Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Proposer:	ES&S													Score	Weighted
Written Criteria	70%	Panel #1		Panel #2		Panel #3		Panel #4		Panel #5		Panel #6		Panel #7		Percentage	Score
Qualifications and Related Experience	10%	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	8.29	5.80
Proposed Staffing and Key Personnel	10%	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	8.00	5.60
Work Plan/Project Approach	60%	2.00	24.00	3.00	36.00	3.00	36.00	3.00	36.00	3.00	36.00	3.00	36.00	3.00	36.00	34.29	24.00
Cost of Proposal	10%	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	6.00	4.20
Proposal Organization/Completeness; Degree of Compliance with Co Contra	10%	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	3.00	6.00	4.00	8.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	6.86	4.80
Written Proposal Evaluation - Must Equal 100%	100%	18.00	56.00	18.00	66.00	17.00	64.00	18.00	66.00	17.00	64.00	17.00	64.00	17.00	64.00	63.43	44.40
Oral Criteria	30%	Panel #1		Panel #2		Panel #3		Panel #4		Panel #5		Panel #6		Panel #7			
Qualifications and Related Experience	10%	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	5.00	10.00	9.14	2.74
Proposed Staffing and Key Personnel	10%	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	8.86	2.66
Work Plan/Project Approach	60%	3.00	36.00	4.00	48.00	4.00	48.00	4.00	48.00	4.00	48.00	4.00	48.00	4.00	48.00	46.29	13.89
Cost of Proposal	10%	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	6.00	1.80
Proposal Organization/Completeness; Degree of Compliance with Co Contra	10%	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	8.57	2.57
						10.00		10.00				10.05					
Oral Proposal Evaluation - Must Equal 100% Grand Total - Must Equal 100%		21.00	72.00	22.00	84.00	19.00	78.00	19.00	78.00	21.00	82.00	19.00	78.00	20.00	80.00	78.86	23.66 68.06

Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Proposer:	Hart													Score	Weighted
Written Criteria	70%	Panel #1		Panel #2		Panel #3		Panel #4		Panel #5		Panel #6		Panel #7		Percentage	Score
Qualifications and Related Experience	10%	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	8.57	6.00
Proposed Staffing and Key Personnel	10%	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	8.57	6.00
Work Plan/Project Approach	60%	4.00	48.00	4.00	48.00	5.00	60.00	4.00	48.00	4.00	48.00	4.00	48.00	4.00	48.00	49.71	34.80
Cost of Proposal	10%	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	10.00	7.00
Proposal Organization/Completeness; Degree of Compliance with Co Contra	10%	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	8.57	6.00
	1000/																
Written Proposal Evaluation - Must Equal 100%		24.00	88.00	21.00	82.00	25.00	100.00	21.00	82.00	21.00	82.00	21.00	82.00	21.00	82.00	85.43	59.80
Oral Criteria	30%	Panel #1		Panel #2		Panel #3		Panel #4		Panel #5		Panel #6		Panel #7			
Qualifications and Related Experience	10%	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	9.71	2.91
Proposed Staffing and Key Personnel	10%	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	9.71	2.91
Work Plan/Project Approach	60%	4.00	48.00	4.00	48.00	4.00	48.00	4.00	48.00	4.00	48.00	4.00	48.00	4.00	48.00	48.00	14.40
Cost of Proposal	10%	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	10.00	3.00
Proposal Organization/Completeness; Degree of Compliance with Co Contra	10%	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	5.00	10.00	8.86	2.66
Oral Proposal Evaluation - Must Equal 100%	100%	24.00	88.00	23.00	86.00	24.00	88.00	21.00	82.00	23.00	86.00	23.00	86.00	24.00	88.00	86.29	25.89
Grand Total - Must Equal 100%	100%				_	_	_			_	_	_	_	_	_		85.69

Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Proposer:	Dominion													Score	Weighted
Written Criteria	70%	Panel #1		Panel #2		Panel #3		Panel #4		Panel #5		Panel #6		Panel #7		Percentage	Score
Qualifications and Related Experience	10%	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	8.29	5.80
Proposed Staffing and Key Personnel	10%	4.00	8.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	4.00	8.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	4.00	8.00	6.86	4.80
Work Plan/Project Approach	60%	3.00	36.00	3.00	36.00	3.00	36.00	4.00	48.00	3.00	36.00	3.00	36.00	3.00	36.00	37.71	26.40
Cost of Proposal	10%	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	8.00	5.60
Proposal Organization/Completeness; Degree of Compliance with Co Contra	10%	4.00	8.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	4.00	8.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	6.57	4.60
Written Proposal Evaluation - Must Equal 100%	100%	20.00	70.00	17.00	64.00	17.00	64.00	20.00	80.00	17.00	64.00	17.00	64.00	18.00	66.00	67.43	47.20
Oral Criteria	30%	Panel #1		Panel #2		Panel #3		Panel #4		Panel #5		Panel #6		Panel #7			
Qualifications and Related Experience	10%	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	8.29	2.49
Proposed Staffing and Key Personnel	10%	4.00	8.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	7.43	2.23
Work Plan/Project Approach	60%	3.00	36.00	3.00	36.00	3.00	36.00	3.00	36.00	3.00	36.00	3.00	36.00	3.00	36.00	36.00	10.80
Cost of Proposal	10%	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	8.00	2.40
Proposal Organization/Completeness; Degree of Compliance with Co Contra	10%	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	4.00	8.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	6.29	1.89
Oral Proposal Evaluation - Must Equal 100%	100%	19.00	68.00	17.00	64.00	17.00	64.00	19.00	68.00	18.00	66.00	18.00	66.00	18.00	66.00	66.00	19.80
Grand Total - Must Equal 100%	100%																67.00

		1_															
	Weight	Proposer:	Runbeck													Score	Weighted
Written Criteria	70%	Panel #1		Panel #2		Panel #3		Panel #4		Panel #5		Panel #6		Panel #7		Percentage	Score
Qualifications and Related Experience	10%	2.00	4.00	2.00	4.00	2.00	4.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	2.00	4.00	3.00	6.00	4.86	3.40
Proposed Staffing and Key Personnel	10%	2.00	4.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	4.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	4.00	2.86	2.00
Work Plan/Project Approach	60%	1.00	12.00	1.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	12.00	1.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	12.00	8.57	6.00
Cost of Proposal	10%	1.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.40
Proposal Organization/Completeness; Degree of Compliance with Co Contra	10%	1.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	2.00	1.71	1.20
Written Proposal Evaluation - Must Equal 100%	100%	7.00	24.00	6.00	22.00	5.00	10.00	7.00	24.00	8.00	26.00	4.00	8.00	8.00	26.00	20.00	14.00
Oral Criteria	30%	Panel #1		Panel #2		Panel #3		Panel #4		Panel #5		Panel #6		Panel #7			
Qualifications and Related Experience	10%																
Proposed Staffing and Key Personnel	10%																
Work Plan/Project Approach	60%																
Cost of Proposal	10%																
Proposal Organization/Completeness; Degree of Compliance with Co Contra	10%																
Oral Proposal Evaluation - Must Equal 100%	100%															0.00	0.00
Grand Total - Must Equal 100%				<u> </u>				<u> </u>		<u>•</u>		_					14.00

Final Scoring Summary RFP #031-C022406-KG Category C – Central County Scanning and Tabulation

Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Proposer: ES&S													Score	Weighted	
Written Criteria	70%	Panel #1		Panel #2		Panel #3		Panel #4		Panel #5		Panel #6		Panel #7		Percentage	Score
Qualifications and Related Experience	10%	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	8.29	5.80
Proposed Staffing and Key Personnel	10%	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	8.29	5.80
Work Plan/Project Approach	60%	2.00	24.00	3.00	36.00	3.00	36.00	3.00	36.00	3.00	36.00	3.00	36.00	3.00	36.00	34.29	24.00
Cost of Proposal	10%	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	8.00	5.60
Proposal Organization/Completeness; Degree of Complian	10%	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	3.00	6.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	7.71	5.40
Written Proposal Evaluation - Must Equal 100%	100%	20.00	60.00	19.00	68.00	19.00	68.00	19.00	68.00	18.00	66.00	19.00	68.00	19.00	68.00	66.57	46.60
Oral Criteria	30%	Panel #1		Panel #2		Panel #3		Panel #4		Panel #5		Panel #6		Panel #7			
Qualifications and Related Experience	10%	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	9.71	2.91
Proposed Staffing and Key Personnel	10%	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	9.71	2.91
Work Plan/Project Approach	60%	4.00	48.00	4.00	48.00	3.00	36.00	4.00	48.00	4.00	48.00	4.00	48.00	4.00	48.00	46.29	13.89
Cost of Proposal	10%	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	8.00	2.40
Proposal Organization/Completeness; Degree of Complian	10%	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	8.57	2.57
Oral Proposal Evaluation - Must Equal 100%	100%	23.00	86.00	23.00	86.00	21.00	72.00	20.00	80.00	22.00	84.00	22.00	84.00	22.00	84.00	82.29	24.69
·		23.00	00.00	23.00	00.00	21.00	12.00	20.00	00.00	22.00	04.00	22.00	04.00	22.00	04.00	02.29	
Grand Total - Must Equal 100%	100%																71.29

Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Proposer:	Hart													Score	Weighted
Written Criteria	70%	Panel #1		Panel #2		Panel #3		Panel #4		Panel #5		Panel #6		Panel #7		Percentage	Score
Qualifications and Related Experience	10%	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	8.57	6.00
Proposed Staffing and Key Personnel	10%	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	8.57	6.00
Work Plan/Project Approach	60%	3.00	36.00	4.00	48.00	4.00	48.00	4.00	48.00	4.00	48.00	4.00	48.00	4.00	48.00	46.29	32.40
Cost of Proposal	10%	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	10.00	7.00
Proposal Organization/Completeness; Degree of Complian	10%	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	8.57	6.00
Written Proposal Evaluation - Must Equal 100%	100%	23.00	76.00	21.00	82.00	24.00	88.00	21.00	82.00	21.00	82.00	21.00	82.00	21.00	82.00	82.00	57.40
Oral Criteria	30%	Panel #1		Panel #2		Panel #3		Panel #4		Panel #5		Panel #6		Panel #7			
Qualifications and Related Experience	10%	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	9.14	2.74
Proposed Staffing and Key Personnel	10%	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	9.14	2.74
Work Plan/Project Approach	60%	3.00	36.00	3.00	36.00	4.00	48.00	4.00	48.00	4.00	48.00	4.00	48.00	3.00	36.00	42.86	12.86
Cost of Proposal	10%	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	10.00	3.00
Proposal Organization/Completeness; Degree of Complian	10%	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	8.29	2.49
Oral Proposal Evaluation - Must Equal 100%	100%	23.00	76.00	20.00	70.00	23.00	86.00	21.00	82.00	21.00	82.00	23.00	86.00	22.00	74.00	79.43	23.83
Grand Total - Must Equal 100%	100%																81.23

