

Written Proposal

RFP NO. 080-2707703-DB

Score: 500 points maximum
 Scores ranging from 0 as “Unacceptable”, mid-score as
 “Satisfactory” to maximum points possible as “Excellent”.

Respondent: PACE **Evaluator:** 1 **Date:** 7/21/25

CRITERIA	Total Points
<p><i>QUALIFICATION AND RELATED EXPERIENCE/TECHNICAL EXPERTISE</i></p> <p><i>Proposal response demonstrates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific knowledge & experience of proposed discipline/sub-disciplines • Understanding of range of projects and objectives for specific discipline/sub-disciplines • Technical expertise to provide services as defined in Scope of Services: • Projects listed are related to discipline/sub-disciplines 	<p>200 max</p> <p>150</p>
<p><i>KEY PERSONNEL/ PROPOSED STAFFING</i></p> <p><i>Proposal response demonstrates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Key personnel holds licenses & certifications and experience related to discipline • Staff structure and benefits of this structure • Regulatory compliance expertise (if applicable) 	<p>100 max.</p> <p>75</p>
<p><i>AVAILABILITY TO PERFORM SERVICES</i></p> <p><i>Proposal response demonstrates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence of resources to perform the required services and commitment to provide additional staff, if needed 	<p>125 max.</p> <p>90</p>
<p><i>PROPOSALS ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE</i></p> <p><i>Proposal response demonstrates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All parts in organized manner and in format requested. • Respondent PROPOSALS has all requested information in concise format. • Requested references listed as requested in Part 3, III, Additional Information. 	<p>50 max.</p> <p>45</p>
<p><i>DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT</i></p> <p><i>Proposal response demonstrates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Respondent exceptions listed in PROPOSALS to model contract 	<p>25 max.</p> <p>25</p>
Respondent Total:	385
Maximum Points Possible:	500

Written Proposal

RFP NO. 080-2707703-DB

Score: 500 points maximum
 Scores ranging from 0 as “Unacceptable”, mid-score as “Satisfactory” to maximum points possible as “Excellent”.

Respondent: AtkinsRealis **Evaluator:** 1 **Date:** 7/18/2025

CRITERIA	Total Points
<p><i>QUALIFICATION AND RELATED EXPERIENCE/TECHNICAL EXPERTISE</i></p> <p><i>Proposal response demonstrates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific knowledge & experience of proposed discipline/sub-disciplines • Understanding of range of projects and objectives for specific discipline/sub-disciplines • Technical expertise to provide services as defined in Scope of Services: • Projects listed are related to discipline/sub-disciplines 	<p>200 max</p> <p>180</p>
<p><i>KEY PERSONNEL/ PROPOSED STAFFING</i></p> <p><i>Proposal response demonstrates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Key personnel holds licenses & certifications and experience related to discipline • Staff structure and benefits of this structure • Regulatory compliance expertise (if applicable) 	<p>100 max.</p> <p>90</p>
<p><i>AVAILABILITY TO PERFORM SERVICES</i></p> <p><i>Proposal response demonstrates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence of resources to perform the required services and commitment to provide additional staff, if needed 	<p>125 max.</p> <p>110</p>
<p><i>PROPOSALS ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE</i></p> <p><i>Proposal response demonstrates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All parts in organized manner and in format requested. • Respondent PROPOSALS has all requested information in concise format. • Requested references listed as requested in Part 3, III, Additional Information. 	<p>50 max.</p> <p>45</p>
<p><i>DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT</i></p> <p><i>Proposal response demonstrates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Respondent exceptions listed in PROPOSALS to model contract 	<p>25 max.</p> <p>25</p>
Respondent Total:	<i>450</i>
Maximum Points Possible:	500

Written Proposal

RFP NO. 080-2707703-DB

Score: 500 points maximum
 Scores ranging from 0 as “Unacceptable”, mid-score as “Satisfactory” to maximum points possible as “Excellent”.

