Attachment E



INTERNAL AUDIT DEPARTMENT



Internal Control Audit: OC Waste & Recycling Fee-Generated Revenue

For the Year Ended January 31, 2019

Audit No. 1821 Report Date: September 23, 2019

Number of Recommendations



Critical Control Weaknesses



Critical Control Weaknesses



Control Findings

OC Board of Supervisors

Chairwoman Lisa A. Bartlett 5th District Vice Chair Michelle Steel 2nd District Supervisor Andrew Do 1st District Supervisor Donald P. Wagner 3rd District Supervisor Doug Chaffee 4th District



Internal Control Audit: OC Waste & Recycling Fee-Generated Revenue

September 23, 2019

AUDIT HIGHLIGHTS					
SCOPE OF WORK		Perform an Internal Control Audit of OC Waste & Recycling's (OCWR) fee-generate revenue process for the year ended January 31, 2019.			
Results		 We concluded that OCWR's internal control over fee studies and fee development process is generally effective. We concluded that business processes are generally efficient as related to the process of fee-generated revenue. 			
Risks I	DENTIFIED	As a result of our finding, potential risks include:Not obtaining full cost recovery.			
	JMBER OF IMENDATIONS	An opportunity for enhancing internal control includes:			
0	Critical Control Weaknesses	 Annual evaluation of fees to ensure compliance with County policy and to obtain full cost recovery. 			
0	Significant Control Weaknesses				
1	Control Findings				
R	eport suspected fr	aud, or misuse of County resources by vendors, contractors, or County employees to 714.834.3608			

AUDIT NO. 1821

Audit No. 1921



INTERNAL AUDIT DEPARTMENT

FOR		Audit 140. 1021
September 23	, 2019	
To:	Thomas Koutroulis, Director OC Waste & Recycling	
From:	Aggie Alonso, CPA, CIA, CRMA Internal Audit Department Director	
Subject:	Internal Control Audit: OC Waste & Recycling Fee-Generated Re	venue

We have completed an Internal Control Audit of OC Waste & Recycling's (OCWR) fee-generated revenue process for the year ended January 31, 2019. Details of our results and recommendations immediately follow this letter. Additional information including background and our objectives, scope, and methodology are included in Appendix A.

OCWR concurred with all of our recommendations and the Internal Audit Department considers management response appropriate to the recommendations in this report.

We will include the results of this audit in a future status report submitted quarterly to the Audit Oversight Committee and the Board of Supervisors. In addition, we will request your department complete a Customer Survey of Audit Services, which you will receive shortly after the distribution of our final report.

We appreciate the courtesy extended to us by OCWR's personnel during our audit. If you have any questions regarding our audit, please contact me at 714.834.5442 or Assistant Director Scott Suzuki at 714.834.5509.

Attachments

Other recipients of this report: Members, Board of Supervisors Members, Audit Oversight Committee CEO Distribution OC Waste & Recycling Distribution Auditor-Controller Satellite Accounting Operations Distribution Foreperson, Grand Jury Robin Stieler, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors Eide Bailly LLP, County External Auditor

	RESULTS					
BUSINESS PROCESS & INTERNAL CONTROL	Business process and internal control strengths noted during our audit include:					
STRENGTHS	 An annual fee and cost recovery review is conducted by the Budget & Finance Services unit and presented to OCWR executive team. 					
	✓ The proposed rate table is presented for executive review and approval.					
	 An annual review form and an internal fee checklist is prepared by OCWR staff and reviewed by the department head annually. 					
	 OCWR has written policy and procedures for the disposal fee development and review process. 					

FINDING NO. 1 Fee Updates OCWR did not update certain fees (see Table 1 below) annually as required by County policy and did not obtain CEO approval to update these fees less frequently than on an annual basis. Table 1. Fees Requiring Update Last Amount **Updated/Revised** Fee Administrative Cost Recovery \$25 August 2007 for Construction & Demolition Auto Minimum Charge \$5 October 1995 Truck Minimum Charge \$12 July 2006 AB939 Surcharge - Light \$8 July 2006 Truck Hard-to-Handle Fee \$5 October 1995 July 2006 AB939 Surcharge \$19 Replacement of Deferred \$5 October 2009 Payment Card Source: Resolution 07-155, Resolution 95-684, Resolution 06-070, Agreement for **Deferred Payment of Disposal Fees** County Accounting Manual R-3 Revenue Policy, Requirements & Responsibilities, Section 2.1 states, "Wherever feasible and costeffective, all cost recovery revenue rates shall be updated at least once each year to reflect current costs. When the cost associated with making this annual determination appears to be excessive, with the approval of the CEO Budget Management Unit, a complete update shall be prepared every three years."