Fundamentia a Onitania	144 1 1 4	In	D ' '													0	Mainlete d
		Proposer:	Dominion	•				•								Score	Weighted
Written Criteria	70%	Panel #1		Panel #2		Panel #3		Panel #4		Panel #5		Panel #6		Panel #7		Percentage	Score
Qualifications and Related Experience	10%	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	8.57	6.00
Proposed Staffing and Key Personnel	10%	4.00	8.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	4.00	8.00	3.00	6.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	7.14	5.00
Work Plan/Project Approach	60%	4.00	48.00	3.00	36.00	3.00	36.00	4.00	48.00	3.00	36.00	3.00	36.00	3.00	36.00	39.43	27.60
Cost of Proposal	10%	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	6.00	4.20
Proposal Organization/Completeness; Degree of Complian	10%	4.00	8.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	4.00	8.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	6.57	4.60
Written Proposal Evaluation - Must Equal 100%		20.00	80.00	16.00	62.00	17.00	64.00	19.00	78.00	16.00	62.00	17.00	64.00	17.00	64.00	67.71	47.40
Oral Criteria	30%	Panel #1		Panel #2		Panel #3		Panel #4		Panel #5		Panel #6		Panel #7			
Qualifications and Related Experience	10%	5.00	10.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	8.29	2.49
Proposed Staffing and Key Personnel	10%	4.00	8.00	3.00	6.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	7.71	2.31
Work Plan/Project Approach	60%	4.00	48.00	3.00	36.00	3.00	36.00	3.00	36.00	3.00	36.00	4.00	48.00	3.00	36.00	39.43	11.83
Cost of Proposal	10%	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	6.00	1.80
Proposal Organization/Completeness; Degree of Complian	10%	4.00	8.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	4.00	8.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	4.00	8.00	6.86	2.06
Oral Proposal Evaluation - Must Equal 100%	100%	20.00	80.00	16.00	62.00	17.00	64.00	18.00	66.00	17.00	64.00	18.00	76.00	18.00	66.00	68.29	20.49
Grand Total - Must Equal 100%	100%																67.89



Respondent:	
Evaluator Number:	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions Will-en

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions WY 111-En			
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;		1	
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the		(
Scope of work;)	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.		,	
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the		1	
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess			
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		9	
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,		١	
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services			
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;		l	
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:		, l	
-Familiarity with the services requested		$\langle \ \rangle$	
-Resources clearly identified for each task		}	
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting		$\langle \mathcal{A} $	
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS			
Matrix Requirements		1	1
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			İ
-Application Software and Integration	1		
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach		ľ	j
-Documentation and System Administration			
-Training	İ		1
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	
Proposal demonstrates:	10		_ 0
Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1	- 11	- 1
based on an appropriate cost scoring method. -Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.			



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized:			
• RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to:		91	
 Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted. 		\	
Respondent Total	100	\	0
Total We	ighted Possi	ble Score	500
Converted to			0



ı		
	Respondent:	λ
Į	Evaluator Number:	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions Mile Col

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;		C	
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work;		5	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.		1	
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	<u> </u>		
Proposal demonstrates:	10	00	0
Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the	1		l
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess	•		İ
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.	1 1		
References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,	1	7	
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH			
Proposal demonstrates:	60	_0	0
	1		
Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and applied to the scope of work;	1	1	
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following:	1	1	
-Familiarity with the services requested		161	
-Resources clearly identified for each task		7 1	
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting			l
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS	1	1	
• Matrix Requirements		1	[
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance		1	
-Application Software and Integration		ı	
Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			
Documentation and System Administration	<u> </u>		
Training	1		
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10		
Proposal demonstrates:	10	0	0
Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score		1	İ
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		5	·
Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		ノー	



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions: • Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized; • RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to; • Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.		5	
Respondent Total			0
		sible Score	500
Converted to	100 point	score total	0



	·
Respondent:	
Evaluator Number:	1

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions 110140

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;	1	6	
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the	1		1
Scope of work;)	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.	i i	'	
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the]	1	
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess		16	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.	1	\Box	
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,			
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.		1	
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
 Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; 			
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted	1		ĺ
in the Scope of Work, including the following:		\sim	
Familiarity with the services requested		~	
-Resources clearly identified for each task		-)	
Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting			1
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS			
Matrix Requirements]
Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			1
Application Software and Integration			
Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach	ľ	1	
Documentation and System Administration	1	İ	
Training		-	
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	10	- 	
Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score		1, 1	
eased on an appropriate cost scoring method.	1	41	
Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		1	



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
 Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized; 		4	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;			
 Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted. 		}	
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	eighted Possi	ble Score	500
	o 100 point s		0



Respondent:	 t
Evaluator Number:	,

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions 11 WILLIAM

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions William			
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			1
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the		$I \bowtie I$	
Scope of Work;	İ	"	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.		İ	
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the	1		
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess			
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.			
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,		\mathcal{U}	
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.	1 .		
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
 Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; 			'
 Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted. 			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:		İ	ı
-Familiarity with the services requested			
-Resources clearly identified for each task]		
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting	1	1 1	
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS	1		
Matrix Requirements			
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance	l		1
-Application Software and Integration			
Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach	ŀ		
Documentation and System Administration			l
Training		ľ	
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score		,	1
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.			1
Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.			



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		1	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		[
Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	ighted Poss	ible Score	500
Converted to			0



	1	
Respondent:	/	
	4	
Evaluator Number:	d	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions () (440)

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates: Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.	·	4	
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates: • Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.		4	
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees		3	
Proposal demonstrates:	10	0	0
Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method. Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		3	



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:		1	
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		!	
• RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;			
Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.		7	
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	ighted Pos	sible Score	500
Converted to			0



Respondent	. 2
Evaluator Number	2

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions (NY) HWY

B = vote Center voting Solutions WY 14W 1		· · ·	
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			<u> </u>
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			1
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the			
Scope of Work;		, }	İ
Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.		4	
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the		1.1	
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess	1	4	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.			
References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,			
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH			
	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
 Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; 	ļ		
 Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted 		4	
in the Scope of Work, including the following:			
-Familiarity with the services requested			Į
-Resources clearly identified for each task	ŀ		
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting			
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS			
Matrix Requirements			
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance	ļ		
-Application Software and Integration	ļ		
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			
-Documentation and System Administration			
-Training	İ	ŀ	
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	j
score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		C. A.	
Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.			Ì



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:		 	
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;			
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to:		4	
• Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	ighted Poss	ible Score	500
Converted to	100 point	score total	0

Page 16 of 185



Individual Evaluator Score Sheet RFP-031-C022406-KG Voting Systems

Respondent:	3	
Evaluator Number:	2	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions WYILLA

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates: Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.		H	
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	
Proposal demonstrates: • Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.	10	3	0
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training		3	
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates:	10	0	0
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring methodIdentify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		4	



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		1 2 1	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		2	
 Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted. 			
Respondent Total	100	<u> </u>	0
Total We	ighted Poss	sible Score	500
Converted to	100 point	score total	0



Respondent:	4	1
Evaluator Number:	2	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions William

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions WY 146			
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the	i	d	
Scope of Work;		,	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the			·
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess			
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.			
References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,			
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			-
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:		****	
 Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; 			
Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted	ļ		
in the Scope of Work, including the following:			
-Familiarity with the services requested		'	
-Resources clearly identified for each task			
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting			
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS		İ	
• Matrix Requirements	1		1
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance]
-Application Software and Integration			i
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			
-Documentation and System Administration			İ
-Training]		
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	10		U
Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one			i
score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	}		
Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		_	



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
 Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized; 	ļ	Ì .	
• RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;	ļ		
Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.		'	
Respondent Tot	al 100	1	0
Total	Weighted Po	ssible Score	500
	to 100 poin		0



		· \	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Respondent:	1	
	Evaluator Number:	3	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions With

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
Description of the second of t		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work;	!	The same of the sa	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			
la di dia manganan kan kan kan kan kan kan kan kan kan		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	3-385, 724, 334, 346, 346, 34		
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the			
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess		11	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.			
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,		`	
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;	;		
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:			
-Familiarity with the services requested		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
-Resources clearly identified for each task	1	<	İ
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting		West of the last o	
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS			
Matrix Requirements		ŀ	
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance	1		
-Application Software and Integration		,	ļ
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			ŀ
-Documentation and System Administration			
-Training			
General de la contraction de l	and delimbers	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score		3	
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		**************************************	ľ
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.			



		0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		1 2	
• RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		151	
Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	ighted Pos	sible Score	500
Converted to	100 point	score total	0



* *			4444	
	*		l'ann	
		Respondent:	Registral.	
			e ^{ren} à	
			eV.	
		Evaluator Number:	"Control	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0. "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions Willem

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions WV \\			
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
	7.0	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			_
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;	1	_	
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the		\sim	
Scope of Work;	1]		
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			•
The transfer of the Salar Sala		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the			
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess		_	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		~_	
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,			
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			i
TOWNSHIE TO THE TOWN THE TANK THE TANK THE TANK THE TANK THE TANK THE TANK THE TANK THE TANK THE TANK THE TANK	TO THE	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	1		_
Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;	1 1		
 Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted 	.		
in the Scope of Work, including the following:	`		
-Familiarity with the services requested		<i>-</i> /	
-Resources clearly identified for each task	1		
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting			
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS	, ,	†	•
Matrix Requirements			
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance	1	1	
-Application Software and Integration			1
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach		l	
-Documentation and System Administration	1		
-Training		ļ	
COSTOP OROPOSALE Proposal Real Control of the Page Control of the		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:		- 	-
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score	,	5	
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		ノー	
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.]		ł



		0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
• Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;			
• RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;			
• Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	eighted Poss	ible Score	500
Converted to	o 100 point	score total	0



	gest en.
Respondent:	Nove - and
Evaluator Number:	· ·

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions WY

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions WY (**W)			
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
Proposal demonstrates:		0	0
 Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. 		4	
propagate that the propagate of the contract o		0	0
Proposal demonstrates: • Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.		3	
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration		3	
-Training	ĺ]
COSTOROROROSAN PEROPOSAN INS	5 10 3	0	0
Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring methodIdentify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		4	



		0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
• Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		5	
• RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		ا د ا	
Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total W	eighted Pos	sible Score	500
Converted t	o 100 point	score total	0



Evaluator Number:	-
	Ş

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions Withen			
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
CONSTRUCT VECCO COND. TRANSPORTED AND PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;		Section 1	
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the	1	Constitution of the Consti	
Scope of Work;			
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			
unication and the Control of the Con		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the		2 0.	
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess		10	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		246	
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,		•	
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.	:		
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance		0	
-Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training (CS) 10 (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19)	E \$30 50	0	0
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		i	
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.			



Respondent Total Total We	100 ighted Poss	ible Score	500
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions: • Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized; • RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to; • Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.	100		



1		1
	Respondent:	
1		4
1	Evaluator Number:	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions Wife (1)

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions WAY (***CA) CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;		, ,	
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the		Ц	
Scope of Work;		r	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			l
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the		\checkmark	
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess		1	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.			
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,			
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;	[
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted		3	
in the Scope of Work, including the following:		ン	
-Familiarity with the services requested			
-Resources clearly identified for each task			
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting	ŀ		
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS			
Matrix Requirements			
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration			
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			
-Documentation and System Administration			
-Training			
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one		2	
score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		3	
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.			