Respondent: ATKINSREALIS **Evaluator:** 3 **Date:** 07-21-2025

CRITERIA	Total Points
<p><i>QUALIFICATION AND RELATED EXPERIENCE/TECHNICAL EXPERTISE</i></p> <p><i>Proposal response demonstrates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific knowledge & experience of proposed discipline/sub-disciplines • Understanding of range of projects and objectives for specific discipline/sub-disciplines • Technical expertise to provide services as defined in Scope of Services: • Projects listed are related to discipline/sub-disciplines 	<p>200 max</p> <p align="center" style="font-size: 1.5em;">190</p>
<p><i>KEY PERSONNEL/ PROPOSED STAFFING</i></p> <p><i>Proposal response demonstrates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Key personnel holds licenses & certifications and experience related to discipline • Staff structure and benefits of this structure • Regulatory compliance expertise (if applicable) 	<p>100 max.</p> <p align="center" style="font-size: 1.5em;">90</p>
<p><i>AVAILABILITY TO PERFORM SERVICES</i></p> <p><i>Proposal response demonstrates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence of resources to perform the required services and commitment to provide additional staff, if needed 	<p>125 max.</p> <p align="center" style="font-size: 1.5em;">110</p>
<p><i>PROPOSALS ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE</i></p> <p><i>Proposal response demonstrates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All parts in organized manner and in format requested. • Respondent PROPOSALS has all requested information in concise format. • Requested references listed as requested in Part 3, III, Additional Information. 	<p>50 max.</p> <p align="center" style="font-size: 1.5em;">50</p>
<p><i>DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT</i></p> <p><i>Proposal response demonstrates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Respondent exceptions listed in PROPOSALS to model contract 	<p>25 max.</p> <p align="center" style="font-size: 1.5em;">25</p>
Respondent Total:	465
Maximum Points Possible:	500

Written Proposal

RFP NO. 080-2707703-DB

Score: 500 points maximum
 Scores ranging from 0 as “Unacceptable”, mid-score as “Satisfactory” to maximum points possible as “Excellent”.

Respondent: AtkinsRealis **Evaluator:** 4 **Date:** 7/16/2025

CRITERIA	Total Points
<p><i>QUALIFICATION AND RELATED EXPERIENCE/TECHNICAL EXPERTISE</i></p> <p><i>Proposal response demonstrates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific knowledge & experience of proposed discipline/sub-disciplines • Understanding of range of projects and objectives for specific discipline/sub-disciplines • Technical expertise to provide services as defined in Scope of Services: • Projects listed are related to discipline/sub-disciplines 	<p>200 max</p> <p>180</p>
<p><i>KEY PERSONNEL/ PROPOSED STAFFING</i></p> <p><i>Proposal response demonstrates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Key personnel holds licenses & certifications and experience related to discipline • Staff structure and benefits of this structure • Regulatory compliance expertise (if applicable) 	<p>100 max.</p> <p>90</p>
<p><i>AVAILABILITY TO PERFORM SERVICES</i></p> <p><i>Proposal response demonstrates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence of resources to perform the required services and commitment to provide additional staff, if needed 	<p>125 max.</p> <p>105</p>
<p><i>PROPOSALS ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE</i></p> <p><i>Proposal response demonstrates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All parts in organized manner and in format requested. • Respondent PROPOSALS has all requested information in concise format. • Requested references listed as requested in Part 3, III, Additional Information. 	<p>50 max.</p> <p>45</p>
<p><i>DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT</i></p> <p><i>Proposal response demonstrates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Respondent exceptions listed in PROPOSALS to model contract 	<p>25 max.</p> <p>25</p>
Respondent Total:	445
Maximum Points Possible:	500

Written Proposal

RFP NO. 080-2707703-DB

Score: 500 points maximum
 Scores ranging from 0 as “Unacceptable”, mid-score as
 “Satisfactory” to maximum points possible as “Excellent”.

