SUMMARY ACTION MINUTES

REGULAR MEETING
ORANGE COUNTY COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP

Thursday, August 28, 2014, 2:00 P.M.

PROBATION DEPARTMENT
Training Room 5
1001 S. Grand Ave.
Santa Ana, California

STEVE SENTMAN, Chair
Chief Probation Officer

MARY HALE
Health Care Agency

SANDRA HUTCHENS
Sheriff-Coroner

FRANK OSPINO
Public Defender

TONY RACKAUCKAS
District Attorney

KEVIN RANEY
Chief of Police, Garden Grove

ATTENDANCE: Members Hutchens, Ospino, Rackauckas, Raney, Sentman and Qian (Alternate for Hale)

EXCUSED: Member Hale

COUNTY COUNSEL: Wendy Phillips, Deputy

CLERK OF THE PARTNERSHIP: Jamie Ross, Deputy

ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS: (Items 1 - 5)

1. Welcome and Introductions
   PRESENTED

2 Discussion of Orange County Community Corrections Partnership membership
   ROBIN KEEBLE, ORANGE COUNTY RE-ENTRY PARTNERSHIP, AGREED TO BE REPRESENTATIVE FOR COMMUNITY BASED ORGANIZATIONS

SUMMARY ACTION MINUTES

3. Discuss the opportunity to participate in the Community Recidivism Reduction Grant program (2014) funded through the Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC) pursuant to the Budget Act of 2014; authorize Probation (or some other designee) to solicit Board of Supervisors' approval to develop a grant program in collaboration with the CCP in order to access the up to $500,000 allocated to the County of Orange by the BSCC

4213567 x **APPROVED CHAIR SENTMAN TO NOTIFY CHAIRMAN OF ORANGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF OCCCP'S INTEREST IN PURSUING GRANT FUNDING**

P.O. **DIRECTED STAFF TO CONTACT NON-PROFITS REGARDING GRANT PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION**

4. County of Orange Public Safety Realignment Summit Update
PRESENTED

5. Realignment Updates:

- Probation
- Sheriff
- District Attorney
- Public Defender
- Courts
- Health Care/Mental Health
- Local Law Enforcement
- Board of Supervisors
- Social Services
- OC Community Resources
- OC Department of Education
- Community-Based Organization (Representative)
- CSP (Victims Representative)

PRESENTED

P.O. **AGENDA ITEMS FOR 9/25/14, 2:00 P.M., REGULAR MEETING: DISCUSSION ITEM REGARDING PRETRIAL RELEASES, DISCUSSION OF STATE GRANT FUNDED FROM RECIDIVISM REDUCTION FUND, AND DISCUSSION OF STATEWIDE PRETRIAL SUMMIT IN FEBRUARY 2015**

PUBLIC & PARTNERSHIP COMMENTS:

PUBLIC COMMENTS: None

PARTNERSHIP COMMENTS:

Chair Sentman – Oral Re.: Recent studies on Community Correction Partnerships and re-arrests; 10/1/14 Pretrial Justice Conference in Tustin; letter to BOS Chairman regarding interest in BSCC grant.

ADJOURNED: 2:44 P.M.

SUMMARY ACTION MINUTES

*** KEY ***

Left Margin Notes

1 Mary Hale
2 Sandra Hutchens
3 Frank Ospino
4 Tony Rackauckas
5 Kevin Raney
6 Steve Sentman
7 Jenny Qian

A = Abstained
X = Excused
N = No
P.O. = Partnership Order

(1st number = Moved by; 2nd number = Seconded by)

/s/_____
STEVE SENTMAN
Chair

*/s/*_____
Jamie Ross, Deputy
Clerk of the Partnership

BSCC CALIFORNIA
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
BOARD OF STATE AND COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS
600 BERCUT DRIVE • SACRAMENTO CA 95811 • 916.445.5073 • BSCC.CA.GOV

LINDA M. PENNER
Chair

KATHLEEN T. HOWARD
Executive Director

EDMUND G. BROWN, JR.
Governor

August 12, 2014

Dear Sir/Madam:

The Budget Act of 2014 (Chapter 25, Statutes of 2014) allocates $8 million to the Board of State and Community Corrections for the Community Recidivism Reduction Grant described in Penal Code section 1233.10 (Attachment I). Counties are eligible to receive funds if the Board of Supervisors, in collaboration with the county's Community Corrections Partnership, agrees to develop a competitive grant program intended to fund community recidivism and crime reduction services. In developing the grant program, the Board of Supervisors, in collaboration with the Community Corrections Partnership must establish minimum requirements, funding criteria, grant award limits, and procedures for the county to award grants. Please note, each county must notify the Board of State and Community Corrections of their interest in participating in this grant program. Upon approval by the Board of Supervisors, please send a letter to the BSCC, to the attention of Megan Barber-Brancamp, that confirms your county's interest in receiving the funding, and include the Board of Supervisors meeting minutes authorizing this action by September 30, 2014.

Grants must be awarded by the Board of Supervisors to a nongovernmental entity or a consortium or coalition of nongovernmental entities that provide community recidivism and crime reduction services to persons who have been released from state prison, a county jail, or a juvenile detention facility, who are under the supervision of a parole or probation department, or any other person at risk of becoming involved in criminal activities. Community recidivism and crime reduction services include, but are not limited to delinquency prevention, homelessness prevention, and reentry services.