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
 Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized; 		(/	
 RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to; 		7	
 Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted. 			
Respondent Total	100	_	0
Total Wo	eighted Poss	ible Score	500
Converted to	o 100 point	score total	0



Respondent:	2
Evaluator Number:	4

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions (1) Willer

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions (1) Vitter				
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)	
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0	
Proposal demonstrates:				
Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;		4		
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the	-	1		
Scope of Work;				
Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.				
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0	
Proposal demonstrates:			1	
Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the				
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess		4		
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.]	ſ		
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,			ļ	
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.				
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0	
Proposal demonstrates:				
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;				
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted				
in the Scope of Work, including the following:				
-Familiarity with the services requested	j			
-Resources clearly identified for each task		. 1	-	
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting		4]	
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS				
Matrix Requirements				
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance				
-Application Software and Integration				
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach				
-Documentation and System Administration				
-Training				
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	0	
Proposal demonstrates:				
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one		E.		
score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		Marcal		
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.				



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		1 , 1	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		4	
Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total Weighted Possible Score		500	
Converted to 100 point score total		score total	0



	7
Respondent	
	1/
Evaluatar Nymbou	4
Evaluator Number:	1

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions (1)

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			!
Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			ŀ
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the	1	M	
Scope of Work;		- 1	İ
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			<u> </u>
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the			
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess		4	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		1	
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,			
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.	1		
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:			
-Familiarity with the services requested	:	<i>)</i> .	
-Resources clearly identified for each task		4	
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting		•	
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS			i
Matrix Requirements	1		
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration	1		
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			
-Documentation and System Administration			
-Training			
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	1		
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one		11	
score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		4	
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		•	



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		-01	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		1 4 1	
Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total Weighted Possible Score		500	
Converted to 100 point score total		0	



Respondent:	4	
Evaluator Number:		

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions WY 1 HW			
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;		7	
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the		3	-
Scope of Work;			
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the			
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess	<u> </u>	·	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		. [
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,			
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			1 i
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:			
-Familiarity with the services requested			
-Resources clearly identified for each task		- 1	
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting			
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS			
Matrix Requirements			
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration			
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			
-Documentation and System Administration			
-Training			
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one		1	
score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		1	
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.			



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
 Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized; 			
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		[]	
Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total Weighted Possible Score		500	
Converted to	o 100 point	score total	0



·	
	· t
D	§
Respondent:	1
	
	Britania.
TO 1 4 NOT 1	
Evaluator Number:	and the same of th
	-

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions Weight Score Total (%) (0-5)(Weight **CRITERIA** X Score) QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; • Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; • Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: · Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 60 0 ō Proposal demonstrates: Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method. -Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:	<u> </u>		
 Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized; 			
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		13 1	
 Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted. 			
Respondent Total	100		
Total We	ighted Pos	sible Score	500
Converted to	o 100 point	score total	0



		
		_
	l	2
	Respondent:	Same.
···		aliability .
		وسمأ
	Evaluator Number:	Kapaka
		Respondent: Evaluator Number:

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions 11 1/4

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			<u> </u>
Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the		4	
Scope of Work;			İ
 Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. 			
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:		· .	
 Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the 			
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess			
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		4	
References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,		,	
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
 Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; 			
Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:			
-Familiarity with the services requested			
-Resources clearly identified for each task			
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting		Λ	
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS		7	
Matrix Requirements	ļ		
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration			
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			:
-Documentation and System Administration			
-Training			
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	. ==.		
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score		,	
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		ラ	
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		-	



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:	<u> </u>	+	
 Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized; 		1 1	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		14	
 Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted. 			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	ighted Pos	sible Score	500
Converted to			0



Respondent:	3
Evaluator Number:	5

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions Willen

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions William			
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			ļ
Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the		4	
Scope of Work;		Carrie Contract	
 Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. 			
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:		-	
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the	[i
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess		~	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		5	
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,			
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			1
Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:			
-Familiarity with the services requested			
-Resources clearly identified for each task			
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting		2	
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS	l i	TARA	
Matrix Requirements			
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance	ĺ		
-Application Software and Integration			
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			
-Documentation and System Administration			
-Training			
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			<u>~</u>
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score		, k	
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		4	
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		'	



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		9	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;			
Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.		_	
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	eighted Pos	sible Score	500
Converted to	o 100 point	score total	0



Respondent:	4
Evaluator Number:	5

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions William

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions \U\(\)			
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the		2	
Scope of Work;			
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the			
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess			
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.	i	2	
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,			
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			,
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			
Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:			
-Familiarity with the services requested			
-Resources clearly identified for each task			
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting		F-3K/00	
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS		"	
Matrix Requirements			
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration			
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			
-Documentation and System Administration			
-Training			
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			<u>`</u>
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score		,	
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.			
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.			



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;			
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		[
Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	eighted Poss	ible Score	500
Converted to	o 100 point	score total	0



	Respondent:		
			,
Evalu	ator Number:	oxdot	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

Weight Score Total (%) (0-5)**CRITERIA** (Weight X Score) Proposal demonstrates: Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; · Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; • Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. 0 Proposal demonstrates: Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. · References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method. -Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.



		0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
• Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;			
• RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		'5	
• Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	eighted Pos	sible Score	500
Converted to	o 100 point	score total	0



Respon	ndent: Z	
Evaluator Nur	mber:	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the		11	
Scope of Work;		U)	
Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the			
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess			
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		Ч	
References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,		t	
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:			
-Familiarity with the services requested			
-Resources clearly identified for each task			
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting			
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS		1.	
Matrix Requirements		4	
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration	Ì		
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			
-Documentation and System Administration		i	
-Training	ĺ		
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score			
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		5	j
Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.			



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:		-	
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		11	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		14 1	
 Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted. 		'	
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	eighted Poss	sible Score	500
Converted to	o 100 point	score total	0



	^
Respondent:	<i>3</i>
Evaluator Number:	4

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions Weight Score Total (%) (0-5)(Weight **CRITERIA** X Score) QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess 3 experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 60 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: · Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: 3 -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method. -Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions: • Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized; • RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to; • Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.		3	
Respondent Total	100		0
Total Wo	eighted Poss	ible Score	500
Converted t	o 100 point s	core total	0



Respondent	\mathcal{U}
Kespondent	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Evaluator Number	1 6
	<u> </u>

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions WY (DW)			
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the		1	
Scope of Work;		L	
 Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. 			
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the	!		
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess	, ,	4	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		- 1	
 References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise. 			
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.	l		
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			i
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted	1	į	
in the Scope of Work, including the following:	1		İ
-Familiarity with the services requested		İ	
-Resources clearly identified for each task			
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting		\cap	
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS		\cup	
• Matrix Requirements		-	
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration	İ	ľ	j
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			
-Documentation and System Administration	ļ		
-Training			
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	10		
Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score	-	1	ŀ
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.]	1	
Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		•	



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		.m	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;			
Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total Weighted Possible Score			500
Converted t	o 100 point	score total	0



	Respondent:	- Estate -	
	Evaluator Number:	7	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions (1) Y (HEAT)

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
Down		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; • Specific significant related experience and experience in the			
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work;		L/	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.		ş	
provides and resources for public agencies.	i pri sere Morigo.		
Proposal demonstrates:	: 52/10/53 BAX	0	0
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the			
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess	,		
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		M	
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise.			
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			'
ka ka dan ka ang sa ang ka ng ka ng ka ng ka ng ka ng ka ng ka ng ka ng ka ng ka ng ka ng ka ng ka ng ka ng ka		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			j
in the Scope of Work, including the following:		İ	
-Familiarity with the services requested			İ
-Resources clearly identified for each task			
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting		,	
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS			
Matrix Requirements		2	
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration			ŀ
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration	İ		
-Training			ľ
Transport	410 to 180 to 200 to		
Proposal demonstrates:		0	0
Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score		-	
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.			ĺ
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.			



		0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
• Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		っし	
• RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;))	
• Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	eighted Poss	ible Score	500
Converted to	100 point	score total	0

Page 54 of 185



Individual Evaluator Score Sheet RFP-031-C022406-KG Voting Systems

·		T'a
	.	3
	Respondent:	•
		- The state of the
· ·	T1 2 4 3 7 7	······
	Evaluator Number:	V

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions With Minds

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
Dron and demonstrate		0	0
Proposal demonstrates: Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.		4	
Experience providing same similar services and resources for public agencies.		0	
Proposal demonstrates:			0
 Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. 		Y	
		0	_0
Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training		4	
Proposal demonstrates:		0	0
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method. -Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		5	



			0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:	Arabit more			
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;				
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;	'		7	
• Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.				
	Respondent Total	100		0
	Total We	ighted Pos	sible Score	500
	Converted to	100 point	score total	0



Respondent:	3	
 Evaluator Number:	7	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions (1) Weight Score Total (%) (0-5)(Weight **CRITERIA** X Score) diaming the town rise 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; · Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; • Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. 0 Proposal demonstrates: • Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. enduring kan andig kang kan esang 0 Proposal demonstrates: Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COSTROTORIZORDS ABPRING OBSTRIBES 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.

-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.



		0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:	T		
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		マー	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;			
 Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted. 			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total W	eighted Poss	sible Score	500
Converted	to 100 point	score total	0



· .		Respondent:	Ч
 	 	Evaluator Number:	7

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions WWW

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight
TO ME AND A STREET OF THE WAS A DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF		<u> </u>	X Score)
Proposal demonstrates:		0	0
 Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; 		3	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.	22.24 15 10		
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates: • Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.		2	
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training		Manage of the stat	
GOSTOLIROROSALE Proposedires in galaxy. Leaning Tools (Land.)		0	0
Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring methodIdentify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		1	



		0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		100	
• RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		(
• Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.			
Respondent Total	100	Ĭ	0
Total W	eighted Poss	sible Score	500
Converted t	o 100 point	score total	0



	·	
		1
Respondent:		(
Evaluator Number:		

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates: Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL Proposal demonstrates: Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.	10	0	0
 References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees		3	
Proposal demonstrates:	10	0	0
Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score pased on an appropriate cost scoring method. Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		3	



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
 Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized; 		<u>-</u>	
• RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;			
 Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted. 		/ /	
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	eighted Poss	ible Score	500
Converted to	o 100 point	score total	0



	1
Respondent:	
Evaluator Number:	1 1

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions (V)

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions \ \ \ /\lambda \				
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)	
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0	
Proposal demonstrates:			 	
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;	1	(
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work;)		
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.	1		1	
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0	
Proposal demonstrates:	1			
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the	, ,	_		
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess		+		
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.)		
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,		•		
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.	! !			
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0	
Proposal demonstrates:				
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;	ľ			
Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted				
in the Scope of Work, including the following:		(0)		
-Familiarity with the services requested	ĺ	7 1		
-Resources clearly identified for each task		- 1		
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting				
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS				
Matrix Requirements	ĺ			
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance				
-Application Software and Integration		İ		
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach	į	ı		
-Documentation and System Administration				
-Training		İ		
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	0	
Proposal demonstrates:				
Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score		P	}	
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.)		
Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.			ľ	



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions: • Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized; • RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to; • Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.	<u> </u>	5	
Respondent Total	100	 	0
Total We	ighted Poss	ible Score	500
Converted to	100 point	score total	0



Respondent:	<u></u>	
Evaluator Number:		