Respondent: Pacific Advanced Civil Engineering **Evaluator:** 4 **Date:** 7/16/2025

CRITERIA	Total Points
<p><i>QUALIFICATION AND RELATED EXPERIENCE/TECHNICAL EXPERTISE</i></p> <p><i>Proposal response demonstrates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific knowledge & experience of proposed discipline/sub-disciplines • Understanding of range of projects and objectives for specific discipline/sub-disciplines • Technical expertise to provide services as defined in Scope of Services: • Projects listed are related to discipline/sub-disciplines 	<p>200 max</p> <p align="center" style="font-size: 1.5em;">170</p>
<p><i>KEY PERSONNEL/ PROPOSED STAFFING</i></p> <p><i>Proposal response demonstrates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Key personnel holds licenses & certifications and experience related to discipline • Staff structure and benefits of this structure • Regulatory compliance expertise (if applicable) 	<p>100 max.</p> <p align="center" style="font-size: 1.5em;">90</p>
<p><i>AVAILABILITY TO PERFORM SERVICES</i></p> <p><i>Proposal response demonstrates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence of resources to perform the required services and commitment to provide additional staff, if needed 	<p>125 max.</p> <p align="center" style="font-size: 1.5em;">100</p>
<p><i>PROPOSALS ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE</i></p> <p><i>Proposal response demonstrates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All parts in organized manner and in format requested. • Respondent PROPOSALS has all requested information in concise format. • Requested references listed as requested in Part 3, III, Additional Information. 	<p>50 max.</p> <p align="center" style="font-size: 1.5em;">45</p>
<p><i>DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT</i></p> <p><i>Proposal response demonstrates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Respondent exceptions listed in PROPOSALS to model contract 	<p>25 max.</p> <p align="center" style="font-size: 1.5em;">25</p>
Respondent Total:	430
Maximum Points Possible:	500

Written Proposal

RFP NO. 080-2707703-DB

Score: 500 points maximum
 Scores ranging from 0 as “Unacceptable”, mid-score as “Satisfactory” to maximum points possible as “Excellent”.

Respondent: PACE **Evaluator:** 5 **Date:** 7/22/2025

CRITERIA	Total Points
<p><i>QUALIFICATION AND RELATED EXPERIENCE/TECHNICAL EXPERTISE</i></p> <p><i>Proposal response demonstrates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific knowledge & experience of proposed discipline/sub-disciplines • Understanding of range of projects and objectives for specific discipline/sub-disciplines • Technical expertise to provide services as defined in Scope of Services: • Projects listed are related to discipline/sub-disciplines 	<p>200 max</p> <p>160</p>
<p><i>KEY PERSONNEL/ PROPOSED STAFFING</i></p> <p><i>Proposal response demonstrates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Key personnel holds licenses & certifications and experience related to discipline • Staff structure and benefits of this structure • Regulatory compliance expertise (if applicable) 	<p>100 max.</p> <p>80</p>
<p><i>AVAILABILITY TO PERFORM SERVICES</i></p> <p><i>Proposal response demonstrates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence of resources to perform the required services and commitment to provide additional staff, if needed 	<p>125 max.</p> <p>100</p>
<p><i>PROPOSALS ORGANIZATION/COMPLETENESS OF RESPONSE</i></p> <p><i>Proposal response demonstrates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All parts in organized manner and in format requested. • Respondent PROPOSALS has all requested information in concise format. • Requested references listed as requested in Part 3, III, Additional Information. 	<p>50 max.</p> <p>50</p>
<p><i>DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE COUNTY MODEL CONTRACT</i></p> <p><i>Proposal response demonstrates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Respondent exceptions listed in PROPOSALS to model contract 	<p>25 max.</p> <p>25</p>
Respondent Total:	415
Maximum Points Possible:	500

**EVALUATION SCORING SHEET
INTERVIEWS**

Attachment E

**RFP NO. 080-2707703-DB-CONSULTANT SERVICES TO UPDATE THE ORANGE
COUNTY HYDROLOGY MANUAL**

Score: 400 points maximum
Scores ranging from 0 as "Unacceptable", mid-score as "Satisfactory" to maximum points possible as "Excellent".