Counties receiving funds are also required to collect and submit data to the Board of State and Community Corrections on grants awarded. Service providers that receive a grant are responsible for reporting to the county Board of Supervisors or the Community Corrections Partnerships on the number of individuals served and the types of services provided. The Board of Supervisors or the Community Corrections Partnerships must report any information received from grant recipients to the Board of State and Community Corrections on or before July 1, 2015 and each year until the final reporting date of July 1, 2018.

Each county's allocation is based on the population within the county as specified on Attachment II. In addition, pursuant to Penal Code section 1233.10, subdivision (e), the maximum amount that can be awarded to a service provider is based on the population of the county, and is also specified on Attachment II. Each county may use up to five percent of its allocation for administrative costs.

This funding is available for expenditure for four years and any unspent funds revert to the state. Funds not encumbered with a service provider one year after allocation of grant funds to the county will immediately revert to the state.

If you have any questions, please contact Megan Barber-Brancamp via email at megan.barber-brancamp@BSCC.ca.gov or by phone at (916) 445-9435.

Sincerely,

Kathleen T. Howard

KATHLEEN T. HOWARD
Executive Director
Board of State and Community Corrections

cc: Mr. Matt Cate, Executive Director, California State Association of Counties
Ms. Elizabeth Howard Espinosa, Senior Legislative Representative, California State Association of Counties
Ms. Karen Pank, Executive Director, Chief Probation Officers of California
Mr. Nick Warner, Policy Director, California State Sheriffs' Association

Attachments

BSCC CALIFORNIA
LINDA M. PENNER
Chair
KATHLEEN T. HOWARD
Executive Director

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
BOARD OF STATE AND COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS
600 BERCUT DRIVE • SACRAMENTO CA 95811 • 916.445.5073 • BSCC.CA.GOV

EDMUND G. BROWN, JR.
Governor

Attachment I
California Penal Code Section 1233.10(a)

Upon agreement to accept funding from the Recidivism Reduction Fund, created in Section 1233.9, a county board of supervisors, in collaboration with the county's Community Corrections Partnership, shall develop, administer, and collect and submit data to the Board of State and Community Corrections regarding a competitive grant program intended to fund community recidivism and crime reduction services, including, but not limited to, delinquency prevention, homelessness prevention, and reentry services. The funding shall be allocated to counties by the State Controller's Office from Item 5227-101-3259 of Section 2.00 of the Budget Act of 2014-15 according to the following schedule:

Alameda	$ 250,000
Alpine	$ 10,000
Amador	$ 10,000
Butte	$ 50,000
Calaveras	$ 10,000
Colusa	$ 10,000
Contra Costa	$ 250,000
Del Norte	$ 10,000
El Dorado	$ 50,000
Fresno	$ 250,000
Glenn	$ 10,000
Humboldt	$ 50,000
Imperial	$ 50,000
Inyo	$ 10,000
Kern	$ 250,000
Kings	$ 50,000
Lake	$ 25,000
Lassen	$ 10,000
Los Angeles	$1,600,000
Madera	$ 50,000
Marin	$ 50,000
Mariposa	$ 10,000
Mendocino	$ 25,000
Merced	$ 50,000
Modoc	$ 10,000

Mono	$ 10,000
Monterey	$ 100,000
Napa	$ 50,000
Nevada	$ 25,000
Orange	$ 500,000
Placer	$ 50,000
Plumas	$ 10,000
Riverside	$ 500,000
Sacramento	$ 250,000
San Benito	$ 25,000
San Bernardino	$ 500,000
San Diego	$ 500,000
San Francisco	$ 250,000
San Joaquin	$ 250,000
San Luis Obispo	$ 50,000
San Mateo	$ 250,000
Santa Barbara	$ 100,000
Santa Clara	$ 500,000
Santa Cruz	$ 50,000
Shasta	$ 50,000
Sierra	$ 10,000
Siskiyou	$ 10,000
Solano	$ 100,000
Sonoma	$ 100,000
Stanislaus	$ 100,000
Sutter	$ 25,000
Tehama	$ 25,000
Trinity	$ 10,000
Tulare	$ 100,000
Tuolumne	$ 25,000
Ventura	$ 250,000
Yolo	$ 50,000
Yuba	$ 25,000

(b) For purposes of this section, "community recidivism and crime reduction service provider" means a nongovernmental entity or a consortium or coalition of nongovernmental entities, that provides community recidivism and crime reduction services, as described in paragraph (2) of subdivision (c), to persons who have been released from the state prison, a county jail, a juvenile detention facility, who are under the supervision of a parole or probation department, or any other person at risk of becoming involved in criminal activities.

(c) (1) A community recidivism and crime reduction service provider shall have a demonstrated history of providing services, as described in paragraph (2), to the target population during the five years immediately prior to the application for a grant awarded pursuant to this section.

(2) A community recidivism and crime reduction service provider shall provide services that are designed to enable persons to whom the services are provided to refrain from engaging in

crime, reconnect with their family members, and contribute to their communities. Community recidivism and crime reduction services may include all of the following:

(A) Self-help groups.

(B) Individual or group assistance with basic life skills.

(C) Mentoring programs.

(D) Academic and educational services, including, but not limited to, services to enable the recipient to earn his or her high school diploma.

(E) Job training skills and employment.

(F) Truancy prevention programs.

(G) Literacy programs.

(H) Any other service that advances community recidivism and crime reduction efforts, as identified by the county board of supervisors and the Community Corrections Partnership.