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions (Y)			
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:		_	
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the	•		i
Scope of Work;)	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the		/ .	
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess	! !		İ
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		4	
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,		1	
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			
Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:	İ	_ /	
-Familiarity with the services requested)	
-Resources clearly identified for each task		_/	
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting			
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS			
• Matrix Requirements			
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration	ľ		
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach		İ	
-Documentation and System Administration			
-Training			
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	10		<u> </u>
Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score		\mathcal{U}	
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	j	}	ľ
Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		′	ı



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions: • Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized; • RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to; • Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.		3	
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	ighted Pos	sible Score	500
Converted to	100 point	score total	0



Respondent:	/
Evaluator Number:	d

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions (WW)			
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
The first think of the property of the second		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	6		 - ` -
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work;		5	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.	,		
il dispersion of the property		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	erosinakan eretakan		
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the			
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess	1		
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		A Company	ĺ
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,	ĺ		
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			
WO NULL YOU WILL YOUR WORK TO SEE THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PRO		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:		11	İ
-Familiarity with the services requested	1	7	-
-Resources clearly identified for each task			
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting	}		·
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS			ľ
Matrix Requirements			
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration	1		
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			
-Documentation and System Administration	1	l	-
-Training		<u> </u>	
CONTROL OF SALE TO CONTROL OF SALE OF	网络(0)	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score		2	
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.]	Common of the Co	
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.	· •	į	ŀ



		0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
• Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;			
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		15	
Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	ighted Poss	sible Score	500
Converted to	100 point	score total	0



Respondent:	2	_
Evaluator Number:	2	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions ()

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions () ()		-	
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
		0	0_
Proposal demonstrates:			
 Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the 			
Scope of Work;		[b .	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.		_	
acQuarakikackikachikachikachikachikachikachika		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the			
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess		(
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		\mathcal{L}	
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,			
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.	- 27		
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:			
-Familiarity with the services requested		11	
-Resources clearly identified for each task		9 1	
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS			
Matrix Requirements			
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			İ
-Application Software and Integration			
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			İ
-Documentation and System Administration			1
-Training			
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score		片	
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.			
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.			



	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
• Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		1 . 1	
• RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		4	
Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.			
Respondent Total	100	 	0
Total We	ighted Poss	sible Score	500
Converted to	100 point	score total	0



Respondent:	3	
Evaluator Number:	2	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions O(1)			
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
Proposal demonstrates:	S ervices:	0	0
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the		4	
Scope of Work;		1.	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	\$1.20 \$1.10 AF 1-0 X\$2\$T \		
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the			
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess		<i>~</i> 1	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		3.	
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,		*1440**	ľ
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			
A COLO TO THE COLO TO THE COLO		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:			
-Familiarity with the services requested			
-Resources clearly identified for each task		3	
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting		~ · ·	
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS			
Matrix Requirements			
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration			
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			
-Documentation and System Administration			
-Training	FIGURE RESERVED		
CONTROL OF A SOLITON RECUES OF THE SOLITON R		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:		,	
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score		4	
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		1	
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		- 1	



	· 满口等	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:	Aude Commission		
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;			
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		121	
Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.			
Respondent Total	100	 	
Total We	Total Weighted Possible Score		
	Converted to 100 point score total		



Respondent:	. 1
Evaluator Number:	3

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions Weight Total Score (%) (0-5)(Weight **CRITERIA** X Score) 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: • Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal: • Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work: • Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: • Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training OCOETRO ESPECIO DO SALVANTA POR TOROS. 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method. -Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.



		0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		4	
• RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;			
• Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.			
Respondent Tota	100		0
Total W	eighted Poss	sible Score	500
Converted	to 100 point	score total	0



Respondent:	2	
Evaluator Number:	3	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions ()			
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:]		
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;		5	
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work;		,)	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			<u> </u>
Proposal demonstrates:		0	0
	1		
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the		<	
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.]		
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,			
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			
Visit (a) (a) (b) (c) (c) (c) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d	1 16 1 18 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
		0	0 '
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;	1.		
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted	[
in the Scope of Work, including the following:]	4	
-Familiarity with the services requested		1	
-Resources clearly identified for each task]		
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting		ĺ	
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements]		
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance	f I		
-Application Software and Integration			
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			
-Documentation and System Administration			
-Training	'		ľ
(40) 10 (12) (0) (0) (0) (13) 22 (0) (13) (17)			
Proposal demonstrates:		0	0
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score		<	
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		ノー	
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.			



	y i	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
• Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;			
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;			
Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.		1	
Respondent Total	100		0
Total Wei	ghted Pos	sible Score	500
Converted to			0



Respondent:	3	
Evaluator Number:	3	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions		<u> </u>	
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
	7 6	0_	0
Proposal demonstrates: Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work;		Ŋ	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			
	L.	0	0
Proposal demonstrates: • Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.		3	
PATRIC SAPAGE AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND	FARBUT T	0	0
Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration		'n	
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training			
COPULATION CONTRACTOR OF THE C			
Proposal demonstrates:		0	0
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method. -Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		4	



	***	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:		1	
• Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		2	
• RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		>	
Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	ighted Poss	sible Score	500
Converted to	100 point	score total	0



·	
Respondent:	
	L/
Evaluator Number:	<u>-1</u>

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions / W/t

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:		"-	
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			1
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work;		4	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			
A COUNTY OF THE PROPERTY OF TH	i de la lace	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	F.1/1982.N. COPYDINERS (NO. 1). R.	_	
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the		.1	
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess		Ч	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.			
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,		İ	
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:			
-Familiarity with the services requested		Ц	İ
-Resources clearly identified for each task		7	
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting		1	l
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS			
Matrix Requirements		1	
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration	1		
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			
-Documentation and System Administration	1	İ	
-Training			
Proposal demonstrates:		0	0
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	1	3	
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.			į
Additionally abbased for discussion below such as, ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.			



Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:	St. S. J. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S.	0	0
 Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized; RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to; Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted. 		4	
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	ighted Poss	sible Score	500
Converted to	100 point	score total	0



Respondent:	
	4
Evaluator Number:	/

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions ()

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;		11	
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work;		4	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the			
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess		,	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		4	
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise.		,	
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			_
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:			
-Familiarity with the services requested		i	
-Resources clearly identified for each task			
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting		u	1
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS	ĺ	7	
Matrix Requirements			
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance	i		
-Application Software and Integration			ľ
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			
-Documentation and System Administration]	1
-Training			
Description of the second seco		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score	-	5	
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.			
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.			



		0	0
<u>是自己的工作。</u> [15] [15] [15] [15] [15] [15] [15] [15]	16	·	
completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		! .	-
• RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to:		4	
• Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.	•	'	
Respondent Total	100	<u> </u>	0
Total We	eighted Poss	ible Score	500
Converted to	o 100 point :	score total	0



	<u> </u>
	7
Respondent:	
Evaluator Number:	4
Evaluator intriber.	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions OVI

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions OVA			
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
Proposal demonstrates:		0	0
 Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. 		4	
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates: • Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.		4	
Control of the same of the sam		0	0
Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training		3	
COSTRORDRODOS (L. Remonstrato)		0	0
Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring methodIdentify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		4	



		0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:		-	
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		ا ر. ا	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		141	
 Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted. 		'	
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	ighted Pos	sible Score	500
Converted to			0



Respondent:	
Evaluator Number:	5

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions ()

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work;		5	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.	•	\$ e.g.	
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10		
Proposal demonstrates:	10	0	0
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the			
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess		and the second	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services		L->	
 References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise 		St. Age.	
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services			
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	
Proposal demonstrates:			0
Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;		İ	
Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted		ı	
in the Scope of Work, including the following:		ŀ	
-Familiarity with the services requested		[
-Resources clearly identified for each task			l
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting		,	
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS			
• Matrix Requirements		ij.	
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance	1		[
Application Software and Integration			ľ
Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			1
Documentation and System Administration	ĺ		
Training			
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	
Proposal demonstrates:	10		0
Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score		>	1
pased on an appropriate cost scoring method.	ļ	The State of the S	
Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.	1		1



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions: • Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized; • RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to; • Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.		State of the state	
Respondent Total	100	<u> </u>	0
Total We	eighted Pos	sible Score	500
Converted to	o 100 point	score total	0



Respondent:	2
Evaluator Number:	5

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions

QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE Proposal demonstrates: Officor's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL 10 0 0 10 0 0 10 0 0 10 0 0	B = Vote Center Voting Solutions			
Proposal demonstrates: Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 60 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: Familiarity with the services requested Resources clearly identified for each task Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance Application Software and Integration Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach Documentation and System Administration Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	CRITERIA	_	1	Total (Weight X Score)
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; • Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; • Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL Proposal demonstrates: • Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 60 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: • Pamiliarity with the services requested • Resources clearly identified for each task • Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements • Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance • Application Software and Integration • Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach • Documentation and System Administration • Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		10	0	0
Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 60 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training				
Scope of Work; * Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. * PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL Proposal demonstrates: • Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. **WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH** **Outlenstanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score passed on an appropriate cost scoring method.	• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			
*Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. *PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL Proposal demonstrates: *Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. *References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. *WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH* *Proposal demonstrates: *Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; *Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: *Familiarity with the services requested *Resources clearly identified for each task *Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS *Matrix Requirements *Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance *Application Software and Integration *Integration* *In	• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the			
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL Proposal demonstrates: Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 60 0 Proposal demonstrates: Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: Familiarity with the services requested Resources clearly identified for each task Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance Application Software and Integration Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach Documentation and System Administration Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.				
Proposal demonstrates: • Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 60 0 Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 60 0 Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training -COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		10	0	0
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 60 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	l -			
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH Proposal demonstrates: Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 O Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score passed on an appropriate cost scoring method.	• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the			}
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. • WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess			
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 60 0 Proposal demonstrates: Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS - Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		5	
Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training	• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,			
Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training -COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			l
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	Proposal demonstrates:			
Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	<u>.</u>			
in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS - Matrix Requirements - Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance - Application Software and Integration - Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach - Documentation and System Administration - Training - COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees - Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the convices noted.			
-Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS - Matrix Requirements - Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance - Application Software and Integration - Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach - Documentation and System Administration - Training - COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees - Proposal demonstrates: - Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	in the Scope of Work, including the following:			
-Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training -COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees -Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.				
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements - Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance - Application Software and Integration - Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach - Documentation and System Administration - Training - COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees - Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.				
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance Application Software and Integration Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach Documentation and System Administration Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.			a.	
Matrix Requirements Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance Application Software and Integration Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach Documentation and System Administration Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score passed on an appropriate cost scoring method.	System/applicable Components by December 31, 2010 for use in CA by SOS			
Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance Application Software and Integration Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach Documentation and System Administration Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score passed on an appropriate cost scoring method.				ì
Application Software and Integration Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach Documentation and System Administration Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score passed on an appropriate cost scoring method.				
Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach Documentation and System Administration Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score passed on an appropriate cost scoring method.				
Documentation and System Administration Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score passed on an appropriate cost scoring method.				
Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score passed on an appropriate cost scoring method.	-Documentation and System Administration			
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score passed on an appropriate cost scoring method.				ŀ
Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score passed on an appropriate cost scoring method.		10	-	0
Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score passed on an appropriate cost scoring method.		10		
pased on an appropriate cost scoring method.			اسسا	
	based on an appropriate cost scoring method.			
	-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		·	



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:	 		
 Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized; 			
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		4	
 Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted. 			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total W	eighted Poss	ible Score	500
Converted t	o 100 point :	score total	0