Respondent: Pacific Advanced Civil Engineering Inc. Evaluator: 1 Date: 8/19/25

Questions	Total Points Possible
<p>Question #1</p> <p>The calibration process is a critical element of the Orange County Hydrology Manual update. Please provide a detailed process the applicant will follow to calibrate the rainfall to runoff response. If hydrologic parameters require changes, how will these be optimized? In addition, how would impacts of urbanization, and effects of retarding basins that were not in the original calibration, be considered?</p>	<p>125 max</p> <p>110</p>
<p>Question #2</p> <p>How would you handle uncertainty and variability in hydrologic data? How would climate change be considered in the calibration effort due to its potential of producing larger storms in the future? Who on the team is qualified to perform statistical analysis and how will statistics be applied to rainfall and/or runoff?</p>	<p>100 max</p> <p>95</p>
<p>Question #3</p> <p>How can resiliency be built into the Orange County Hydrology Manual Update? Please provide at least two suggestions and provide an overview how these may be included into the manual.</p>	<p>75 max</p> <p>65</p>

POP QUESTIONS	
<p>Question #4</p> <p>Describe how your team anticipates managing the Technical Advisory Committee. What safeguards can be put in place so that the TAC doesn't derail the efforts to update the manual?</p>	<p>50 max</p> <p>45</p>
<p>Question #5</p> <p>What are the major challenges you foresee in the manual update. How can the project stay on schedule, task, budget, and resourced adequately? If problems occur, what efforts can</p>	<p>50 max</p> <p>45</p>

be done to get the project back on track? Please also describe the division of labor between the prime consultant and the sub-consultant(s).	
Respondent Total:	
Maximum Points Possible:	400

Evaluator Signature and Date: _____

**EVALUATION SCORING SHEET
INTERVIEWS**

Attachment E

**RFP NO. 080-2707703-DB-CONSULTANT SERVICES TO UPDATE THE ORANGE
COUNTY HYDROLOGY MANUAL**

Score: 400 points maximum
Scores ranging from 0 as “Unacceptable”, mid-score as
“Satisfactory” to maximum points possible as “Excellent”.

Respondent: AtkinsRéalis USA Inc. Evaluator: 1 Date: 8/19/25

Questions	Total Points Possible
<p>Question #1</p> <p>The calibration process is a critical element of the Orange County Hydrology Manual update. Please provide a detailed process the applicant will follow to calibrate the rainfall to runoff response. If hydrologic parameters require changes, how will these be optimized? In addition, how would impacts of urbanization, and effects of retarding basins that were not in the original calibration, be considered?</p>	<p>125 max</p> <p>90</p>
<p>Question #2</p> <p>How would you handle uncertainty and variability in hydrologic data? How would climate change be considered in the calibration effort due to its potential of producing larger storms in the future? Who on the team is qualified to perform statistical analysis and how will statistics be applied to rainfall and/or runoff?</p>	<p>100 max</p> <p>85</p>
<p>Question #3</p> <p>How can resiliency be built into the Orange County Hydrology Manual Update? Please provide at least two suggestions and provide an overview how these may be included into the manual.</p>	<p>75 max</p> <p>50</p>

POP QUESTIONS	
<p>Question #4</p> <p>Describe how your team anticipates managing the Technical Advisory Committee. What safeguards can be put in place so that the TAC doesn't derail the efforts to update the manual?</p>	<p>50 max</p> <p>45</p>
<p>Question #5</p> <p>What are the major challenges you foresee in the manual update. How can the project stay on schedule, task, budget, and resourced adequately? If problems occur, what efforts can be</p>	<p>50 max</p> <p>45</p>

done to get the project back on track? Please also describe the division of labor between the prime consultant and the sub-consultant(s).	
Respondent Total:	
Maximum Points Possible:	400

Evaluator Signature and Date: _____

**EVALUATION SCORING SHEET
INTERVIEWS**

Attachment E

**RFP NO. 080-2707703-DB-CONSULTANT SERVICES TO UPDATE THE ORANGE
COUNTY HYDROLOGY MANUAL**

Score: 400 points maximum
Scores ranging from 0 as "Unacceptable", mid-score as "Satisfactory" to maximum points possible as "Excellent".