(I) Individual or group assistance with referrals for any of the following:

(i) Mental and physical health assessments.

(ii) Counseling services.

(iii) Education and vocational programs.

(iv) Employment opportunities.

(v) Alcohol and drug treatment.

(vi) Health, wellness, fitness, and nutrition programs and services.

(vii) Personal finance and consumer skills programs and services.

(viii) Other personal growth and development programs to reduce recidivism.

(ix) Housing assistance.

(d) Pursuant to this section and upon agreement to accept funding from the Recidivism Reduction Fund, the board of supervisors, in collaboration with the county's Community Corrections Partnership, shall grant funds allocated to the county, as described in subdivision (a), to community recidivism and crime reduction service providers based on the needs of their community.

(e) (1) The amount awarded to each community recidivism and crime reduction service provider by a county shall be based on the population of the county, as projected by the Department of Finance, and shall not exceed the following:

(A) One hundred thousand dollars ($100,000) in a county with a population of over 4,000,000 people.

(B) Fifty thousand dollars ($50,000) in a county with a population of 700,000 or more people but less than 4,000,000 people.

(C) Twenty five thousand dollars ($25,000) in a county with a population of 400,000 or more people but less than 700,000 people.

(D) Ten thousand dollars ($10,000) in a county with a population of less than 400,000 people.

(2) The total amount of grants awarded to a single community recidivism and crime reduction service provider by all counties pursuant to this section shall not exceed one hundred thousand dollars ($100,000).

(f) The board of supervisors, in collaboration with the county's Community Corrections Partnership, shall establish minimum requirements, funding criteria, and procedures for the counties to award grants consistent with the criteria established in this section.

(g) A community recidivism and crime reduction service provider that receives a grant under this section shall report to the county board of supervisors or the Community Corrections Partnership on the number of individuals served and the types of services provided, consistent

with paragraph (2) of subdivision (c). The board of supervisors or the Community Corrections Partnership shall report to the Board of State and Community Corrections any information received under this subdivision from grant recipients.

(h) Of the total amount granted to a county, up to 5 percent may be withheld by the board of supervisors or the Community Corrections Partnership for the payment of administrative costs.

(i) Any funds allocated to a county under this section shall be available for expenditure for a period of four years and any unexpended funds shall revert to the state General Fund at the end of the four-year period. Any funds not encumbered with a community recidivism and crime reduction service provider one year after allocation of grant funds to counties shall immediately revert to the state General Fund.

BSCC CALIFORNIA
LINDA M. PENNER
Chair
KATHLEEN T. HOWARD
Executive Director

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
BOARD OF STATE AND COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS
600 BERCUT DRIVE • SACRAMENTO CA 95811 • 916.445.5073 • BSCC.CA.GOV

EDMUND G. BROWN, JR.
Governor

Attachment II
County Allocations

County	Funding Allocation	Population*	Maximum Provider Allocation
Alameda	$250,000.00	1,573,254	$50,000.00
Alpine	$10,000.00	1,079	$10,000.00
Amador	$10,000.00	36,151	$10,000.00
Butte	$50,000.00	222,361	$10,000.00
Calaveras	$10,000.00	44,650	$10,000.00
Colusa	$10,000.00	21,660	$10,000.00
Contra Costa	$250,000.00	1,087,008	$50,000.00
Del Norte	$10,000.00	28,131	$10,000.00
El Dorado	$50,000.00	182,404	$10,000.00
Fresno	$250,000.00	964,040	$50,000.00
Glenn	$1,000.00	28,353	$10,000.00
Humboldt	$50,000.00	134,648	$10,000.00
Imperial	$50,000.00	180,672	$10,000.00
Inyo	$10,000.00	18,590	$10,000.00
Kern	$250,000.00	873,092	$50,000.00
Kings	$50,000.00	150,181	$10,000.00
Lake	$25,000.00	64,699	$10,000.00
Lassen	$10,000.00	32,581	$10,000.00
Los Angeles	$1,600,000.00	10,041,797	$100,000.00
Madera	$50,000.00	153,897	$10,000.00
Marin	$50,000.00	255,846	$10,000.00
Mariposa	$10,000.00	18,467	$10,000.00
Mendocino	$25,000.00	89,029	$10,000.00
Merced	$50,000.00	264,922	$10,000.00
Modoc	$10,000.00	9,197	$10,000.00
Mono	$10,000.00	14,143	$10,000.00
Monterey	$100,000.00	425,756	$25,000.00
Napa	$50,000.00	139,255	$10,000.00
Nevada	$25,000.00	97,225	$10,000.00
Orange	$500,000.00	3,113,991	$50,000.00
Placer	$50,000.00	366,115	$10,000.00
Plumas	$10,000.00	19,140	$10,000.00
Riverside	$500,000.00	2,279,967	$50,000.00
Sacramento	$250,000.00	1,454,406	$50,000.00
San Benito	$25,000.00	57,517	$10,000.00
San Bernardino	$500,000.00	2,085,669	$50,000.00