CATEGORY	Control Finding
Risk	Not performing annual updates in compliance with County policy increases the risk of not obtaining full recovery of costs.
RECOMMENDATION	OCWR update its fees annually or for fees where the cost of an annual review would be excessive, OCWR should obtain CEO approval to conduct reviews less frequently.
Management Response	Concur. By December 31, 2019, OCWR will review the fees included in the audit finding to determine which fees might require an annual update per the finding and which fees should be submitted to CEO Budget for approval to update less frequently. In addition, OCWR will include an analysis of these fees in its annual fee review, beginning with the next review in April 2020.

AUDIT TEAM	Scott Suzuki, CPA, CIA, CISA Michael Dean, CPA, CIA, CISA Zan Zaman, CPA, CIA Virginia Nguyen, CPA	Assistant Director Senior Audit Manager Audit Manager Senior Auditor
------------	---	---



	APPENDIX A: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
OBJECTIVES	 Our audit objectives were to: 1. Assess internal control over fee studies and fee development processes for establishing cost recovery fees charged to the public. 2. Ensure business processes are efficient as related to controls over the fee generated revenue process.
SCOPE & METHODOLOGY	Our audit scope was limited to internal control over OCWR's fee development process and cost recovery of charges for the year ended January 31, 2019. Our methodology included inquiry, observation, and examination of documentation.
Exclusions	Our audit scope did not include a review of controls over fees charged to County departments, fees set by law, and negotiated fees. We did not review CEO and Auditor-Controller's monitoring process of fee studies. We also did not include a review of controls over the cash receipts process.
PRIOR AUDIT COVERAGE	An audit with similar scope, Internal Control Audit: Orange County Waste & Recycling Fee Generated Revenue, Audit No. 1023, was issued on October 4, 2011.
BACKGROUND	The mission of OC Waste & Recycling is "to provide waste management services, protect the environment, and promote recycling in order to ensure a safe and healthy community for current and future generations."
	One of OCWR's core functions is to manage the County's waste disposal system, which includes three active landfills (Olinda Alpha, Frank R. Bowerman, and Prima Deschecha), four residential hazardous waste collection centers, and 20 closed landfills. OCWR services the County's 34 cities and unincorporated areas. In 2018, OCWR disposed of 5.04 million tons of waste.
	OCWR's fee-generated revenue is comprised of: Waste Disposal Agreements, Importation Agreements, Posted Rates, Licenses, Permits and Franchise fees, and Deferred Payment Program Fees/Miscellaneous Fees, see Appendix B.