Respondent: Pacific Advanced Civil Engineering Inc. Evaluator: 2 Date: 8/19/25

Questions	Total Points Possible
<p>Question #1</p> <p>The calibration process is a critical element of the Orange County Hydrology Manual update. Please provide a detailed process the applicant will follow to calibrate the rainfall to runoff response. If hydrologic parameters require changes, how will these be optimized? In addition, how would impacts of urbanization, and effects of retarding basins that were not in the original calibration, be considered?</p>	<p>125 max</p> <p>105</p>
<p>Question #2</p> <p>How would you handle uncertainty and variability in hydrologic data? How would climate change be considered in the calibration effort due to its potential of producing larger storms in the future? Who on the team is qualified to perform statistical analysis and how will statistics be applied to rainfall and/or runoff?</p>	<p>100 max</p> <p>80</p>
<p>Question #3</p> <p>How can resiliency be built into the Orange County Hydrology Manual Update? Please provide at least two suggestions and provide an overview how these may be included into the manual.</p>	<p>75 max</p> <p>65</p>

POP QUESTIONS	
<p>Question #4</p> <p>Describe how your team anticipates managing the Technical Advisory Committee. What safeguards can be put in place so that the TAC doesn't derail the efforts to update the manual?</p>	<p>50 max</p> <p>35</p>
<p>Question #5</p> <p>What are the major challenges you foresee in the manual update. How can the project stay on schedule, task, budget, and resourced adequately? If problems occur, what efforts can</p>	<p>50 max</p> <p>40</p>

be done to get the project back on track? Please also describe the division of labor between the prime consultant and the sub-consultant(s).	
Respondent Total:	325
Maximum Points Possible:	400

Evaluator Signature and Date: _____

**EVALUATION SCORING SHEET
INTERVIEWS**

Attachment E

**RFP NO. 080-2707703-DB-CONSULTANT SERVICES TO UPDATE THE ORANGE
COUNTY HYDROLOGY MANUAL**

Score: 400 points maximum
Scores ranging from 0 as "Unacceptable", mid-score as "Satisfactory" to maximum points possible as "Excellent".

Respondent: AtkinsRéalis USA Inc. Evaluator: 2 Date: 8/19/25

Questions	Total Points Possible
<p>Question #1</p> <p>The calibration process is a critical element of the Orange County Hydrology Manual update. Please provide a detailed process the applicant will follow to calibrate the rainfall to runoff response. If hydrologic parameters require changes, how will these be optimized? In addition, how would impacts of urbanization, and effects of retarding basins that were not in the original calibration, be considered?</p>	<p>125 max</p> <p>95</p>
<p>Question #2</p> <p>How would you handle uncertainty and variability in hydrologic data? How would climate change be considered in the calibration effort due to its potential of producing larger storms in the future? Who on the team is qualified to perform statistical analysis and how will statistics be applied to rainfall and/or runoff?</p>	<p>100 max</p> <p>90</p>
<p>Question #3</p> <p>How can resiliency be built into the Orange County Hydrology Manual Update? Please provide at least two suggestions and provide an overview how these may be included into the manual.</p>	<p>75 max</p> <p>65</p>

POP QUESTIONS	
<p>Question #4</p> <p>Describe how your team anticipates managing the Technical Advisory Committee. What safeguards can be put in place so that the TAC doesn't derail the efforts to update the manual?</p>	<p>50 max</p> <p>40</p>
<p>Question #5</p> <p>What are the major challenges you foresee in the manual update. How can the project stay on schedule, task, budget, and resourced adequately? If problems occur, what efforts can be</p>	<p>50 max</p> <p>40</p>

done to get the project back on track? Please also describe the division of labor between the prime consultant and the sub-consultant(s).	
Respondent Total:	330
Maximum Points Possible:	400

Evaluator Signature and Date: _____

**EVALUATION SCORING SHEET
INTERVIEWS**

Attachment E

**RFP NO. 080-2707703-DB-CONSULTANT SERVICES TO UPDATE THE ORANGE
COUNTY HYDROLOGY MANUAL**

Score: 400 points maximum
Scores ranging from 0 as "Unacceptable", mid-score as "Satisfactory" to maximum points possible as "Excellent".