Attachment II
Page 2

County	Funding Allocation	Population	Max. Provider Allocation
San Diego	$500,000.00	3,194,362	$50,000.00
San Francisco	$250,000.00	836,620	$50,000.00
San Joaquin	$250,000.00	710,731	$50,000.00
San Luis Obispo	$50,000.00	272,357	$10,000.00
San Mateo	$250,000.00	745,193	$50,000.00
Santa Barbara	$100,000.00	433,398	$25,000.00
Santa Clara	$500,000.00	1,868,558	$50,000.00
Santa Cruz	$50,000.00	271,595	$10,000.00
Shasta	$50,000.00	179,412	$10,000.00
Sierra	$10,000.00	3,089	$10,000.00
Siskiyou	$10,000.00	45,231	$10,000.00
Solano	$100,000.00	424,233	$25,000.00
Sonoma	$100,000.00	490,486	$25,000.00
Stanislaus	$100,000.00	526,042	$25,000.00
Sutter	$25,000.00	95,733	$10,000.00
Tehama	$25,000.00	63,717	$10,000.00
Trinity	$10,000.00	13,389	$10,000.00
Tulare	$100,000.00	459,446	$25,000.00
Tuolumne	$25,000.00	53,604	$10,000.00
Ventura	$250,000.00	842,967	$50,000.00
Yolo	$50,000.00	206,381	$10,000.00
Yuba	$25,000.00	73,682	$10,000.00

*As estimated by the Department of Finance

COUNTY OF ORANGE
PUBLIC SAFETY REALIGNMENT SUMMIT

10/10/2014

Reentry and Recidivism in Orange County

This Summit is convening a cross section of local stakeholders to discuss the impact and challenges of Public Safety Realignment (AB109). This will be an exciting opportunity to share empirical data, identify best practices and model programs that enhance public safety in Orange County.

Public Safety Realignment Summit

REENTRY AND RECIDIVISM IN ORANGE COUNTY

The County of Orange Realignment Summit will present current evidence-based research and outcomes regarding reentry and recidivism in Orange County. The Summit is convening a cross section of local stakeholders, to provide information of the impact of Realignment and its challenges. This will be an exciting opportunity to share empirical data, identify best practices and model programs that enhance public safety.

GOALS

- Educate relevant stakeholders regarding Realignment and the impact of incarceration and recidivism in Orange County.
- Consider an 'action agenda' for collaborative partnerships to address the barriers faced by the incarcerated that have a desire to successfully reintegrate into local communities.

OBJECTIVES

- Inform community partners, who will explore relevant approaches and solutions.
- Establish profiles and processes that give ex-felons the best chance of re-entering communities without returning to jail.
- Develop positive working relationships between law enforcement and stakeholders, as it relates to Realignment.

VENUE

- The Orange County Community Corrections Partnership would like to thank the generous support of Concordia University Irvine, and their Center for Public Policy for hosting the Summit.

THE PUBLIC SAFETY REALIGNMENT ACT

In an effort to address overcrowding in California's prisons and assist in alleviating the state's financial crisis, the Public Safety Realignment Act (Realignment), pursuant to AB 109, was signed into law on April 4, 2011 and took effect October 1, 2011. Realignment made some of the largest and pivotal changes to the criminal justice system in California. Generally speaking, Realignment transferred the responsibility of supervision to the 58 counties for felons (excluding high risk sex offenders) released from prison whose commitment offenses are statutorily defined as non-serious and non-violent. Offenders convicted after October 1, 2011 who have no current or prior statutorily defined serious, violent, or sex-offending convictions are to serve time locally (regardless of length of sentence) with the possibility of community supervision in place of time spent in custody.

Realignment established the Postrelease Community Supervision classification of supervision, altered the parole revocation process with more responsibility in local jurisdictions, gave local law enforcement the freedom to manage offenders in a more cost-effective manner and charged the Community Corrections Partnerships (CCPs) with planning and implementing Realignment in their community as of October 1, 2011. Effective July 1, 2013, parole violations are housed, prosecuted and tried locally. This legislation created an unprecedented opportunity for all 58 California counties to determine an appropriate level of supervision and services to address both the needs and risks of individuals released from prison and local jails into the community. With the passage of Proposition 30 in 2012, Realignment is ensured a continuous source of funding.

O.C. COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

- STEVEN J. SENTMAN, CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER (CHAIR)
- SANDRA HUTCHENS, SHERIFF-CORONER
- TONY RACKAUCKAS, DISTRICT ATTORNEY
- FRANK OSPINO, PUBLIC DEFENDER
- MARY HALE, HEALTH CARE AGENCY
- KEVIN RANEY, CHIEF OF POLICE, GARDEN GROVE

Schedule of Events

SUBJECT TO CHANGE

Time	Topic	Location
7:30	**Registration**	Entry Hall
8:30	**Welcome & Introductory Remarks** • Steven Sentman, Chief Probation Officer Orange County Probation Department **Master of Ceremonies** • Supervisor Todd Spitzer, Third District Orange County Board of Supervisors **Invocation:** Pastor Glen Small **Color Guard:** Orange County Sheriff's Department	Main Hall
9:00	**AB 109 101** • Chris Bieber, Chief Deputy Probation Officer Orange County Probation Department	
9:30	**Keynote Speaker** • Linda Penner, Executive Officer, California Board of State & Community Corrections	
10:30	**Break**	
10:45	**Guest Speaker** • Magnus Lofstrom, Senior Fellow, Public Policy institute of California	