PURPOSE & AUTHORITY	We performed this audit in accordance with the Annual Risk Assessment & Audit Plan for FY 2018-19 approved by the Audit Oversight Committee (AOC) and Board of Supervisors (Board).
PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS	Our audit was conducted in conformance with the International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing issued by the International Internal Audit Standards Board.
Follow-Up Process	In accordance with professional standards, the Internal Audit Department has a process to follow-up on its recommendations. A first follow-up audit will generally begin six months after release of the initial report.
	The AOC and Board expect that audit recommendations will typically be implemented within six months or sooner for significant and higher risk issues. A second follow-up audit will generally begin six months after release of the first follow-up audit report, by which time all audit recommendations are expected to be implemented. Any audit recommendations not implemented after the second follow-up audit will be brought to the attention of the AOC at its next scheduled meeting.
	A Follow-Up Audit Report Form is attached and is required to be returned to the Internal Audit Department approximately six months from the date of this report in order to facilitate the follow-up audit process.
MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSIBILITY FOR INTERNAL CONTROL	In accordance with the Auditor-Controller's County Accounting Manual Section S-2 Internal Control Systems: "All County departments/agencies shall maintain effective internal control systems as an integral part of their management practices. This is because management has primary responsibility for establishing and maintaining the internal control system. All levels of management must be involved in assessing and strengthening internal controls." Control systems shall be continuously evaluated by Management and weaknesses, when detected, must be promptly corrected. The criteria for evaluating internal controls is the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) Internal Control – Integrated Framework. Our Internal Control Audit enhances and complements, but does not substitute for department management's continuing emphasis on control activities and monitoring of control risks.
INTERNAL CONTROL LIMITATIONS	Because of inherent limitations in any system of internal control, errors or irregularities may nevertheless occur and not be detected. Specific examples of limitations include, but are not limited to: resource constraints, unintentional errors, management override, circumvention by collusion, and poor judgment. Also, projection of any evaluation of the system to future periods is subject to the risk that procedures may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or the degree of compliance with the procedures may deteriorate. Accordingly, our audit would not necessarily disclose all weaknesses in the department's operating procedures, accounting practices, and compliance with County policy.



APPENDIX B: RATES

Table 2. Negotiated Rates – Waste Disposal Agreements

Approximately \$102 million in revenue

Fee	Amount Feb – Jun 2018	Amount Jul – Jan 2019	Approved By Board	Cost Recovery	Last Updated/ Revised
City Contract Rate – Standard Charge Per Ton	\$33.50	\$34.18	Yes	WDA negotiated rate	July 2018
City Contract Rate – Standard Charge with Hard to Handle – Per Ton	\$38.50	\$39.18	Yes	WDA negotiated rate	July 2018
OC Sanitation District Rate = Standard Charge Per Ton	\$36.85	\$37.60	Yes	WDA negotiated rate	July 2018
OC Sanitation District Rate with Hard to Handle – Per Ton	\$41.85	\$42.60	Yes	WDA negotiated rate	July 2018

Source: Waste Disposal Agreement and Amendment, Waste Disposal Agreement with OC Sanitation District

Table 3. Importation Rates (Contracted Importation Agreements) Approximately \$50.5 million in revenue

Fee	Amount Feb – Jun 2018	Amount Jul – Jan 2019	Approved By Board	Cost Recovery	Last Updated/ Revised
Tier Rate - < 10,000 tons	\$29.57	\$30.64	Yes	Contracted rate	July 2018
Tier Rate - 10,000 to 20,000	\$28.55	\$29.58	Yes	Contracted rate	July 2018
Tier Rate - 20,001 to 27,500	\$27.53	\$28.53	Yes	Contracted rate	July 2018
Tier Rate - 27,501 to 35,000	\$27.28	\$28.26	Yes	Contracted rate	July 2018
Tier Rate - 35,001 to 50,000	\$27.02	\$28.00	Yes	Contracted rate	July 2018
Tier Rate - 50,001 to 65,000	\$26.51	\$27.47	Yes	Contracted rate	July 2018
Tier Rate - > 65,000	\$25.75	\$26.68	Yes	Contracted rate	July 2018
Hard to Handle Fee in addition to tier rate	\$5	\$5	Yes	No	October 1995

Source: Municipal Solid Waste Importation Agreement Amendment 1



Table 4. Posted Rates (Non-Contract)

Approximately \$13 million in revenue; \$3 million attributed to AB 939 Surcharge

Fee	Amount	AB 939 Surcharge	Approved by Board	Cost Recovery	Last Updated/ Revised
Auto - Minimum Rate	\$5		Yes	No	October 1995
Truck - Minimum Rate + Surcharge	\$12	\$8	Yes	No	July 2006
Hard-to-Handle Fee	\$5		Yes	No	October 1995
AB 939 Surcharge	\$19		Yes	No	July 2006
Standard Charge Without Surcharge Feb – Jun 2018	\$38.50	\$19	Yes	Derived from WDA rate	July 2017
Standard Charge Without Surcharge Jul – Jan 2019	\$39.18	\$19	Yes	Derived from WDA rate	July 2018