Respondent: Pacific Advanced Civil Engineering Inc. Evaluator: 3 Date: 8/19/25

Questions	Total Points Possible
<p>Question#1</p> <p>The calibration process is a critical element of the Orange County Hydrology Manual update. Please provide a detailed process the applicant will follow to calibrate the rainfall to runoff response. If hydrologic parameters require changes, how will these be optimized? In addition, how would impacts of urbanization, and effects of retarding basins that were not in the original calibration, be considered?</p>	<p>125 max</p> <p>100</p>
<p>Question #2</p> <p>How would you handle uncertainty and variability in hydrologic data? How would climate change be considered in the calibration effort due to its potential of producing larger storms in the future? Who on the team is qualified to perform statistical analysis and how will statistics be applied to rainfall and/or runoff?</p>	<p>100 max</p> <p>80</p>
<p>Question #3</p> <p>How can resiliency be built into the Orange County Hydrology Manual Update? Please provide at least two suggestions and provide an overview how these may be included into the manual.</p>	<p>75 max</p> <p>65</p>

POP QUESTIONS	
<p>Question #4</p> <p>Describe how your team anticipates managing the Technical Advisory Committee. What safeguards can be put in place so that the TAC doesn't derail the efforts to update the manual?</p>	<p>50 max</p> <p>40</p>
<p>Question #5</p> <p>What are the major challenges you foresee in the manual update. How can the project stay on schedule, task, budget, and resourced adequately? If problems occur, what efforts can</p>	<p>50 max</p> <p>45</p>

be done to get the project back on track? Please also describe the division of labor between the prime consultant and the sub-consultant(s).	
Respondent Total:	330
Maximum Points Possible:	400

Evaluator Signature and Date: _____

**EVALUATION SCORING SHEET
INTERVIEWS**

Attachment E

**RFP NO. 080-2707703-DB-CONSULTANT SERVICES TO UPDATE THE ORANGE
COUNTY HYDROLOGY MANUAL**

Score: 400 points maximum
Scores ranging from 0 as "Unacceptable", mid-score as "Satisfactory" to maximum points possible as "Excellent".

Respondent: AtkinsRéalis USA Inc.

Evaluator: _____

3

Date: _____

8/19/25

Questions	Total Points Possible
<p>Question #1</p> <p>The calibration process is a critical element of the Orange County Hydrology Manual update. Please provide a detailed process the applicant will follow to calibrate the rainfall to runoff response. If hydrologic parameters require changes, how will these be optimized? In addition, how would impacts of urbanization, and effects of retarding basins that were not in the original calibration, be considered?</p>	<p>125 max</p> <p><u>110</u></p>
<p>Question #2</p> <p>How would you handle uncertainty and variability in hydrologic data? How would climate change be considered in the calibration effort due to its potential of producing larger storms in the future? Who on the team is qualified to perform statistical analysis and how will statistics be applied to rainfall and/or runoff?</p>	<p>100 max</p> <p><u>90</u></p>
<p>Question #3</p> <p>How can resiliency be built into the Orange County Hydrology Manual Update? Please provide at least two suggestions and provide an overview how these may be included into the manual.</p>	<p>75 max</p> <p><u>60</u></p>

POP QUESTIONS	
<p>Question #4</p> <p>Describe how your team anticipates managing the Technical Advisory Committee. What safeguards can be put in place so that the TAC doesn't derail the efforts to update the manual?</p>	<p>50 max</p> <p><u>45</u></p>
<p>Question #5</p> <p>What are the major challenges you foresee in the manual update. How can the project stay on schedule, task, budget, and resourced adequately? If problems occur, what efforts can be</p>	<p>50 max</p> <p><u>45</u></p>

done to get the project back on track? Please also describe the division of labor between the prime consultant and the sub-consultant(s).	
Respondent Total:	350
Maximum Points Possible:	400

Evaluator Signature and Date: _____

**EVALUATION SCORING SHEET
INTERVIEWS**

Attachment E

**RFP NO. 080-2707703-DB-CONSULTANT SERVICES TO UPDATE THE ORANGE
COUNTY HYDROLOGY MANUAL**

Score: 400 points maximum
Scores ranging from 0 as “Unacceptable”, mid-score as
“Satisfactory” to maximum points possible as “Excellent”.