Time	Topic	Location
11:45	**Public Policy Workshop** Panelists: • Keith Curry, Newport Beach City Council • City Manager (TBA) • Dr. Susan Turner, Center for Evidence Based Corrections, University of California, Irvine Moderator: • Dr. Shirley Hunt, Research Analyst Orange County Probation Department	Breakout Room #1
	Law Enforcement Workshop Panelists: • Carlos Rojas, Police Chief, Santa Ana Police Department • Gina Dransfeldt, Deputy Probation Officer Orange County Probation Department • Enrique Gonzalez, Parole Administrator Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation Moderator: • Greg Boston, Director of Inmate Services Orange County Sheriff's Department	Breakout Room #2
	Community Services Workshop Panelists: • Paula Rice Sherman, Phoenix House • Anna Keiderling, KC Services • Geoff Henderson, Inmate Services Manager Orange County Sheriff's Department Moderator: • Mitch Cherness, Service Chief Orange County Health Care Administration	Breakout Room #3

Time	Topic	Location
12:45	**Break**	
1:00	**Lunch** • PP Presentation and Discussion Items	Cafeteria
2:00	**Discussion of Breakout Sessions** • Moderators	Main Hall
3:00	**Break**	
3:15	**Panel Discussion** • Community Corrections Executives Moderator: • Jeff Brouwer, Lieutenant Newport Beach Police Department	Main Hall
	Wrap up • TBA	

THE PUBLIC SAFETY REALIGNMENT SUMMIT VENUE

- Parking is free at the Concordia University Main Parking Lot
- The Summit will be held in Grimm Hall (number 6 & 7 on the map)

Orange County Public Safety Realignment and PostRelease Community Supervision

April – June 2014
Quarterly Report

Prepared by:

Orange County Community Corrections Partnership

Superior Court of California
COUNTY OF ORANGE
Glenda Sanders, Presiding Judge

April – June 2014 Report

I. FILINGS

Measure	Monthly Average	2014 YTD	Q1			Q2		
			Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Felony Filings	1,428	8,566	1,565	1,332	1,374	1,639	1,377	1,279

II. INITIAL SENTENCING



Sentencing Type	Percent	Monthly Average	2014 YTD	Q1			Q2		
				Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
A. Mandatory Supervision ("split") *[PC§1170(h)(5)(b)]*	8%	82	494	80	85	75	76	85	93
B. Straight County Jail *[PC§1170(h)(5)(a)]*	7%	74	445	60	70	58	71	82	104
C. State Prison *(non PC§1170 eligible)*	19%	206	1,233	210	191	212	184	222	214
D. Felony Probation *[PC§1203.1]*	67%	730	4,377	707	692	702	767	754	755
E. TOTAL	100%	1,092	6,549	1,057	1,038	1,047	1,098	1,143	1,166

III. PETITIONS /COURT'S MOTIONS TO REVOKE/MODIFY

Petitions / Court's Motions	Percent	Monthly Average	2014 YTD	Q1			Q2		
				Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
A. Mandatory Supervision ("split")	6%	93	558	90	101	68	98	77	124
B. Post Release Community Supervision	8%	129	773	125	124	122	153	108	141
C. Parole	4%	71	424	84	64	52	80	69	75
D. Felony Probation	82%	1,338	8,030	1,373	1,204	1,285	1,429	1,328	1,411
○ *Petitions*	*42%*	*691*	*4,148*	*713*	*632*	*678*	*757*	*628*	*740*
○ *Court's Motion*	*40%*	*647*	*3,882*	*660*	*572*	*607*	*672*	*700*	*671*
E. TOTAL	100%	1,631	9,785	1,672	1,493	1,527	1,760	1,582	1,751

Sheriff's Department
Sandra Hutchens, Sheriff-Coroner

April - June 2014 Report

AVG Monthly PRCS Violators Booked
109.66 per month Average Length of Stay 53.63

Mental Health Treatment		
Open Case	*New Case*	*Rec Psych Drugs*
1428	354	871
Sick Calls	*DR Visits*	*OffSite DR Visit*
6135	5917	260

AVG Monthly Population of PC 1170(h)
730.0 Serving an average of 199.70 days







• Total number of PC 1170 (h) offenders (non-violent, non-serious, non-sex offenders) sentenced to the Orange County Jails as a new commitment. Includes both straight and split sentences.

Sheriff's Department
Sandra Hutchens, Sheriff-Coroner

April - June 2014 Report



• Total number of PostRelease Community Supervision offenders booked on a 1) PC3454(c)-flash incarceration; 2) PC3455(a)-revoked for technical violation; and 3) for new charges.



• Total number of state parole violators booked on a 1) PC3056(a) parole violation only; 2) received jail time as a result of a parole revocation hearing; and 3) any new offense(s) including 1170(h) charges.

Public Defender's Office
Frank Ospino, Public Defender

April - June 2014 Report

The Public Defender's office has seen a significant increase in workload with the Realignment population both in courtroom appearances and services offered to clients. As the numbers below will demonstrate, there has been an increase in the population served as well as the resources required by that population.

Staffing and workload

Three regularly assigned attorneys, two resource service paralegals, an attorney clerk and a staff specialist still continue to handle this increased workload. Additionally, non-dedicated staff assists with investigations and clerical needs.

Substantive legal issues created by the new provisions in law continue to be raised. As these unique legal and constitutional issues have developed, what had been the occasional writ and motion work has increased significantly. This quarter has seen an increase in Writs of habeas corpus advocating for clients rights brought before the Appellate Courts and the California Supreme Court. A writs lawyer now dedicates a significant amount of his workload to Realignment work.