Source: Resolution No. 95-684, Resolution No. 06-070, Resolution No. 09-036

Table 5. Licenses, Permits and Franchises

Approximately \$130,000 in revenue

Fee	Amount	Approved By Board	Cost Recovery	Last Updated/ Revised
Administrative Cost	\$25	Yes	No	August 2007
Recovery for Construction & Demolition				
Application Fee for	\$1,000	Yes	Contracted	December
Franchise			Rate	2009
Franchise Fee	Cost Formula	Yes	Yes (Based on cost formula)	December 2009
Non-Exclusive Franchise Fee	Cost Formula	Yes	Yes (Based on cost formula)	December 2009

Source: Resolution No. 07-155, Franchise Agreement, Non-exclusive Franchise Agreement



APPENDIX C: REPORT ITEM CLASSIFICATION						
Critical Control Weakness	Significant Control Weakness	Control Finding				
These are audit findings or a combination of audit findings that represent critical exceptions to the audit objective(s) and/or business goals. Such conditions may involve either actual or potential large dollar errors or be of such a nature as to compromise the department's or County's reputation for integrity. Management is expected to address Critical Control Weaknesses brought to its attention immediately.	These are audit findings or a combination of audit findings that represent a significant deficiency in the design or operation of internal controls. Significant Control Weaknesses require prompt corrective actions.	These are audit findings concerning the effectiveness of internal control, compliance issues, or efficiency issues that require management's corrective action to implement or enhance processes and internal control. Control Findings are expected to be addressed within our follow- up process of six months, but no later than twelve months.				