Respondent: Pacific Advanced Civil Engineering Inc. **Evaluator:** 4 **Date:** 8/19/25

Questions	Total Points Possible
<p>Question #1</p> <p>The calibration process is a critical element of the Orange County Hydrology Manual update. Please provide a detailed process the applicant will follow to calibrate the rainfall to runoff response. If hydrologic parameters require changes, how will these be optimized? In addition, how would impacts of urbanization, and effects of retarding basins that were not in the original calibration, be considered?</p>	<p>125 max</p> <p>100</p>
<p>Question #2</p> <p>How would you handle uncertainty and variability in hydrologic data? How would climate change be considered in the calibration effort due to its potential of producing larger storms in the future? Who on the team is qualified to perform statistical analysis and how will statistics be applied to rainfall and/or runoff?</p>	<p>100 max</p> <p>75</p>
<p>Question #3</p> <p>How can resiliency be built into the Orange County Hydrology Manual Update? Please provide at least two suggestions and provide an overview how these may be included into the manual.</p>	<p>75 max</p> <p>65</p>

POP QUESTIONS	
<p>Question #4</p> <p>Describe how your team anticipates managing the Technical Advisory Committee. What safeguards can be put in place so that the TAC doesn't derail the efforts to update the manual?</p>	<p>50 max</p> <p>45</p>
<p>Question #5</p> <p>What are the major challenges you foresee in the manual update. How can the project stay on schedule, task, budget, and resourced adequately? If problems occur, what efforts can</p>	<p>50 max</p> <p>35</p>

be done to get the project back on track? Please also describe the division of labor between the prime consultant and the sub-consultant(s).	
Respondent Total:	
Maximum Points Possible:	400

Evaluator Signature and Date: _____

**EVALUATION SCORING SHEET
INTERVIEWS**

Attachment E

**RFP NO. 080-2707703-DB-CONSULTANT SERVICES TO UPDATE THE ORANGE
COUNTY HYDROLOGY MANUAL**

Score: 400 points maximum
Scores ranging from 0 as "Unacceptable", mid-score as "Satisfactory" to maximum points possible as "Excellent".

Respondent: AtkinsRéalis USA Inc. Evaluator: 4 Date: 8/19/25

Questions	Total Points Possible
<p>Question#1</p> <p>The calibration process is a critical element of the Orange County Hydrology Manual update. Please provide a detailed process the applicant will follow to calibrate the rainfall to runoff response. If hydrologic parameters require changes, how will these be optimized? In addition, how would impacts of urbanization, and effects of retarding basins that were not in the original calibration, be considered?</p>	<p>125 max</p> <p>110</p>
<p>Question #2</p> <p>How would you handle uncertainty and variability in hydrologic data? How would climate change be considered in the calibration effort due to its potential of producing larger storms in the future? Who on the team is qualified to perform statistical analysis and how will statistics be applied to rainfall and/or runoff?</p>	<p>100 max</p> <p>90</p>
<p>Question #3</p> <p>How can resiliency be built into the Orange County Hydrology Manual Update? Please provide at least two suggestions and provide an overview how these may be included into the manual.</p>	<p>75 max</p> <p>65</p>

POP QUESTIONS	
<p>Question #4</p> <p>Describe how your team anticipates managing the Technical Advisory Committee. What safeguards can be put in place so that the TAC doesn't derail the efforts to update the manual?</p>	<p>50 max</p> <p>45</p>
<p>Question #5</p> <p>What are the major challenges you foresee in the manual update. How can the project stay on schedule, task, budget, and resourced adequately? If problems occur, what efforts can be</p>	<p>50 max</p> <p>40</p>

done to get the project back on track? Please also describe the division of labor between the prime consultant and the sub-consultant(s).	
Respondent Total:	
Maximum Points Possible:	400

Evaluator Signature and Date: _____

**EVALUATION SCORING SHEET
INTERVIEWS**

Attachment E

**RFP NO. 080-2707703-DB-CONSULTANT SERVICES TO UPDATE THE ORANGE
COUNTY HYDROLOGY MANUAL**

Score: 400 points maximum
Scores ranging from 0 as "Unacceptable", mid-score as "Satisfactory" to maximum points possible as "Excellent".