Below are some examples of the type of work, as well as the percentage increase over last quarter, provided by the Realignment legal team for the periods from April 1, 2014 through June 30, 2014:

Type of Work	Jan - Mar 2014 (1st Qtr)	Apr – Jun 2014 (2nd Qtr)	% Increase
PCS Cases Opened	367	411	12%
MS Cases Opened	285	329	15%
Parole Cases Opened	207	221	7%
Total Court Appearances (inc. PCS, MS and Parole)	1602	2907	81%
Contested Hearings	16	20	25%

Public Defender's Office
Frank Ospino, Public Defender

April - June 2014 Report

Addressing Clients Needs

The Public Defender's office continues to take seriously its responsibility to address the needs of individuals released from prison and local jails into the community. Housing, employment and supportive services are still a big challenge. Each of these individuals has their own unique needs, housing being chief among them.

With the increase of clients this quarter, the two resource paralegals assigned to this unit have been very busy. They meet with clients in court, at the jail, the office, and in residential programs. They also effectively collaborate with Probation, Parole, the jail and healthcare. On a weekly basis, they attend the day reporting centers opened by OC Probation and Parole. In addition, they work with the jail on the Reentry program to further assist clients in connecting with services.

Linking clients to critical services are the key to their success in the community. Some of those services include obtaining valid forms of identification, MSI, SSI and birth certificates. The number of referrals to services and supports continues to increase. If the client is to succeed the need for such assistance is imperative.

Below is just a glimpse of the amount of work and types of services provided by the resource staff (servicing just the Parole and PCS clients) from April 1, 2014 through June 30, 2014, along with the percentage increase in workload:

Type of Services	Jan - Mar 2014 (1st Qtr)	Apr – Jun 2014 (2nd Qtr)	% Increase
Client Jail Visits	134	186	39%
Client Program Visits	76	91	20%
Phone Calls (to and from Clients)	575	768	34%
Program and Service Referrals	410	558	36%
Obtaining Valid Forms of Identification (including SSI and Birth Certificates)	92	208	126%

OFFICE OF THE
DISTRICT ATTORNEY
ORANGE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA
TONY RACKAUCKAS, DISTRICT ATTORNEY

April – June 2014 Report

Number of Petitions Filed

Filings	2nd Q 2014
PCS Petitions Filings (Estimate)	*309*

Filings	2nd Q 2014
MSV Petition Filings	313

Filings	2nd Q 2014
Parole Petitions Filings (Estimate)	218

Active PCS Petitions	*dna*	Active MSV Petitions	228	Active Parole Petitions	*31*
Warrant PSC Petitions	*dna*	Warrant MSV Petitions	361	Warrant Parole Petitions	*0*

Set Court Proceedings

PCS Proceedings



Post Release Community Supervision proceedings were down in the 2nd Quarter 2014 compared to the same period last year.

Projections for 2014 are also lower than the annual numbers for 2013.

While there may be many reasons for the decrease in Post Release Community Supervision cases, a possible explanation for the decline in PRCS proceedings could be the total decrease in the number of defendants sentenced to PRCS. AB 109 reduced the number of crimes eligible for PRCS sentences. Thus fewer defendants are being released subject to PRCS. In 2013 individuals who were sentenced before AB 109 took effect were completing their sentences and being released to this supervision.

MSV Proceedings



Mandatory Supervision Violation proceedings were up 64% during the 1st Half of 2014 as compared to the same time frame during the previous year.

Projections for MSV proceedings in 2014 indicate that the OCDA will appear in 38% more proceedings than in 2013.

A possible reason for this increase in cases may be the large number of crimes that were designated as mandatory supervision under 1170(h).

Defendants being returned to local prison for short time frames has increased 112% between the 1st half of 2013 and the 1st half of 2014.

Petition Dispositions	2012	2nd Q 2013	Jan-Jun 2013	2013	2nd Q 2014	Jan-Jun 2014	2014	1st Half % Change	Proj Annual % Change
Dismissed	8	10	12	72	9	37	74	208%	3%
Sustained No Time	12	13	27	53	22	42	84	56%	58%
Sustained Serve Specified Time	184	113	174	584	192	369	738	112%	26%
Sustained Returned for Remaining Term	30	64	166	243	98	199	398	20%	64%
MSV Terminated - Sentence Deemed Complete	4	6	11	33	9	37	74	236%	124%
Total	238	206	390	985	330	684	1368	75%	39%

OFFICE OF THE
DISTRICT ATTORNEY
ORANGE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA
TONY RACKAUCKAS, DISTRICT ATTORNEY

April – June 2014 Report

Parole Proceedings



AB 109 required the OCDA to handle Parole Violations beginning in July of 2013. Therefore, no quarter or annual comparisons can be made.

Annual projections show that the OCDA will handle 1248 Parole Violations proceedings in 2014.

Note: 2013 contains only 5 months worth of data.

Petition Dispositions	2012	2nd Q 2013	Jan-Jun 2013	2013	2nd Q 2014	Jan-Jun 2014	2014
Dismissed	*dna*	*dna*	*dna*	26	8	8	16
Sustained Serve Specified Time	*dna*	*dna*	*dna*	421	198	407	814
Total	0	0	0	447	206	415	830

Data Sources

The Office of the District Attorney (OCDA) tracks filings for Mandatory Supervision Violations in the DA Complaint Management System (CMS). This includes cases that go to warrant. However, resourses are not available to track **filings** for Post Release Community or Parole Violations; therefore, these numbers can only be estimated. The OCDA does track all proceedings/hearings scheduled for these AB 109 Violations. **Disposition and sentences** are currently only being tracked for Mandatory Supervision and Parole Violations.

