and train staff to						
	3.	How appropriate is the bidder's job descriptions for each position that will be allocated to this program including, minimum qualifications, education and/or experience requirements, multicultural/ multilingual capabilities, duties, and responsibilities?										
	4.		resumes of existing staff in the personnel's current proo		·							
	5.		e is the bidder's descriptior o staffing issues, such as va ng challenges?		-	•						
	6.	How appropriate is the bidder's description of any services and/or staff that will be subcontracted? Did the services the subcontractors will provide appear appropriate for the services to be provided?										
	7.	well did the bidd	e bidder describe the evalu der describe their experience contractors, or both?									

	CS Use Only Section D											
Total Score # of ?s = Average Score x Weight = Final Score												
	0.00	7		0.00		2.00		0.00				

HCA ASR 21-001052 Page 12 of 15

Proposal Evaluation Form

RFP: 042-1484819-BL

Section E - Facility

Final Score Section E: 0.00

Maximum Score Available: 0.00

Corresponds to RFP Section II.E. (page 17) and Section V.E. (pages 26).

			Rating So	cale								
	0 1		2		2 3.5 4 5							
Unacce	eptable	Poor	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Excellent						
Score												
	1.	N/A										

CS Use Only Section E										
Total Score	# of ?s	= Average Score	х	Weight	=	Final Score				
0.00	3	0.00		0.00		0.00				

HCA ASR 21-001052 Page 13 of 15

Proposal Evaluation Form

RFP: 042-1484819-BL

Final Score Section F: **0.00**

Section F - Budget Maximum Score Available: 10.00

Corresponds to RFP Section V.F. (pages 27-29) and Attachment III of the RFP.

			Ratir	ng Scale									
0)	1	2	3.5	4	5							
Unacce	ptable	Poor	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Excellent							
Score													
	1.	Were all Detailed Line Item Budget forms and budget narratives included? How well did the bidder's budget narrative explain/justify each budget line item, including administrative and program staff's responsibilities and time allocations? (Budget Form 1)											
	2.		Are the bidder's administrative costs within 15% of the Total Gross Program costs? Yes or No answer (Y = 1 / No = 0) (Budget Form 2)										
	3.	If equipment was requested, how well did the bidder describe and justify costs, and do they appear reasonable for the services to be provided? (Maximum allowable score for this item is 3.5. A score of 3.5 may be given if the bidder DID NOT request equipment)											
	4.	required inform	propose subcontracts and/o ation under the administrat A score of 3.5 may be give	tive and/or prog	ram section? (Maxim	um allowable score for							
	5.	Did the bidder provide Facility Expense Details? If so, did they appear to be reasonable for the services requested? (Budget Form 5)											
	6.	reasonable for t budget?	were requested, how well he services to be provided? wable score for this item is costs)	Are the Start-u	up funds within 10% o	f the total proposed							

CS Use Only Section F											
Total Score	Areas	= Average Score	Weight	=	Final Score						
0.00	6	0.00	2.79		0.00						

HCA ASR 21-001052 Page 14 of 15

Proposal Evaluation Form

RFP: 042-1484819-BL

Section G - Presentation

Final Score Section E: 0.00

Maximum Score Available: 10.00

Rating Scale												
0		1	1 2		4	5						
Unacceptable		Poor	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Excellent						
Score												
	1.	Please describe your experience with the evidence based curriculum that you identified, and what was the basis for selecting this program?										
	2.	Please describe your understanding of fidelity and how it relates to the evidence based curriculum that you described.										
	3.	3. Please describe how you determined the direct staff for the program that you proposed.										

CS Use Only Section G											
	Total Score	# of ?s	= Average Score	Х	Weight	=	Final Score				
	0.00	5	0.00		2.00		0.00				

HCA ASR 21-001052 Page 15 of 15