Respondent:	3
Evaluator Number:	5

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions (M) &

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions (V)	1 377 2 2	-	
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	 		
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			
- Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the		A	1
Scope of Work;	1 .		İ
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.	1		
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the			
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess	ł .	v	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		4	
References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise.			
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services	1 1		
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;	ľ		
Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:			
-Familiarity with the services requested			
-Resources clearly identified for each task	ľ		
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting	1		
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS			i
Matrix Requirements		İ	
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance	1		j
-Application Software and Integration	i		l
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach		1	
-Documentation and System Administration	ľ		
-Training	1		ľ
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	
Proposal demonstrates:	10		
Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score	ŀ		
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.			İ
Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.	ļ	1	



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:		 	
 Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized; 		_	
• RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		12 1	
Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	eighted Pos	sible Score	500
Converted to			0



	T	1
Respondent	<u>.</u>	
Evaluator Number	. (2
Evaluator Number	<u>' </u>	<u> </u>

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions ()

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions () A			
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
Proposal demonstrates:	and the second	0	0
 Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. 	·	4	
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates: • Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.		4	
		0	. 0
Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training		Ц	
SUSTIDENRYFOSA Proposed Feesking Shows The Research		0	0
Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring methodIdentify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		3	



Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:	nt and and	0	0
 Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized; RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to; 			
Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.		$ \neg $	
Respondent Total	100		0
	ighted Poss		500
Converted to	o 100 point	score total	0



Respondent:	2
Evaluator Number:	Ψ

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions (V)

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work;		5	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10		
Proposal demonstrates:	10	0	0
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the	1 [
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess		1-	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		ン	1
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,	[
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	- 00		<u> </u>
Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			
Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:	ĺ		
-Familiarity with the services requested	İ		
-Resources clearly identified for each task		İ	-
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting		1.0	
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS		4 1	
• Matrix Requirements		'	
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration		l	
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			
-Documentation and System Administration			
-Training			ĺ
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	10	- 	- U
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score			ľ
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		51	
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.			



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions: • Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized; • RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to; • Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.	· · · ·	4	
Respondent Total			0
Total We	eighted Poss	ible Score	500
Converted to	o 100 point s	score total	0



Resp	ondent: 3
Evaluator N	lumber:

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions			
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the		u	
Scope of Work;		7	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the	1 [. 1	
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess]	U	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		•	
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,	1		
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.	1		
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;	1		
 Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted 			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:] [
-Familiarity with the services requested			
-Resources clearly identified for each task			
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting		3	Ì
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS		7	
Matrix Requirements	ľ		
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration		ļ	Ī
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach	l i		
-Documentation and System Administration		į	
-Training	İ		
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	10	+	
Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score			1
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		U 1	
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.	į	<i>I</i>	İ



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:	31% - 1	 	
 Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized: 	1		
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to:		9	
 Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted. 			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total Wo	eighted Pos	sible Score	500
Converted to	o 100 point	score total	0



			
	Respondent:	T^{*}	·
 		フ	
Evaluat	tor Number:		

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions ()

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions ()			
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
Proposal domonators		0	0
Proposal demonstrates: Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.		5	
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates: • Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.		4	
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training		4	
Proposal demonstrates:		0	0
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring methodIdentify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		3	



Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions: • Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		0	0
• RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		U	
 Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted. 	-	[]	
Respondent Total	100	 	0
		ible Score	500
Converted to	100 point	score total	0



Respondent:	3	
Evaluator Number:		
Evaluator Number:	<u> </u>	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
Proposal demonstrates:	ne di Cale	0	0
 Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; 		5	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			
Proposal demonstrates:		0	0
 Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. 		5	
	1	0	0
Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training		4	
Proposal demonstrates:		0	0
Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method. Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		5	



			U
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions.	and the state of t		
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		<u> </u>	
• RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to:		15	
 Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted. 			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	ighted Pos	sible Score	500
Converted to			0



·	1
Respondent:	
Evaluator Number:	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions ()

B = Vote Center Voting Solutions ()			
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
Proposal demonstrates:		0	0
 Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. 		4	
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates: • Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.		4	
NESTS GIVEN NATURE DE LA COMPANION DE LA COMPA		0	0
Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training		3	
COCHECT PROPERTY From Colored Baset S. 200 Residence Colored B		_0	0
Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method. Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		4	



		0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:	Constant Section		
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		\$1999pm	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		1 3	
Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.		- Jackson - Jack	
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	ighted Pos	sible Score	500
Converted to	100 point	score total	0



Respondent:	
Evaluator Number:	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weigh X Score
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10		0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;	1	C	
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work;	1		
	1)	ļ
Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	1	,,	
Proposal demonstrates:	10	0	0
Ovalifications of key new 1 1111			
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the			
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess	1	<u></u>	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.	[]		
References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.	[])	
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH			
	60	0	0
roposal demonstrates:			
Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			
Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the convince next 1			
t the scope of work, including the following:	· .	- 1	
Familiarity with the services requested	1		
Resources clearly identified for each task	1)[
inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting		$\sim 10^{-1}$	
ystem applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS	1	WI	
iviaurix Requirements	1	1 1	
Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance	1		
Application Software and Integration		1	
Iardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach		1	ŀ
Documentation and System Administration			
raining OCC AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND	1	1	1
OST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	0
oposal demonstrates:			-
overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score		41	
sed on an appropriate cost scoring method.		1 1	
lentify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		'	



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions: • Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized; • RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to; • Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.		4	
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	ighted Poss	ible Score	500
Converted to	100 point s	core total	0



Respondent:	<
Evaluator Number:	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation (1)

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation Wy Her			
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	. 0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;		F	
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the	1 1	\	
Scope of work;]		
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	 		
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the		~	
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess	1		
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.]	5	
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,)	
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.	1		
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
 Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; 			
 Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted 	1		
in the Scope of Work, including the following:			
-Familiarity with the services requested			
-Resources clearly identified for each task		7 1	
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting			
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS			
• Matrix Requirements			
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration	ľ		
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach		1	
-Documentation and System Administration		i	
Training			
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	10	<i>P</i>	
Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score		(
pased on an appropriate cost scoring method.)	
Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.			ŀ



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:		 -	
 Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized; 		<i>~</i> _	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		[[
 Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted. 			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total Weighted Possible Score		500	
Converted to			0



)
Respondent:	, 4	
		1
Evaluator Number:		<u>L</u>

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work;)	
		,	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL			
Proposal demonstrates:	10	0	0
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the		/	
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		ω	
References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,		T	
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.		- ()	
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH			
	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	İ		
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:		101	
-Familiarity with the services requested		\mathcal{U}	
-Resources clearly identified for each task	1	I	İ
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting	į		
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS			
• Matrix Requirements			,
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance		į	
-Application Software and Integration			•
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration			
Training System Administration		Ì	
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees			
Proposal demonstrates:	10	0	0
		5	
Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score pased on an appropriate cost scoring method.		7	}
Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		/	



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:	<u> </u>	-	
 Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized; 			
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		14 I	
 Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted. 			
Respondent Total	100	<u> </u>	0
Total Weighted Possible Score		500	
Converted to 100 point score total			



	<u> </u>
Respondent:	
Evaluator Number:	2

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation (A) WHAN

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates: • Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; • Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; • Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.		Ц	
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL Proposal demonstrates:	10	0	0
 Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. 		4	
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration Training -COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees		3	
Proposal demonstrates:	10	0	0
Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one core based on an appropriate cost scoring method. Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		H	



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
 Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized; 		4	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;			
 Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted. 			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	ighted Poss	ible Score	500
Converted to	100 point	score total	0



1	
Domon Joseph	1 (/)
Respondent;	l ,
1	1 7
Trealmater North and	4
LEvaluator Number:	1 <i>0</i>)
	·

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation (1)

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation (A) (1)			
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			<u> </u>
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the		11	
Scope of Work;		4	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.		1	
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the			
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess		ı	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.			
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,			
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
 Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; 			
 Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted 			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:		1	
-Familiarity with the services requested		-1	
-Resources clearly identified for each task			
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting			
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS			
Matrix Requirements			
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration	ļ		
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			
-Documentation and System Administration			
-Training			
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one		5	
score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	ļ		İ
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.			



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions: • Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized; • RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to; • Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.		4	
Respondent Total	100		0
	eighted Poss		500
Converted t	o 100 point s	score total	0



_		
Respondent:	3	
Evaluator Number:	2	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation (1)(1)

Proposal demonstrates: • Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; • Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; • Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.	Total (Weigh: X Score
Proposal demonstrates: Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.	0
Proposal demonstrates: Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.	
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; • Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.	
Frankling to Alice Leaving and the second se	
Proposal demonstrates:	
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the	
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.	
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,	
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.	
	0
Proposal demonstrates:	
Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;	
Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted	
In the Scope of Work, including the following:	j
-Familiarity with the services requested	
-Resources clearly identified for each task	
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting	i
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements	
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance	į
-Application Software and Integration	
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach	
-Documentation and System Administration	- 1
-Training	
Proposal demonstrates:	_0
Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score	
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.	



Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:		0	0
 Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized; RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to; Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted. 		3	
Respondent Total	100		0
	eighted Poss		500
Converted to	100 point s	score total	0



		1	
	Respondent:	<u> </u>	
=			
	Evaluator Number:	_ 3	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation	<u> </u>		
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;	.]]	11	
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work;		-	1
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			
agencies.		0	
Proposal demonstrates:	ASSESSION STATE		0
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the	1		
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess) (
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		less*	İ 1
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,		•	
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			
	F. 3	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:			
-Familiarity with the services requested		\sim	
-Resources clearly identified for each task	1	<	
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting			
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS			
Matrix Requirements			
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance		İ	
-Application Software and Integration			
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			
-Documentation and System Administration	1	1	-
-Training			
Consequence of the control of the co		_0	0
Proposal demonstrates:		-,	
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score		4	
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		1	
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.			



Completeness of response in accordance with DED		0	0
completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		1 1	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;			
Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total Wo	eighted Poss	ible Score	500
Converted to			0



	Respondent:	2	
 	 Evaluator Number:	3	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation Written Weight Score Total (%) (0-5)(Weight **CRITERIA** X Score) 0 Proposal demonstrates: · Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: · Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method. -Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.