The Central Justice Center handles all the Post Release Community Supervision (PCS) Violations. The PCS proceedings are heard in C58 on Wednesdays. The OCDA tracks the PCS violation proceedings from the Court's VISION calendar.

Mandatory Supervision Violations (MSV) are heard primarily in C58, but can be assigned out from C 58 to to other courts for future hearings and dispositions. Some MSV are also filed in CJ1. MSV hearings are part of the data exchange with VISION and are included in the automated data exchange between the OCDA and the Courts. Cases are updated as new hearings are scheduled and dispositions and sentences are being entered into CMS.

Parole Violations are heard at the Central Justice Center. They are heard in CJ1 on Thursdays. Cases are only entered into the OCDA's CMS once a hearing is set. Cases are updated as new hearings are scheduled and dispositions and sentences are being entered into CMS.

OCDA Representative

Steve Yonemura
Head of Court, Central Justice Center
714-834-7613
steve.yonemura@ocda.ocgov.com

OCDA Data Expert

Katie J.B. Parsons, Ph.D.
Research Manager
714-623-0615
katie.parsons@ocda.ocgov.com

Health Care Agency (HCA)

Mark Refowitz, Agency Director

April - June 2014 Report

Referrals and Admissions

Probation Referrals & HCA Assessments



Admitted to Outpatient Treatment



Admitted into Housing/FSP Services



Admitted to Residential Treatment/Detox



Health Care Agency (HCA)
Mark Refowitz, Agency Director

April - June 2014 Report

Cumulative total of AB 109 Clients referred to HCA Behavioral Health Services - November 2011-June 2014 = 8,221

Referrals made through June 2014	Total
Outpatient SUD Tx	1350
Residential SUD Tx	1251
Outpatient AMHS	265
Sober Living	206
Social Model Detox	274*
Medical Detox	44*
Full Service Partnership (FSP)	20*
Shelter	55*
Methadone Detox	28*
Methadone Maintenance	20*
Psychiatrist	237*

Admitted to Services through June 2014	Total
Outpatient SUD Tx	1079 (80%)
Residential SUD Tx	1146 (92%)
Outpatient AMHS	139 (52%)
Sober Living	196 (95%)
Social Model Detox	210 (77%)
Medical Detox	24 (55%)
Full Service Partnership (FSP)	18 (90%)
Shelter	27 (49%)
Methadone Detox	23 (82%)
Methadone Maintenance	16 (80%)
Clients seen by Psychiatrist	212 (89%)

**estimated, not tracked from the beginning*

Behavioral Health Services:

HCA continues to partner with Probation providing behavioral health assessments and referrals. Of the total referrals received during this quarter, 93% were successfully assessed. June referrals were lower compared to April and May which resulted in a lower number of clients referred and admitted to substance abuse outpatient treatment. New admits to residential treatment were high in May. In mid-June funding for residential treatment reached maximum capacity and referrals to residential treatment had to be put on hold through the end of June, hence the lower than average number of admits in June.

During this quarter, Vivitrol (injectable Naltraxone), a Medication Assisted Treatment Program, was implemented in the community for persons with alcohol and/or opiate abuse disorder. BHS administered Vivitrol to one client in May and seven new clients in June. Feedback from clients on Vivitrol has been very positive. Clients receiving Vivitrol must be actively participating in outpatient services to continue to receive medication.

Additionally, HCA successfully worked with sober living providers to increase their service capacity to address the needs of clients graduating from residential treatment and wanting to continue their recovery in sober living and be involved in outpatient services. In June, there were 17 admits to Sober Living, compared to eight in May and 11 in April.

Correctional Health Services:

Partnering with BHS, Correctional Health staff administered Vivitrol (as described above) to six inmates prior to their release. Coordinated follow-up is arranged for these individuals to receive additional injections post-release via BHS out-patient services.

Fifty (50) AB 109 inmates were either hospitalized or treated in the Emergency Department. This is slightly lower than first quarter.

All primary care physician services are provided within the jail; however, when an AB 109 inmate needs specialty services, they are transported to specialty medical clinics off-site (such as, Cardiology, Nephrology, Oncology, OB, Surgery, etc.). There are currently nearly 20 specialty clinic services available with 153 clinic visits completed during the second quarter of 2014 for AB 109 inmates specifically. This equates to approximately 37% of specialty clinic services business—with only 15% of the total jail population being AB 109 status. We experienced a significantly higher utilization of specialty clinic services during quarter two than last quarter (22%).

In-custody Correctional Health Services triages and screens every AB 109 inmate in the jail to determine their medical and mental health needs and subsequent treatment and medication plan. (The volume of patients is reflected in the Sheriff's section of this report, as all in-custody inmates on the Sheriff's census are also managed by in-custody healthcare staff.)

Orange County Probation Department
Steven J. Sentman, Chief Probation Officer

April - June 2014

Realignment Population

Status of PCS Releases*	June 2014	Status of MS Individuals	June 2014
Releases from Prison	**3926**	**Total**	**2900**
Actively Supervised (PCS)	1689	Actively Supervised (Rlsd. From Jail)	858
On Active Warrant (236 ICE Warrants)	492	Sentenced, but still in custody	306
Discharges Pursuant to 3456(a)(3)	1123	On Active Warrant	298
Other Discharges/Transfers	622	MS Case Term./Exp./Other	794

*Based on CDCR's projected release dates and are subject to change. Cumulative numbers reflect the most current release date information.

