

Revision to ASR and/or Attachments

Date:	December 10,2019					
To:	Clerk of the Board of Supervisors					
CC: County Executive Office						
From:	Shane L. Silsby, Director OC Public Works ASR Control #: 19-000977 Meeting Date 12/17/19 Item No. # 24					
Re:	ASR Control #: <u>19-000977</u> , Meeting Date <u>12/17/19</u> , Item No. # <u>24</u>					
Subject:	Award Design Build Contract for Huntington Beach Channel and Talbert					
	Channel					
Explanati	on:					
	Works would like to replace Attachment D in order update the Summary of ators Scoring sheets with more complete information.					
Revise	ed Recommended Action(s)					
☐ Make	modifications to the:					
☐ Su	bject Background Information Summary Financial Impact					
⊠ Revise	ed Attachments					
Attachme	ent D – Summary of Evaluators Scoring					

Summary of Evaluator Scoring

52	or Evaluator Scor	6	
EVALUATOR 1	1	2	3
Written Evaluation	Ames/Aztec	Reyes/GHD	Shimmick/AECOM/Mic hael Baker
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND SAFETY RECORD (100)	95	75	55
QUALIFICATIONS, RELATED EXPERIENCE, AND			
REFERENCES (100)	55	90	77
PROJECT WORK PLAN APPROACH (280)	235	265	185
PROPOSED STAFFING AND PROJECT ORGANIZATION			
(110)	80	85	81
CONCEPTUAL DESIGN REVIEW/VALUE ENGINEERING			
OR ALTERNATIVE ANALYSIS/LIFECYCLE ANALYSIS			
(140)	130	125	120
PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF			
RESPONSE (30) DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE COUNTY	30	25	15
l I	10	20	20
MODEL CONTRACT (20) ADDITIONAL RELEVANT INFORMATION HAVING A	10	20	20
BEARING ON MEASURING FIRM'S CAPABILITIES AND			
SERVICES (20)	17	20	17
WRITTEN EVALUATION SCORE TOTAL	652	705	570
Interview Evaluation	032	763	370
QUESTION 1 (20 pts)	13	15	20
QUESTION 2 (20 pts)	11	13	8
QUESTION 3 (20 pts)	13	15	10
QUESTION 4 (20 pts)	(17)	15	(<mark>15</mark>)
QUESTION 5 (10 pts)	(3)	8	5
QUESTION 6 (10 pts)	2	10	7
INTERVIEW EVALUATION SCORE TOTAL	59	76	65
COMBINED WRITTEN & INTERVIEW	711	781	635
OBJECTIVE COST SCORE			
(ADDED TO EVALUATOR'S SCORE)	100	73	74
COMBINED WRITTEN, INTERVIEW & COST	811	854	709
FINAL RANKING	2	1	3

Summary of Evaluator Scoring

EVALUATOR 2	1	2	3
Written Evaluation	Ames/Aztec	Reyes/GHD	Shimmick/AECOM/Mic hael Baker
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND SAFETY RECORD (100)	80	75	75
QUALIFICATIONS, RELATED EXPERIENCE, AND			
REFERENCES (100)	64	81	70
PROJECT WORK PLAN APPROACH (280)	229	213	185
PROPOSED STAFFING AND PROJECT ORGANIZATION			
(110)	84	77	64
CONCEPTUAL DESIGN REVIEW/VALUE ENGINEERING			
OR ALTERNATIVE ANALYSIS/LIFECYCLE ANALYSIS			
(140)	130	105	90
PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF			
RESPONSE (30)	26	27	24
DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE COUNTY			
MODEL CONTRACT (20) ADDITIONAL RELEVANT INFORMATION HAVING A	10	20	20
BEARING ON MEASURING FIRM'S CAPABILITIES AND			
SERVICES (20)	18	17	16
SERVICES (20)	10	1/	10
WRITTEN EVALUATION SCORE TOTAL	641	615	544
Interview Evaluation			
QUESTION 1 (20 pts)	11	10	12
QUESTION 2 (20 pts)	12	14	8
QUESTION 3 (20 pts)	15	18	10
QUESTION 4 (20 pts)	15	17	12
QUESTION 5 (10 pts)	4	8	(5)
QUESTION 6 (10 pts)	4	10	3
INTERVIEW EVALUATION SCORE TOTAL	61	77	50
COMBINED WRITTEN & INTERVIEW	702	692	594
OBJECTIVE COST SCORE (ADDED TO EVALUATOR'S SCORE)	100	73	74
COMBINED WRITTEN, INTERVIEW & COST	802	765	668
FINAL RANKING	1	2	3

