

Preliminary Evaluation Scoring Sheet RFP # 060-C021783 Youth Diversion Counseling Services

Proposer's Name:

Pepperdine University

Evaluator Number:

**Weight:** Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Scope of Work.

CONTROL	Weight	Score	Total
CRITERIA	(%)	(0-5)	(Weight X Score)
UNDERSTANDING OF RFP PROPOSAL	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates: Proposal demonstrates an understanding of the County's requirements as set forth in this RFP Proposal demonstrates knowledge of the environment in which the activities will take place Proposal does not substantially deviate from any material requirements of the RFP Proposal's detail, clarity, presentation and overall content Proposal's completeness of response Proposal's conformance with instructions, conditions and format of the RFP		5	570
Notes:			
COMPANY EXPERIENCE & QUALIFICATIONS	30	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:  Offeror's expertise in the service set forth in this RFP  Offeror's length of time in business, especially the length of time in business providing service similar to that set forth in this RFP  Offeror's proposed Staffing Plan		5	150
Notes:			
DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE AND ABILITY TO MEET COUNTY T&C'S, ATTACHMENTS AND EXHIBITS	30	0	0
Proposal demonstrates: • Proposal's adherence or exception to the County Model Contract Terms and Conditions, including the Attachments, Exhibits	5	5	150
Notes:			
PROPOSAL FEE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:  • Best value/price for the County's requirements	E 1	5	50
Notes:			
ABILITY TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS IN THE SCOPE OF WORK	20	0	0
Proposal demonstrates: • Proposal meets the requirements in the Scope of Work		5	100
Notes:			
Respondent Total		-	0 500
		ossible Score	
Converted	to 100 poir	nt score total	0



### Evaluation Scoring Sheet RFP # 060-C021783 Youth Diversion Counseling Services

Proposer's Name: PEPPERDINE UNIVERSITY Evaluator Number: 2

**Weight:** Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Scope of Work.

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Welghl X Score)
UNDERSTANDING OF RFP PROPOSAL	<b>10 .</b> 7	0	0
Proposal demonstrates: Proposal demonstrates an understanding of the County's requirements as set forth in this RFP Proposal demonstrates knowledge of the environment in which the activities will take place Proposal does not substantially deviate from any material requirements of the RFP Proposal's detail, clarity, presentation and overall content Proposal's completeness of response Proposal's conformance with instructions, conditions and format of the RFP		5	<i>5</i> 0
Notes:  COMPANY EXPERIENCE & QUALIFICATIONS	30	0	0
		U	<u> </u>
Proposal demonstrates: Offeror's expertise in the service set forth in this RFP Offeror's length of time in business, especially the length of time in business providing service similar to that set forth in this RFP Offeror's proposed Staffing Plan		5	150
Notes:			
DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE AND ABILITY TO MEET COUNTY T&C'S, ATTACHMENTS AND EXHIBITS	30	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:  • Proposal's adherence or exception to the County Model Contract Terms and Conditions, including the Attachments, Exhibits		5	150
Notes:			
PROPOSAL FEE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:  Best value/price for the County's requirements		5	_50
Notes:			-
ABILITY TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS IN THE SCOPE OF WORK	20	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:  Proposal meets the requirements in the Scope of Work		5	100
Notes:			
Respondent Total	100		500 0
		ossible Score	500
Converted	10 TOO POIN	it score total	0



Preliminary Evaluation Scoring Sheet RFP # 060-C021783 Youth Diversion Counseling Services