Warrants/ Revocations/Flash Incarcerations







	Apr-Jun 2014
Total Warrants	234

	Apr-Jun 2014
Total Rev.	384

	Apr-Jun 2014
Total Flash	277

Actively Supervised PCS/MS Demographics & Assessed Risk

Gender	PCS	MS
Male	89%	77%
Female	11%	23%
Ethnicity		
White	46%	54%
Hispanic	41%	35%
Black	6%	3%
Asian/PI	5%	6%
Other	2%	2%
Avg Age	36.6	34.2
Initial Risk Classification		
High	91%	88%
Medium	8%	10%
Low	1%	2%

Prior Probation Violations	PCS	MS
None	5%	12%
One or More	95%	88%
Substance Abuse		
No Problem	12%	9%
Occasional to Frequent Abuse	88%	91%
Age at First Conviction		
24 or older	14%	27%
20-23	23%	23%
19 or younger	63%	50%
Prior Probation Supervision		
None	6%	10%
One or More	94%	90%
Prior Felony Convictions		
None	5%	12%
One	5%	12%
Two or More	90%	76%

Controlling Offense (PCS)

Felony Offense	Person	Property	Drug	Weapons	Other/Unk
	8%	35%	42%	6%	10%

Orange County Probation Department
Steven J. Sentman, Chief Probation Officer

April - June 2014

PCS Division Supervision

Office Visits	Home Calls	Resource Referrals	Search & Seizure	Urinalysis Tests	Pos. UA Tests	Arrests
5,579	1,108	426	2,018	1,554	307	327

PCS OD Intakes	
April	80
May	83
June	86
Total	**249**

GPS Devices Utilized	64

OCSD Tactical Apprehension Team	
PCS Arrests	89
MS Arrests	10
Probationer Arrests	90
Field Attempts	111
Field Searches	252
Total Tactical Team Rev. Filed	79

Day Reporting Center (DRC)

Program Referrals		88
Referral Reason (%)		
Benefit to Participant	59%	
Sanction	8%	
Both	8%	
Missing/Unknown	25%	
Program Entries		
Risk Level at Entry (%)		88
High	84%	
Medium	5%	
Low	0%	
New/Unclassified	11%	
Program Discharges		85
Phase at Exit (1-3)		
1	39%	
2	8%	
3	5%	
Unknown	48%	

Assessed Risk Level for Discharges



DRC Discharges by Type



Orange County Probation Department
Steven J. Sentman, Chief Probation Officer

AB109 Realignment Monthly Stats
July, 2014

Postrelease Community Supervision (PCS)

July Releases from Prison (July 1-31, 2014)*	**87**
Year-to-Date Releases from Prison (Jan.1- July 31, 2014)*	**591**

Status of PCS Releases as of July 31, 2014*

	Cumulative
Total Releases from Prison	**4009**
Actively Supervised (PCS)	1724
On Active Warrant **(includes 249 ICE warrants)**	497
Discharges Pursuant to 3456(a)(3)	1154
Other Discharges/Transfers	634

*Based on CDCR's projected release dates and are subject to change. Cumulative numbers reflect the most current release date information.

Warrants and Revocations

37.99% of individuals had at least one warrant issued since 10/1/2011



	Jul-14	YTD-2014	Cumulative
Total Warr.	93	568	2836

39.86% of individuals had at least one revocation since 10/1/2011.



	Jul-14	YTD-2014	Cumulative
Total Rev.	143	925	3260

Flash Incarcerations

July 2014

72% in July were due to a technical violation/warrant.
28% in July were due to a new law violation.

Year to Date (1/1/2014-7/31/2014)

70% due to a technical violation/warrant.
30% due to a new law violation.

Cumulative (10/1/2011-7/31/2014)

68% due to a technical violation/warrant.
32% due to a new law violation.

42.0% (1,685 of 4,009 individuals released from prison had at least one flash.)



Mandatory Supervision (MS)

	July, 2014	Cumulative (10/1/2011-7/31/2014)
Total MS Convictions (A count of total convictions, not individuals)	**79**	**2982**
Individuals with MS Convictions		
Actively Supervised (Released from Jail)		830
Sentenced, but still in custody		299
On Active Warrant as of July 31, 2014		290
MS Case Terminated/Expired/Other		885
Total	**65**	**2304**

PRETRIAL JUSTICE CONFERENCE

EVIDENCE-BASED RISK ASSESSMENT FOR PRETRIAL RELEASE

Sponsored by:

The curriculum embraces the legal foundations of evidence-based pretrial release and supervision. It includes the latest research on effective pretrial practices, pretrial services, and national standards for pretrial decision making. The presenters are Peter Ozanne, who has trained federal, state and local officials throughout the country on pretrial services, and the Hon. Brian Back, Presiding Judge of the Ventura County Superior Court.

Target Audience

- City Attorneys
- County Administrators
- District Attorney
- Federal Court
- Health Care Agency
- Judicial Council – Criminal Justice Court Services Office
- Local Police Agencies and CHP
- Probation
- Public Defender
- Sheriff
- Superior Court

OCTOBER 1, 2014

9:00 a.m. – 1:30 p.m. (lunch provided)

Sheriff's Training Center 15991 Armstrong Avenue, Tustin, CA 92782

To register contact Phyllis Gilchrist at pgilchrist@occourts.org or 657.622.7412 by September 15, 2014