Summary of Evaluator Scoring

EVALUATOR 3	1	2	3
Written Evaluation	Ames/Aztec	Reyes/GHD	Shimmick/AECOM/Mic hael Baker
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND SAFETY RECORD (100)	96	81	75
QUALIFICATIONS, RELATED EXPERIENCE, AND			
REFERENCES (100)	61	85	59
PROJECT WORK PLAN APPROACH (280)	226	254	197
PROPOSED STAFFING AND PROJECT ORGANIZATION			
(110)	81	87	80
CONCEPTUAL DESIGN REVIEW/VALUE ENGINEERING			
OR ALTERNATIVE ANALYSIS/LIFECYCLE ANALYSIS			
(140)	95	120	107
PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF			
RESPONSE (30)	25	28	21
DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE COUNTY			
MODEL CONTRACT (20)	10	20	20
ADDITIONAL RELEVANT INFORMATION HAVING A			
BEARING ON MEASURING FIRM'S CAPABILITIES AND			
SERVICES (20)	10	20	15
WRITTEN EVALUATION SCORE TOTAL	604	695	574
Interview Evaluation			
QUESTION 1 (20 pts)	8	13	15
QUESTION 2 (20 pts)	12	14	13
QUESTION 3 (20 pts)	14	17	18
QUESTION 4 (20 pts)	12	19	15
QUESTION 5 (10 pts)	(<u>5</u>)	5	8
QUESTION 6 (10 pts)	(<u>3</u>)	10	7
INTERVIEW EVALUATION SCORE TOTAL	54	78	<mark>76</mark>
COMBINED WRITTEN & INTERVIEW	658	773	650
OBJECTIVE COST SCORE (ADDED TO EVALUATOR'S SCORE)	100	73	74
COMBINED WRITTEN, INTERVIEW & COST	758	846	724
			-
FINAL RANKING	2	1	3

Summary of Evaluator Scoring

EVALUATOR 4	1	2	3
Written Evaluation	Ames/Aztec	Reyes/GHD	Shimmick/AECOM/Mic hael Baker
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND SAFETY RECORD (100)	95	90	75
QUALIFICATIONS, RELATED EXPERIENCE, AND			
REFERENCES (100)	31	80	55
PROJECT WORK PLAN APPROACH (280)	203	245	135
PROPOSED STAFFING AND PROJECT ORGANIZATION			
(110)	91	95	83
CONCEPTUAL DESIGN REVIEW/VALUE ENGINEERING			
OR ALTERNATIVE ANALYSIS/LIFECYCLE ANALYSIS			
(140)	120	140	60
PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF			
RESPONSE (30)	30	25	20
DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE COUNTY			
MODEL CONTRACT (20)	10	20	20
ADDITIONAL RELEVANT INFORMATION HAVING A			
BEARING ON MEASURING FIRM'S CAPABILITIES AND			
SERVICES (20)	13	18	12
WRITTEN EVALUATION SCORE TOTAL	593	713	460
Interview Evaluation			
QUESTION 1 (20 pts)	7	10	18
QUESTION 2 (20 pts)	10	15	5
QUESTION 3 (20 pts)	5	20	10
QUESTION 4 (20 pts)	15	17	10
QUESTION 5 (10 pts)	4	5	5
QUESTION 6 (10 pts)	2	10	5
INTERVIEW EVALUATION SCORE TOTAL	43	77	<mark>53</mark>
COMBINED WRITTEN & INTERVIEW	636	790	513
OBJECTIVE COST SCORE (ADDED TO EVALUATOR'S SCORE)	100	73	74
		000	
COMBINED WRITTEN, INTERVIEW & COST	736	863	587
	2	1	3

Summary of Evaluator Scoring

EVALUATOR 5	1	2	3
Written Evaluation	Ames/Aztec	Reyes/GHD	Shimmick/AECOM/Mic hael Baker
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND SAFETY RECORD (100)	100	75	57
QUALIFICATIONS, RELATED EXPERIENCE, AND			
REFERENCES (100)	60	85	85
PROJECT WORK PLAN APPROACH (280)	220	205	190
PROPOSED STAFFING AND PROJECT ORGANIZATION			
(110)	85	82	85
CONCEPTUAL DESIGN REVIEW/VALUE ENGINEERING			
OR ALTERNATIVE ANALYSIS/LIFECYCLE ANALYSIS			
(140)	125	100	120
PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF			
RESPONSE (30)	30	20	15
DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE COUNTY			
MODEL CONTRACT (20)	10	20	20
ADDITIONAL RELEVANT INFORMATION HAVING A			
BEARING ON MEASURING FIRM'S CAPABILITIES AND	40	30	40
SERVICES (20)	10	20	10
WRITTEN EVALUATION SCORE TOTAL	640	607	582
Interview Evaluation			
QUESTION 1 (20 pts)	12	13	12
QUESTION 2 (20 pts)	18	12	12
QUESTION 3 (20 pts)	15	20	12
QUESTION 4 (20 pts)	18	17	18
QUESTION 5 (10 pts)	6	6	6
QUESTION 6 (10 pts)	6	10	6
INTERVIEW EVALUATION SCORE TOTAL	75	78	66
COMBINED WRITTEN & INTERVIEW	715	685	648
OBJECTIVE COST SCORE (ADDED TO EVALUATOR'S SCORE)	100	73	74
COMBINED WRITTEN, INTERVIEW & COST	815	758	722
FINAL RANKING	1	2	3