Proposer's Name: PEPPERANE UNIVERSITY

Evaluator Number: '3

**Weight:** Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Scope of Work.

	(%)	(0-5)	(Weight X Score)
UNDERSTANDING OF REP PROPOSAL	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:  Proposal demonstrates an understanding of the County's requirements as set forth in this RFP  Proposal demonstrates knowledge of the environment in which the activities will take place  Proposal does not substantially deviate from any material requirements of the RFP  Proposal's detail, clarity, presentation and overall content  Proposal's completeness of response  Proposal's conformance with instructions, conditions and format of the RFP		5	
Notes: DEMONSTRATES COMPLETE WOE	257721/1/1	126	
COMPANY EXPERIENCE & QUALIFICATIONS	30	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:  Offeror's expertise in the service set forth in this RFP  Offeror's length of time in business, especially the length of time in business providing service similar to that set forth in this RFP  Offeror's proposed Staffing Plan  Notes: Qualifications Apparation BEDEGREE OF COMPLIANCE AND ABILITY TO MEET COUNTY T&C'S, ATTACHMENTS AND EXHIBITS	- ハル めた』 30	5	0
Proposal demonstrates:  Proposal's adherence or exception to the County Model Contract Terms and Conditions, including the Attachments, Exhibits	d Transcription of	4	
Notes: MEETS COUNTIES NEEDS.			
PROPOSAL FEE  Proposal demonstrates:  • Best value/price for the County's requirements	10	0 5	0
Notes: Park By CORANT			
ABILITY TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS IN THE SCOPE OF WORK	20	0	O
Proposal-demonstrates:  • Proposal meets the requirements in the Scope of Work		5	
Notes: I BELIEVE PAPARDINE WILL DO 1000	D For	AT RIS	K Juvenila
Respondent Tota	1 100		0.
Total		ossible Score	500



Preliminary Evaluation Scoring Sheet RFP # 060-C021783 Youth Diversion Counseling Services

Proposer's Name:

**Pepperdine University** 

Evaluator Number: #4

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Scope of

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Weight X Score)
UNDERSTANDING OF RFP PROPOSAL	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates: Proposal demonstrates an understanding of the County's requirements as set forth in this RFP Proposal demonstrates knowledge of the environment in which the activities will take place Proposal does not substantially deviate from any material requirements of the RFP Proposal's detail, clarity, presentation and overall content Proposal's completeness of response Proposal's conformance with instructions, conditions and format of the RFP		5	
Notes:			
COMPANY EXPERIENCE & QUALIFICATIONS	30	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:  Offeror's expertise in the service set forth in this RFP  Offeror's length of time in business, especially the length of time in business providing service similar to that set forth in this RFP  Offeror's proposed Staffing Plan		5	*
Notes:			
DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE AND ABILITY TO MEET COUNTY T&C'S, ATTACHMENTS AND EXHIBITS	30	0.	0
Proposal demonstrates: Proposal's adherence or exception to the County Model Contract Terms and Conditions, including the Attachments, Exhibits		5	
Notes:			
PROPOSAL FEE	10	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:  Best value/price for the County's requirements		5	
Notes:			
ABILITY TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS IN THE SCOPE OF WORK	20	0	0
Proposal demonstrates: Proposal meets the requirements in the Scope of Work  Note:		5	
Notes:	165		
Respondent Total			0
		ossible Score	500
Converted	10 TUU poir	nt score total	0



#### Evaluation Scoring Sheet RFP # 060-C021783 Youth Diversion Counseling Services

Proposer's Name: Pepperdine University Evaluator Number: 5

Weight: Each evaluation criteria is given a percent weight based on the importance to the Scope of Work.