		0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		2250000	
• RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		C	
 Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted. 			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total Wo	eighted Pos	sible Score	500
Converted to	o 100 point	score total	0



		. 23	,	
	Respondent:	نت		
	Tespondent.			
		9		
	Evaluator Number:	5		

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation WY (H.CV)			
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	te minika i hadinika persakan a-Grasi		
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;		Loon	
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work;			
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			1
A COLOR CONTRACTOR AND A TOTAL OF THE PROPERTY		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	海州等		- 0-
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the	1		
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess		pro)	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.	ł	Ž	
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise			
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			
SMOURTH ASTEROPECTATER VOACH SECTION AND ASSESSED ASSESSED.	製製的製製	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	W 300 1 pe 22- 27 PM (Carallel And All		
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			i
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:			
-Familiarity with the services requested		,,;;;(*)	
-Resources clearly identified for each task .		5	
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting	,	Wester.	
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS		Ī	
Matrix Requirements			İ
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration		}	1
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			
-Documentation and System Administration	1		ľ
-Training			
GOSUME PROPERTY Responsed Freeze Tour Commence Tour Commen		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	-		
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score	1	W. Son	
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		Separation of the separation o	
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		Ì	



		0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
• Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		3	
• RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		レント	
• Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.]	
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	eighted Pos	sible Score	500
Converted to	o 100 point	score total	0



Respondent:	1	
Evaluator Number:	4	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation 18 Wilter

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;		_	
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the		V	
Scope of Work;		1	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			L.,
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0.
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the	1		
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess		ď	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		7	
References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,			
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
 Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; 			
 Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted 			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:	1 1		
-Familiarity with the services requested			
-Resources clearly identified for each task		_	
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting		3	
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS		Sector 1	
Matrix Requirements	l i		
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance		3	
-Application Software and Integration		j	
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach		İ	
-Documentation and System Administration			
-Training			
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	.40.		U
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one		11	7
score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		4	
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		•	İ



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		U	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		/	
Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	ighted Poss	ible Score	500
Converted to	100 point	score total	0



Respondent:	Lover	
Evaluator Number:	4	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation WY HEA

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation WY (Hey)				
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)	
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0	
Proposal demonstrates:				
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;				
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the		4		
Scope of Work;	1			
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.				
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0	
Proposal demonstrates:				
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the	1			
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess		4		
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		Į.		
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,	ļ .			
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.				
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0	
Proposal demonstrates:				
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;				
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted]			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:				
-Familiarity with the services requested		. 1		
-Resources clearly identified for each task	ľ	Ч		
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting				
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS				
Matrix Requirements				
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance				
-Application Software and Integration				
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach				
-Documentation and System Administration				
-Training			ĺ	
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	0	
Proposal demonstrates:	10	•	Ů.	
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one		F		
score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		2		
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.				



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
• Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		1,,	
• RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		7	
• Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.		1	
Respondent Total	100		0
Total W	eighted Pos	sible Score	500
Converted t	o 100 point	score total	0



Respondent:		
Evaluator Number:	4	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation \\\)\(\)\(\)\(\)

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:		У	
Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;		7	
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the			•
Scope of Work;	[
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:		*******	
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the			1
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess		u/	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.	-	7	
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,]	· ·	
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.]		
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:]		
-Familiarity with the services requested	i		İ
-Resources clearly identified for each task			
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting		4.	
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS		ļ	
Matrix Requirements	ļ		
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration	i		
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			
-Documentation and System Administration			
-Training			
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			Ť
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one		2	
score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.			,
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.			



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		9 1	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		<i>t</i>	
 Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted. 			
Respondent Total	100	·	0
Total W	eighted Poss	ible Score	500
Converted t	o 100 point :	score total	0



	
Respondent:	
Evaluator Number:	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation With Co

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation WYIHCY					
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)		
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0		
Proposal demonstrates:					
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;					
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the		4	İ		
Scope of Work;		8			
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.	!		1		
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0		
Proposal demonstrates:					
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the					
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess					
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		4			
 References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, 		ŧ			
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.					
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0		
Proposal demonstrates:					
Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;					
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted					
in the Scope of Work, including the following:					
-Familiarity with the services requested					
-Resources clearly identified for each task					
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting					
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS		ろ			
Matrix Requirements					
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			i		
-Application Software and Integration					
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach	ļ	1			
-Documentation and System Administration					
-Training		1			
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	0		
Proposal demonstrates:		_ * - 			
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score		, l			
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		4			
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		ŧi			



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:	<u> </u>		
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		"	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		1	
 Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted. 			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	ighted Poss	ible Score	500
Converted to	100 point	score total	0



Respondent:	2
Evaluator Number:	5

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation WY

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the		A	
Scope of Work;		4	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.] ,	•	!
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10		0
Proposal demonstrates:			
 Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the 			
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess			
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		4	
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,		Message 2	
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.	·		!
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
 Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; 			
 Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted 			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:			
-Familiarity with the services requested			
-Resources clearly identified for each task			
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting			
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS		4	
• Matrix Requirements		, i	
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			i
-Application Software and Integration			
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach	İ		
-Documentation and System Administration			
-Training		-	
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>-</u>
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score		, .	
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		り !	
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		w.	



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
 Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized; 			
• RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		4	
 Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.]	
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	eighted Poss	ible Score	500
Converted to	o 100 point s	score total	0



Respondent:	3
Evaluator Number:	5

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE Proposal demonstrates: Officor's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 60 0 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: Familiarity with the services requested Resources clearly identified for each task Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance Application Software Design/System Architecture Aproach Documentation and System Administration Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \			
Proposal demonstrates: Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. **References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. **WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH** **Droposal demonstrates: **Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; **Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS **Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	CRITERIA			Total (Weight X Score)
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; • Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; • Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: • Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 60 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: • Pamiliarity with the services requested • Resources clearly identified for each task • Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements • Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance • Application Software and Integration • Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach • Documentation and System Administration • Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: • Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; • Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: • Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. • WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: • Familiarity with the services requested • Resources clearly identified for each task • Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements • Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance - Application Software and Integration • Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach • Documentation and System Administration • Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees • Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	Proposal demonstrates:	i ,		
Scope of Work; * Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL * Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. * References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. *WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH * Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; * Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: * Familiarity with the services requested * Resources clearly identified for each task * Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS * Matrix Requirements * Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance - Application Software and Integration * Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach - Documentation and System Administration Training **COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees** * To 0 0 * Proposal demonstrates: * Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;	•	A	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL 10 0 Proposal demonstrates: • Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: • Familiarity with the services requested • Resources clearly identified for each task • Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements • Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance - Application Software and Integration • Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach • Documentation and System Administration • Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees • Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the			
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL Proposal demonstrates: Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 60 0 Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	Scope of Work;		8	
Proposal demonstrates: Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 60 0 Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: - Familiarity with the services requested - Resources clearly identified for each task - Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements - Customer Support Warranty and Maintenance - Application Software and Integration - Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach - Documentation and System Administration - Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: - Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 60 0 Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: • Familiarity with the services requested • Resources clearly identified for each task • Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements • Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance • Application Software and Integration • Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach • Documentation and System Administration • Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: • Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 60 0 Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	Proposal demonstrates:			
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 60 0 Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: • Familiarity with the services requested • Resources clearly identified for each task • Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements • Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance • Application Software and Integration • Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach • Documentation and System Administration • Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: • Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the			
References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 60 0 Proposal demonstrates: Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: Familiarity with the services requested Resources clearly identified for each task Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance Application Software and Integration Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach Documentation and System Administration Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess		~ ~	
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH Proposal demonstrates: Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: Familiarity with the services requested Resources clearly identified for each task Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance Application Software and Integration Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach Documentation and System Administration Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		<i>b</i>	
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH Proposal demonstrates: Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: Familiarity with the services requested Resources clearly identified for each task Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance Application Software and Integration Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach Documentation and System Administration Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,			
Proposal demonstrates: - Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; - Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: - Familiarity with the services requested - Resources clearly identified for each task - Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS - Matrix Requirements - Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance - Application Software and Integration - Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach - Documentation and System Administration - Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees - Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	l			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: - Familiarity with the services requested - Resources clearly identified for each task - Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements - Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance - Application Software and Integration - Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach - Documentation and System Administration - Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: - Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: - Familiarity with the services requested - Resources clearly identified for each task - Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements - Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance - Application Software and Integration - Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach - Documentation and System Administration - Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: - Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	Pronosal demonstrates:			
Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.				
in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS - Matrix Requirements - Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance - Application Software and Integration - Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach - Documentation and System Administration - Training - COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees - Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.				
-Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS - Matrix Requirements - Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance - Application Software and Integration - Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach - Documentation and System Administration - Training - COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees - Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.				
-Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.				
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements - Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance - Application Software and Integration - Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach - Documentation and System Administration - Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: - Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	<u> </u>			
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training -COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.			0	
Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.			9	
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.				
-Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	<u>-</u>			•
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
-Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	^^			
Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.				
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	l ·			
Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		10	0	0
Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.				
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	[·			
	•	j	7	
	-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.	ļ		[



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		1	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		ク	
Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total W	eighted Poss	sible Score	500
Converted t	o 100 point	score total	0



	 Respondent:	9		
,	 Evaluator Number:		0	
	 Evaluator Number:	•		

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation Willer

Proposal demonstrates: Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. O	C - Central Count Scanning and Tabulation WWI HEAV			
Proposal demonstrates: Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. Proposal demonstrates: Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: Familiarity with the services requested Resources clearly identified for each task Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance Application Software and Integration Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach Documentation and System Administration Training Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	CRITERIA	_		Total (Weight X Score)
Proposal demonstrates: Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. References continued in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: Familiarity with the services requested Resources clearly identified for each task Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance Application Software and Integration Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach Documentation and System Administration Training O 0 O 0 Proposal demonstrates: O 0 O 0 O 0 O 0 O 0 O 0 O 0 O			0	0
Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. Proposal demonstrates: Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. O 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration Training O 0 Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		STREET, STOP SHEET,		
Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. Proposal demonstrates: Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. O 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration Training O 0 Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;		1 1	
Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. Reference Proposal demonstrates: Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. Qualifications to provide the requested services. Qualifications to project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: Familiarity with the services requested Resources clearly identified for each task Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements Qualification Software and Integration Application Software and Integration Application Software and Integration Application Software and System Administration Proposal demonstrates: Qualification Software and System Administration Qualification Software and System Administration Qualification Software and System Administration Qualifica	• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the	1	U	
Proposal demonstrates: • Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. • On Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: • Familiarity with the services requested • Resources clearly identified for each task • Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements • Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance - Application Software and Integration • Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach • Documentation and System Administration • Training • O 0 Proposal demonstrates: • Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.			ķ	
Proposal demonstrates: Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. O	• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			!
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training O 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		and his st	0	0
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. O 0 Proposal demonstrates: Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS - Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration Training O 0 Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	1 •			
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. O 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: Familiarity with the services requested Resources clearly identified for each task Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance Application Software and Integration Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach Documentation and System Administration Training O 0 Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the			
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: - Familiarity with the services requested - Resources clearly identified for each task - Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements - Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance - Application Software and Integration - Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach - Documentation and System Administration - Training - Training - Proposal demonstrates: - Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess			
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. ### O	experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.	.	L	
Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training O 0 O 0 O 0 O 0 O 0 O 0 O 0 O	• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,			
Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training -Training O 0 O O O O O O O O O O O O O	experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.		·	!
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training O 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	AVORKSBINNIGHOUSECTEADEROUSEEL SEEDEN THE SEEDE NEEDEN AND THE		0	0
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training -Training	Proposal demonstrates:	.,,	J	
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training -Training	• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work:			
in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training • O 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
-Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training O 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	in the Scope of Work, including the following:			
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COLING PROPOSAL STROPISM FES Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	-Familiarity with the services requested			
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training	-Resources clearly identified for each task		1	
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training	-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting			
• Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COSTANTERIOR SANTAR POPULATION Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS		The Markey.	
-Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COLING PROHOSAL SPRONSU FCS Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	Matrix Requirements			
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COLUMN PROPOSAL SUPPLIES COLUMN	-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Documentation and System Administration -Training COLUMN STROBUS AND STROPE WHEE Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	-Application Software and Integration		!	
-Documentation and System Administration -Training COLUMN STROBUS AND STROPE WHEE Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			
Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	-Documentation and System Administration			ļ
Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	-Training			}
Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.			0	0
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	, ,	- Annual Control of the Control of t	_	
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score			
Identify issues for discussion below such as, ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.	based on an appropriate cost scoring method.]	E-mark	
	-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		ţ:	



i i li i si ilki si ili ili ili ili ili ili ili ili ili		0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;			
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;			
• Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.		'	
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	ighted Poss	sible Score	500
Converted to	100 point	score total	0



Respondent:	2
Evaluator Number:	Q

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\			
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
Proposal demonstrates:		0	0
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;		.	
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work;			
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.		U	
The provided state of the provided and resources for public agencies.			
Proposal demonstrates:		0	0
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the			
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess			
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.	[11	
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise			
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			İ
WORKERS THEORY PROXING THE THE SECTION OF THE SECTI		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	Property Committee of Committee		
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:			1
-Familiarity with the services requested	1	ŀ	
-Resources clearly identified for each task			
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting		U I	;
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS		. [
Matrix Requirements Contamor Server (VX)		Ī	1
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance		1	
-Application Software and Integration			
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration		ł	
-Training			
CONTOURIEUROS (U. Proposed Rosen and S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S.			
Proposal demonstrates:	AGOEN	0	0
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score			
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		5	
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.	İ		
, 0 0, F, dto.			