APPENDIX D: OC WASTE & RECYCLING MANAGEMENT RESPONSE

<text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text>	LIFORNIT	COUNTY OF ORANGE Waste Recycling Our Community, Dur Commitment. Thomas D. Koutroulis, Direct 300 N. Thomas D. Koutroul
 TO: Aggie Alonso, CPA, CIA, CRMA Internal Audit Department Director FROM: Tom Koutroulis, Director OC Waste & Recycling SUBJECT: Internal Control Audit No. 1821 OC Waste & Recycling Response OC Waste & Recycling has prepared its response to Audit No. 1821 on fee-generated revenue for the year ended January 31, 2019. The response has been reviewed by the County Executive Office (CEO). Finding No. 1 Fee Updates (Control Finding) OCWR did not update certain fees (Table 1) annually as required by County policy and did not obtain CEO approval to update these fees less frequently than on an annual basis. OCWR Management Response Concur. By December 31, 2019, OCWR will review the fees included in the audit finding to determine which fees might require an annual update per the finding and which fees should be submitted to CEO Budget for approval to update less frequently. In addition, OCWR will include an analysis of these fees in its annual fee review, beginning with the next review in April 2020. ce: Scott Suzuki, Assistant Director, Internal Audit Department Michael Dean, Senior Audit Manager, Internal Audit Department Michael Dean Audit Manager, Internal Audit Department Michael Dean Audit Manager, Internal Audit D		
Internal Audit Department Director FROM: Tom Koutroulis, Director OC Waste & Recycling Journal Joura Journal Journal Joura Journal Journal Journal Journal Journal Jo	August 2	9, 2019
 OC Waste & Recycling Jury SUBJECT: Internal Control Audit No. 1821 OC Waste & Recycling Response OC Waste & Recycling has prepared its response to Audit No. 1821 on fee-generated revenue for the year ended January 31, 2019. The response has been reviewed by the County Executive Office (CEO). Finding No. 1 Fee Updates (Control Finding) OCWR did not update certain fees (Table 1) annually as required by County policy and did not obtain CEO approval to update these fees less frequently than on an annual basis. OCWR Management Response Concur. By December 31, 2019, OCWR will review the fees included in the audit finding to determine which fees might require an annual update per the finding and which fees should be submitted to CEO Budget for approval to update less frequently. In addition, OCWR will include an analysis of these fees in its annual fee review, beginning with the next review in April 2020. ce: Scott Suzuki, Assistant Director, Internal Audit Department Michael Dean, Senior Audit Manager, Internal Audit Department Lisa Smith, Deputy Director, Business Services & External Affairs, OCWR 	то:	Internal Audit Department Director
 OC Waste & Recycling has prepared its response to Audit No. 1821 on fee-generated revenue for the year ended January 31, 2019. The response has been reviewed by the County Executive Office (CEO). Finding No. 1 Fee Updates (Control Finding) OCWR did not update certain fees (Table 1) annually as required by County policy and did not obtain CEO approval to update these fees less frequently than on an annual basis. OCWR Management Response Concur. By December 31, 2019, OCWR will review the fees included in the audit finding to determine which fees might require an annual update per the finding and which fees should be submitted to CEO Budget for approval to update less frequently. In addition, OCWR will include an analysis of these fees in its annual fee review, beginning with the next review in April 2020. ce: Scott Suzuki, Assistant Director, Internal Audit Department Michael Dean, Senior Audit Manager, Internal Audit Department Lisa Smith, Deputy Director, Business Services & External Affairs, OCWR 	FROM:	Tom Koutroulis, Director
 for the year ended January 31, 2019. The response has been reviewed by the County Executive Office (CEO). Finding No. 1 Fee Updates (Control Finding) OCWR did not update certain fees (Table 1) annually as required by County policy and did not obtain CEO approval to update these fees less frequently than on an annual basis. OCWR Management Response Concur. By December 31, 2019, OCWR will review the fees included in the audit finding to determine which fees might require an annual update per the finding and which fees should be submitted to CEO Budget for approval to update less frequently. In addition, OCWR will include an analysis of these fees in its annual fee review, beginning with the next review in April 2020. cc: Scott Suzuki, Assistant Director, Internal Audit Department Michael Dean, Senior Audit Manager, Internal Audit Department Lisa Smith, Deputy Director, Business Services & External Affairs, OCWR 	SUBJEC	
 Fee Updates (Control Finding) OCWR did not update certain fees (Table 1) annually as required by County policy and did not obtain CEO approval to update these fees less frequently than on an annual basis. OCWR Management Response Concur. By December 31, 2019, OCWR will review the fees included in the audit finding to determine which fees might require an annual update per the finding and which fees should be submitted to CEO Budget for approval to update less frequently. In addition, OCWR will include an analysis of these fees in its annual fee review, beginning with the next review in April 2020. cc: Scott Suzuki, Assistant Director, Internal Audit Department Michael Dean, Senior Audit Manager, Internal Audit Department Lisa Smith, Deputy Director, Business Services & External Affairs, OCWR 	for the ye	ar ended January 31, 2019. The response has been reviewed by the County Executive
 Concur. By December 31, 2019, OCWR will review the fees included in the audit finding to determine which fees might require an annual update per the finding and which fees should be submitted to CEO Budget for approval to update less frequently. In addition, OCWR will include an analysis of these fees in its annual fee review, beginning with the next review in April 2020. cc: Scott Suzuki, Assistant Director, Internal Audit Department Michael Dean, Senior Audit Manager, Internal Audit Department Lisa Smith, Deputy Director, Business Services & External Affairs, OCWR 	Fee Upda OCWR di	tes (Control Finding) id not update certain fees (Table 1) annually as required by County policy and did not
 determine which fees might require an annual update per the finding and which fees should be submitted to CEO Budget for approval to update less frequently. In addition, OCWR will include an analysis of these fees in its annual fee review, beginning with the next review in April 2020. cc: Scott Suzuki, Assistant Director, Internal Audit Department Michael Dean, Senior Audit Manager, Internal Audit Department Lisa Smith, Deputy Director, Business Services & External Affairs, OCWR 		Janagement Response
Michael Dean, Senior Audit Manager, Internal Audit Department Lisa Smith, Deputy Director, Business Services & External Affairs, OCWR	determin should b OCWR	e which fees might require an annual update per the finding and which fees e submitted to CEO Budget for approval to update less frequently. In addition, will include an analysis of these fees in its annual fee review, beginning with the
Michael Dean, Senior Audit Manager, Internal Audit Department Lisa Smith, Deputy Director, Business Services & External Affairs, OCWR		
	M L	lichael Dean, Senior Audit Manager, Internal Audit Department isa Smith, Deputy Director, Business Services & External Affairs, OCWR