CRITERIA	Weight (%)	Score (0-5)	Total (Welght X Score)
UNDERSTANDING OF RFP PROPOSAL	<b>68/10</b>	.0.	.0
Proposal demonstrates:  Proposal demonstrates an understanding of the County's requirements as set forth in this RFP  Proposal demonstrates knowledge of the environment in which the activities will take place  Proposal does not substantially deviate from any material requirements of the RFP  Proposal's detail, clarity, presentation and overall	lo	5	50
content • Proposal's completeness of response • Proposal's conformance with instructions, conditions and format of the RFP		·	
Notes: Proposal remains preshir which the same as last succeptaintenance & QUALIFICATIONS	weval yeu	147	*
COMPANY EXPERIENCE & QUALIFICATIONS	30 📜	0	.0
Proposal demonstrates:  Offeror's expertise in the service set forth in this RFP Offeror's length of time in business, especially the length of time in business providing service similar to that set forth in this RFP Offeror's proposed Staffing Plan	30	5	150
Notes:			
DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE AND ABILITY TO MEET COUNTY T&C'S, ATTACHMENTS AND EXHIBITS	30	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:  • Proposal's adherence or exception to the County Model Contract Terms and Conditions, including the Attachments, Exhibits	30	5	150
Notes: PROPOSAL FEE			
Proposal demonstrates:	10	5	150
Best value/price for the County's requirements  Notes:			001
ABILITY TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS IN THE SCOPE OF WORK	20	0	0
Proposal demonstrates:  • Proposal meets the requirements in the Scope of Work	20	5	100
Notes:			
Respondent Total			0
		ossible Score	
Converted	1 to 100 poli	nt score total	.0

Oral Proposal Evaluation - Must Equal 100% Grand Total - Must Equal 100%	Oral Criteria	Understanding of RFP Proposal Company Experience & Qualifications Degree of Compliance and Ability to Meet County T&C's, Attachments and Exhibits Proposal Fee Ability to Meet the Requirements in the Scope of Work  Written Proposal Evaluation - Must Equal 100%	Written Criteria	Evaluation Criteria	Oral Proposal Evaluation - Must Equal 100% Grand Total - Must Equal 100%	Oral Proposal Evaluation - Must Equal 100%	Oral Criteria	Written Proposal Evaluation - Must Equal 100%	Proposal Fee Ability to Meet the Requirements in the Scope of Work	Company Experience & Qualifications Degree of Compliance and Ability to Meet County T&C's, Attachments and Exhibits	Understanding of RFP Proposal	Evaluation Criteria
6 0% 6 100%			100%	Weight	6 100%		1532	6 100%	10% 20%		10%	Weight
	Panel #1		Panel #1	Propose			Panel #1	25.0	ഗാഗാ	បា បា	Fanel #1	Propose
				Proposer: Company 2			İ	100.0	10 20	30 30	2495	Proposer: Pepperdine University
	Panel #2		Panel #2			one ne	Panel #2	25.0	ഗ ഗ	ഗ ഗ	Fanel #2	University
								100.0	10 20	30 30	10	
	Panel #3		Panel #3			one of	Panel #3	24.0	ហ ហ	ro 4	5 Fallel	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
								94.0	10 20	30 24	10	
	Panel #4		Panel #4				Panel #4	25.0	ហ ហ	<b>თ</b> თ	5	J >1 #
								100.0		30 30		
	Panel #5		Panel #5				Panel #5	25.0	ហ ហ	ហ ហ	5	1 7> 1 #5
								100.0	10 20	30 30	10	
0.0			Percentage	Score	0.0			98.8	10 20	30 29	10	Score
0.0				Weighted	98.8			98.8		30 29	CHAPTER STORY	Weighted



### MEMORANDUM OF RECOMMENDATION

-			-		0		-
111	200	m	ber	15	7	ハつ	
v		ш	nei	1.7.	. 4	UZ	u

To:

Olivia Prudencio, Deputy Purchasing Agent

From:

4

Chair of Evaluation Committee, RFP #060-C021783-OP

Subject:

Memorandum of Recommendation

Upon review of the proposals submitted in response to the referenced Request for Proposal, the rankings are as listed below. The Evaluation Committee recommends that the (Sheriff-Coroner/Purchasing Division) proceed with negotiations with the top-ranked proposer.

## **Proposal Rankings**

Ranking	Vendor Name	
1.	Pepperdine University	
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		
6.	1	i.

# **Evaluation Committee Concurrence**

Evaluator	Signature	
1.		-
2.		-
3.		-
4.		·_
5.		

Attached is the *Finalized Individual Evaluator's Scoring Sheets* for submittal with the ASR, as appropriate. Should you have any questions, I may be reached at or via email at