		0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;			
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		4	
Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	ighted Pos	sible Score	500
Converted to	100 point	score total	0



Respond	3
Respond	ient:
Evaluator Num	ber:

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation (A)

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation WM LAN			
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the			
Scope of Work;			
Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.		at .	
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the			
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess		ì,	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.			
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.		200	
experience, and quantications to provide the requested services.	988		
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:			
-Familiarity with the services requested			
-Resources clearly identified for each task		por the	'
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting		6	
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS		The Copy of the Co	
Matrix Requirements			
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration			
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			1
-Documentation and System Administration			
-Training			1
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:		0	
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score		17	
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		1	
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.			ľ



		0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:	<u>,</u>	<u> </u>	
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		$ \cap $	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;	•	151	
 Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted. 			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	ighted Pos	sible Score	500
Converted to	100 point	score total	0



<u> </u>	Respondent:	
	Evaluator Number:	7

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation 11 10 11 200

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation			
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
		0	-0
Proposal demonstrates:	S Guille Albaine en 12.		
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work;		4	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			[
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	121 (131 St. 1878)		
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the	,		
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess			
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.			
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise.		- 1	
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.	1 1		
A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF TH		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:			
-Familiarity with the services requested			
-Resources clearly identified for each task	1		
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting			
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS	1	5	
Matrix Requirements			•
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration			
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach	ł	•	
-Documentation and System Administration			1
-Training	1		
teransan to Reference and a second second second second second second second second second second second second		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score		4	Ì
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		* 1	
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		1	[



		0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
 Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized; 		ا م ا	-
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		4	
 Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted. 			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	eighted Pos	sible Score	500
Converted to			



·	
	Respondent:

1=.	Employee No. 1
	Evaluator Number:

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation Walter Weight Score Total (%) (0-5)(Weight CRITERIA X Score) 0 Proposal demonstrates: Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; · Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. 0 Proposal demonstrates: · Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. Werkfleaverdeer Arelia ale ee ee ee ee 0 Proposal demonstrates: Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; · Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COSTROL PRECIONAL PROPERTY CONTROL 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method. -Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.



		0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;			
• RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;			
• Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.		*	
Respondent Total	100		0
Total Wo	eighted Poss	ible Score	500
Converted t	o 100 point	score total	0



	 Respondent:	3	
·	 Evaluator Number:	7	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation (Weight Score Total (%) (0-5)(Weight CRITERIA X Score) 0 Proposal demonstrates: Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. Linearo de la collición de la Collición de Collición de Collición de Collición de Collición de Collición de Co 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: · Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. Weilight Architectives Related North Sales and the Wastern 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: · Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training or easy color to easy of the easy and easy to easy and easy to 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method. -Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.



		0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
• Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		7	
• RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		/	
• Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.			
Respondent Total	100		. 0
Total Wo	Total Weighted Possible Score		
Converted to 100 point score total			0



	·		
			1
!			I
	Respondent:		1
	Acspondent.		
		•	`
	.		}
	Evaluator Number:		i

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the			
Scope of Work;			
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
 Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the 		_	
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess			
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.			
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,			
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			İ
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			
Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted		,	
in the Scope of Work, including the following:		1 [2	!
-Familiarity with the services requested			
-Resources clearly identified for each task			
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting			
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS		'	
Matrix Requirements			
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration		ľ	
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			
-Documentation and System Administration		İ	
-Training		[
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	10	<u> </u>	
Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score	ľ	A	
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		41	ļ
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.	1		



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		F-	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;			
 Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.)	
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	eighted Pos	sible Score	500
Converted to	o 100 point	score total	0



Respondent:	$ \mathcal{L} $
-	
Evaluator Number:	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation ()()

CRITERIA Weight (%)	Score	T-4-1
	(0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE 10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:		" .
Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;	1 A	1
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the		
Scope of Work;		
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.		
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL 10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:		
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the	,	l
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess	1	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise.		
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.		
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:		
Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;		
Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted		
in the Scope of Work, including the following:		
-Familiarity with the services requested		
-Resources clearly identified for each task		
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting		
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS	/	
• Matrix Requirements		
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance		
-Application Software and Integration	i	
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach	[
-Documentation and System Administration		
-Training		
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10	0	
Proposal demonstrates:	- 0	0
Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score	~ /	,
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	5 1	
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		<i>[</i>	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;			
 Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted. 		>	
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	ighted Pos	sible Score	500
Converted to	o 100 point	score total	0



Respondent:	3	
Evaluator Number:	1	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation Orw			<u> </u>
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			T -
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;	1		1
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the			
Scope of Work;]	/	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the		I	
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess		16	!
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		9	
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,		}	
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;	İ	i	
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted		1	
in the Scope of Work, including the following:		1	İ
-Familiarity with the services requested		$-\mathcal{U}$,
-Resources clearly identified for each task			
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting		'	
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS			
Matrix Requirements			
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration			
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			
-Documentation and System Administration			
-Training			
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score			
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		7 1	
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		/	



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:		,	
• Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		101	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		17	
• Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.		[
Respondent Total	100		0
Total Wo	eighted Poss	ible Score	500
Converted t	o 100 point	score total	0



Respondent:	1	
Evaluator Number:	2	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation ()() Weight Score Total (%) (0-5)(Weight CRITERIA X Score) 0 Proposal demonstrates: Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; · Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. A CAN A PARTY 0 Proposal demonstrates: Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. · References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method. -Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.



		0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
• Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;			
• RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		16	
• Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.			
Respondent Tota	1 100		0
Total W	eighted Poss	ible Score	500
Converted	to 100 point	score total	0



Respondent:	2
Evaluator Number:	2

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation			
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	t tale At the Mississipped to the confession of		
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the		11	
Scope of Work;		1 4	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.		, ,	
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	A THE COLOR OF THE COLOR		
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the			
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess		4	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.			
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,	•		
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			
AND DESIGNATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT		0	0
Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training		3	
CONTROL OF THE MORE WAS A SECOND OF THE SECO		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score			}
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.			
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.			



		0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
• Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		1 , 1	
• RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		4	
• Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.		'	
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	eighted Poss	sible Score	500
Converted t	o 100 point	score total	0



	Respondent:	J
) i	Evaluator Number:	2

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE Proposal demonstrates: Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL 10 0 0 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 60 0 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation (XXX)			
Proposal demonstrates: Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL 10 0 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 60 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	CRITERIA] -	-	Total (Weight X Score)
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; • Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; • Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: • Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 60 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		10	0	0
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; • Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: • Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 60 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Scope of Work; * Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. * PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL * Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. * References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. * WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH * Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; * Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: * Familiarity with the services requested * Resources clearly identified for each task * Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS * Matrix Requirements * Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance * Application Software Design/System Architecture Aproach * Documentation and System Administration * Training **COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees** * 10 0 * Proposal demonstrates: **Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.			1.1	
*Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. *PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL Proposal demonstrates: Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. *References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. *WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH* *Proposal demonstrates: *Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; *Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS *Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training *COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees* 10 0 *Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.			H	
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL Proposal demonstrates: Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 60 0 Proposal demonstrates: Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	1 * '		1	
Proposal demonstrates: Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 60 0 Proposal demonstrates: Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.				
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 60 • Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		10	0	0
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 60 0 Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.				1
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 60 0 Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.				
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, expertience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 60 0 Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.			2	
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, expertience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH 60 0 Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.			1)	
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH Proposal demonstrates: Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: Familiarity with the services requested Resources clearly identified for each task Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance Application Software and Integration Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach Documentation and System Administration Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,			
Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.				
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	Proposal demonstrates:			
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.				
in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	1		1	
-Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	1		3	
-Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	l • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		_	
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	l '			
Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.				
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	ki ta			
-Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	· ·			
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	, , ,			
-Documentation and System Administration -Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	<u> </u>			
-Training COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees 10 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.				
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees Proposal demonstrates: Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.	•			
Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		10	0	0
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		10		· ·
score based on an appropriate cost scoring method.			2	
			* /	
-Identity issues for discussion below such as: ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.	-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		 -	



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND	10	0	0
DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT			
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		1.2	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;			
Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.			
Respondent Tota	l 100		0
Total V	Veighted Poss	ible Score	500
Converted	to 100 point	score total	0



Respondent:	1
Evaluator Number:	3

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation Of M

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:		_	
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;		5	
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work;		·	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.) i servicio de la regiona de la		
Proposal demonstrates:		0	0
Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the		Γ	
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess		5	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.			
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,			
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			
Proceedings of the Control of the Co	7. 199	0	0
	Established Se	<u> </u>	
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following:		3	
-Familiarity with the services requested			
-Resources clearly identified for each task			
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting			
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS			
• Matrix Requirements			
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration			İ
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach		1	
-Documentation and System Administration			İ
-Training			
re do consultorde par la compania del la compania del la compania del la compania de la compania de la compania de la compania de la compania de la compania del la compania del la compania del la compania del la compania del la com		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:		<u> </u>	
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score		U	
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		`	
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.	1	į	



		0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		U	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		١ ١	
• Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	ighted Poss	sible Score	500
Converted to	100 point	score total	0



Respondent:	2
Evaluator Number:	3

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation ()

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;		5	
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the		-	
Scope of Work;			
Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.	The Bast In the care expressed		
Proposal demonstrates:		0	0
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess		5	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.			
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,			
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			
Well with the state of the stat			0
		<u> </u>	
Proposal demonstrates:			
Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established also the scope of work;		4	
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following:		,	
-Familiarity with the services requested			
-Resources clearly identified for each task			
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting			
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS			
Matrix Requirements			
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration		1	
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach	ľ		
-Documentation and System Administration			
-Training			
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score	1	5	
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.			1
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.			

File Folder #C022406



		0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:	Secretary and the Secretary of the Control of the C		
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		, k	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		1 \(\delta \)	
Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.		'	
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	ighted Poss	ible Score	500
Converted to	100 point	score total	0



	<u> </u>
<u>'</u>	5
	. >
Res	spondent:
<u> </u>	
Facility	x 5
Evaluator	Number:

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation Orb. Weight Score Total (%) (0-5)(Weight **CRITERIA** X Score) 0 Proposal demonstrates: Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work; Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. 0 Proposal demonstrates: Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training derz en en Contrat Frankrium den en en en 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method. -Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.



		0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:	2 C 28 M C X 2 L L 27 L 1 V		
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		つ	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;			
Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	ighted Pos	sible Score	500
Converted to	100 point	score total	0



· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		•
	_	1
	Respondent:	1
		Ц
	Evaluator Number:	ſ

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation OVA

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation OVA	, – –		
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
Proposal demonstrates:		0	0
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; • Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work;		Ч	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			ļ
Proposal demonstrates:	達なり多様。	0	0
 Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. 		Ч	
TO DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY		0	0
Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training		4	
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method. -Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		4	



		0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:	v		
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		11	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		7	
Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	eighted Pos	sible Score	500
Converted to	o 100 point	score total	0



Respondent:	2
Evaluator Number:	4

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation ()

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
	AN STAN FAST	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	3 to 10 to 1		
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the		4	
Scope of Work;			
 Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. 			i
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the		,	
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess		4	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.			
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,			
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:		Ч	
-Familiarity with the services requested		,	
-Resources clearly identified for each task			!
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting		·	
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS	İ		
Matrix Requirements		İ	
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration			
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			
-Documentation and System Administration			
-Training	s med eliment total a conservacione		
CE SURVICE DE SACE AL CODOS CORRES DE SERVICE DE SERVIC		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score		6	
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		ン	
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.			



		0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
• Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		,,	
• RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		7	
• Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	eighted Poss	sible Score	500
Converted to	o 100 point	score total	0



	Respondent:	3
Evalu	ator Number:	4

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the		4	
Scope of Work;		1	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.	and the second s		
		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the		Y	
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess		1	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.			
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,			
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.	表现的分类的(GLES)		
OVER CHIENCEL SOFFICITA CHRONOUS CONTROL TO THE CONTROL OF THE CON		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:			
-Familiarity with the services requested		2	
-Resources clearly identified for each task		3	
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting			
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS			
Matrix Requirements			
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration			
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			
-Documentation and System Administration			
-Training			
(C) COMPANDO, A CARA DA DA CARA CARA CARA CARA CARA C		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			,
Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score		3	
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		ند	
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.			



			0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:				
• Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;			1	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;			1 7	
• Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.				
Respondent	Total	100		0
To	al We	eighted Poss	ible Score	500
Conve	rted t	o 100 point	score total	0



Responden	nt:	
Evaluator Numbe	er. 5	
	<u> </u>	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation Only

C - Central Count Scanning and Tabulation WDA			
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	10		
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;	1		
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the		*****	
Scope of Work;	1 1	Serving Serving	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.	1 1		İ
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the			
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess	! . [
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.			
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise.]	Market Comment	
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.	. !		
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			
Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:			
-Familiarity with the services requested			
-Resources clearly identified for each task	ĺ		
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting		į	
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS		A	
• Matrix Requirements	į		
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration		ŀ	
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			
-Documentation and System Administration			
-Training			ĺ
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10		
Proposal demonstrates:	10	0	0
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score			
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		2	
	ſ		



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:	<u> </u>	 	
 Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized; 		,	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;			
 Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted. 		l E	
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	eighted Poss	ible Score	500
Converted to	o 100 point	score total	0



	_
Respondent:	2
	1
Evaluator Number:	5
Evaluator Number:	<u></u>

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation OVI			
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the	1	Á	
Scope of Work;	1	4	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.	1		
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			— <u> </u>
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the		ı	
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess			
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.	i	A	
 References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise. 	ľ	4	•
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.]	Ť	
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;		İ	
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:			
-Familiarity with the services requested			
-Resources clearly identified for each task			
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting		İ	
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS		1	
• Matrix Requirements	į		•
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
Application Software and Integration		İ	
Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			
Documentation and System Administration			
Training]		
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10		
Proposal demonstrates:	10	0	0
Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score			
pased on an appropriate cost scoring method.		5	İ
		ا خور	



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;			
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;	4		
 Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted. 	1		
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	eighted Poss	ible Score	500
Converted to	o 100 point	score total	0



Respondent:	3
Evaluator Number:	5

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation ONLA

C - Central Count Scanning and Tabulation Co			
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the		A	
Scope of Work;		دام	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.		•	
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the	į		1
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess			
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		1	
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,		7	
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:			
-Familiarity with the services requested			
-Resources clearly identified for each task			
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting		7	
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS			
Matrix Requirements			
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration			
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			
-Documentation and System Administration			
-Training	.		
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score		7	
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		7	
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		ŀ	



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions: • Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized; • RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to; • Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.		3	·
Respondent Total	100		0
Total Wo	eighted Pos	sible Score	500
Converted t	o 100 point	score total	0



i i	,
 	i
Respondent:	i
	1
Evoluator North con	10
Evaluator Number:	V

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation (VI)

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work;		5	1
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL			<u> </u>
Proposal demonstrates:	10	0	0
Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the			l
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess			
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		5	
References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,	ļ		
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH			
	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			
Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:			
-Familiarity with the services requested			
-Resources clearly identified for each task		71	
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting		9	
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS			
Matrix Requirements	1		
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration		ļ	
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			
-Documentation and System Administration	İ	1	
-Training			
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score		11	
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		7	1
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		i	



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
 Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;] , []	
• RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		4	
 Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted. 			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total We	eighted Poss	ible Score	500
Converted to			0



	2	
Respondent:		
Evaluator Number:	6	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation And

CRITERIA ONAL HEIGATIONS AND DELIAMENT THE THE THE THE TABLE TO THE TABLE THE THE THE TABLE THE	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;		_	
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work;		8	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.			
PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL			
Proposal demonstrates:	10	0	0
Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the	1		
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess] [
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		5	
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,			
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH	60		
	00	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Soons of World in the fell in the fell.			
in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested			
	ŀ		
-Resources clearly identified for each task		.]	
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting	1	4	
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements		· ']	
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration			
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach		İ	
-Documentation and System Administration			
-Training		İ	
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10		
Proposal demonstrates:	10	0	0
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score		ارا	ľ
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		5 1	
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.		/	



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT	10	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions: • Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized; • RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to; • Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.		Ц	
Respondent Total	100		0
Total W	eighted Poss	ible Score	500
Converted t	o 100 point :	score total	0



Respondent:	3	
Evaluator Number:	6	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation Of CM

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
QUALIFICATIONS AND RELATED EXPERIENCE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;			
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the		Y	
Scope of Work;		1	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. PROPOSED STAFFING AND KEY PERSONNEL			
	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the		1 1	İ
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess		4	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,			
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.			İ
WORK PLAN/PROJECT APPROACH			
WORKT DAIVI ROJECT ATT ROACH	60	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
• Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work;			,
• Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted			
in the Scope of Work, including the following:			
-Familiarity with the services requested			
-Resources clearly identified for each task		1.1	
-Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting		\neg	
System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS			
Matrix Requirements			
-Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance			
-Application Software and Integration			
-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach			
-Documentation and System Administration			
-Training			
COST OF PROPOSAL - Proposed Fees	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score		7	
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		ク	
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.			



PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE AND DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT		0	
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:	<u>: ' </u>		
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		a	
RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		ーケー	
Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.			
Respondent Total	100		0
Total Weighted Possible Score		sible Score	500
Converted to			0



Respondent;		
	****7	
Evaluator Number:		

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{L})$ Weight Score Total (%) (0-5)(Weight CRITERIA X Score) 0 Proposal demonstrates: Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; · Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work: • Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. 0 Proposal demonstrates: Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. · References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. A SELECTION OF THE PARTY OF THE 0 Proposal demonstrates: Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach -Documentation and System Administration -Training 0 Proposal demonstrates: -Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score based on an appropriate cost scoring method. -Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.



		0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:		1	
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		11	
• RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;			
• Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.		} ` [
Respondent Total	100		0
Total Weighted Possible Score		ible Score	500
Converted to			0



		<u> </u>
	 Respondent:	e de
-		· ·
L.,	Evaluator Number:	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation ()Y&Q	<u> </u>		
CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
The particular of the contraction of the contractio		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	- Clark operation Control in the Santa	·	
• Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal;	1		
• Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the		I was	
Scope of Work;	}	5	
• Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies.		manufacture.	
production and the contract of		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:	trail_acreate it moves		
• Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the			
services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess		prince	
experience working with public agencies to provide similar services.		L	
• References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise,	1		
experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services.	1		
WORK SHOWER DESIGNATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE		0	0
Proposal demonstrates: • Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; • Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS • Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance -Application Software and Integration -Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach		7	
-Documentation and System Administration			
-Training		ſ	
SOS COLVERNO POSALE PROPOSCOCRECATOR STORMS AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND		0	0
Proposal demonstrates:			_
-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score		-	_ [
based on an appropriate cost scoring method.		2	
-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.	ļ		



	7 7 7 3 g	0	0
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			-
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		11	
• RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		7	
Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.		'	
Respondent Total	100	-	0
Total Weighted Possible Score		sible Score	500
Converted to	100 point	score total	0

Weight

Score

Total



Individual Evaluator Score Sheet RFP-031-C022406-KG Voting Systems

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		. ·
	Respondent:	//
a a management	Evoluator Name	7
	Evaluator Number:	

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Request for Proposal.

Score: Scores ranging from 0 "Unacceptable to 5 "Excellent" are given for each criteria as follows: 5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable

C = Central Count Scanning and Tabulation

(%) (0-5)(Weight **CRITERIA** X Score) Proposal demonstrates: • Offeror's Knowledge, Understanding, and Responsiveness to the Overall Proposal; · Specific significant related experience and expertise in the services requested in the Scope of Work: • Experience providing same/similar services and resources for public agencies. ger**teit**enskak **er**kkeralingskingen 0 Proposal demonstrates: • Qualifications of key personnel; ability to provide experienced staffing to fulfill the services outlined in the Scope of Work; project manager and key personnel possess experience working with public agencies to provide similar services. • References submitted by Respondent provide evidence of the firm's expertise, experience, and qualifications to provide the requested services. 0 0 Proposal demonstrates: Understanding of project & objectives as detailed in the scope of work; Methods for approaching project and established plan to accomplish the services noted in the Scope of Work, including the following: -Familiarity with the services requested -Resources clearly identified for each task -Inclusion of a plan that addresses proposed response times - Certification of Voting System/applicable Components by December 31, 2019 for use in CA by SOS Matrix Requirements -Customer Support/Warranty and Maintenance

-Training

Proposal demonstrates:

-Application Software and Integration

Documentation and System Administration

EDS PLOISPROPOSTS EPONOSTAPES

based on an appropriate cost scoring method.

-Hardware and Software Design/System Architecture Aproach

-Overall cost will be scored by the Evaluation Committee as a whole and given one score

-Identify issues for discussion below such as; ongoing costs, pricing detail, etc.

0



	4 10	0	0 .
Completeness of response in accordance with RFP instructions:			
Proposal is complete, comprehensive, and well-organized;		1/	
• RFP requirements are addressed and adhered to;		9	
• Minimal exceptions or acceptable exceptions, no exceptions noted.		(
Respondent Total	100		0
Total Weighted Possible Score			500
Converted to	100 point	score total	0