Respondent: Pacific Advanced Civil Engineering Inc. **Evaluator:** 5 **Date:** _____

Questions	Total Points Possible
<p>Question#1</p> <p>The calibration process is a critical element of the Orange County Hydrology Manual update. Please provide a detailed process the applicant will follow to calibrate the rainfall to runoff response. If hydrologic parameters require changes, how will these be optimized? In addition, how would impacts of urbanization, and effects of retarding basins that were not in the original calibration, be considered?</p>	<p>125 max</p> <p>100</p>
<p>Question #2</p> <p>How would you handle uncertainty and variability in hydrologic data? How would climate change be considered in the calibration effort due to its potential of producing larger storms in the future? Who on the team is qualified to perform statistical analysis and how will statistics be applied to rainfall and/or runoff?</p>	<p>100 max</p> <p>80</p>
<p>Question #3</p> <p>How can resiliency be built into the Orange County Hydrology Manual Update? Please provide at least two suggestions and provide an overview how these may be included into the manual.</p>	<p>75 max</p> <p>50</p>

POP QUESTIONS	
<p>Question #4</p> <p>Describe how your team anticipates managing the Technical Advisory Committee. What safeguards can be put in place so that the TAC doesn't derail the efforts to update the manual?</p>	<p>50 max</p> <p>35</p>
<p>Question #5</p> <p>What are the major challenges you foresee in the manual update. How can the project stay on schedule, task, budget, and resourced adequately? If problems occur, what efforts can</p>	<p>50 max</p> <p>40</p>

be done to get the project back on track? Please also describe the division of labor between the prime consultant and the sub-consultant(s).	
Respondent Total:	305
Maximum Points Possible:	400

Evaluator Signature and Date: _____

**EVALUATION SCORING SHEET
INTERVIEWS**

Attachment E

**RFP NO. 080-2707703-DB-CONSULTANT SERVICES TO UPDATE THE ORANGE
COUNTY HYDROLOGY MANUAL**

Score: 400 points maximum
Scores ranging from 0 as "Unacceptable", mid-score as "Satisfactory" to maximum points possible as "Excellent".

Respondent: AtkinsRéalis USA Inc. **Evaluator:** 5 **Date:** _____

Questions	Total Points Possible
<p>Question #1</p> <p>The calibration process is a critical element of the Orange County Hydrology Manual update. Please provide a detailed process the applicant will follow to calibrate the rainfall to runoff response. If hydrologic parameters require changes, how will these be optimized? In addition, how would impacts of urbanization, and effects of retarding basins that were not in the original calibration, be considered?</p>	<p>125 max</p> <p>80</p>
<p>Question #2</p> <p>How would you handle uncertainty and variability in hydrologic data? How would climate change be considered in the calibration effort due to its potential of producing larger storms in the future? Who on the team is qualified to perform statistical analysis and how will statistics be applied to rainfall and/or runoff?</p>	<p>100 max</p> <p>65</p>
<p>Question #3</p> <p>How can resiliency be built into the Orange County Hydrology Manual Update? Please provide at least two suggestions and provide an overview how these may be included into the manual.</p>	<p>75 max</p> <p>50</p>

POP QUESTIONS	
<p>Question #4</p> <p>Describe how your team anticipates managing the Technical Advisory Committee. What safeguards can be put in place so that the TAC doesn't derail the efforts to update the manual?</p>	<p>50 max</p> <p>40</p>
<p>Question #5</p> <p>What are the major challenges you foresee in the manual update. How can the project stay on schedule, task, budget, and resourced adequately? If problems occur, what efforts can be</p>	<p>50 max</p> <p>35</p>

done to get the project back on track? Please also describe the division of labor between the prime consultant and the sub-consultant(s).	
Respondent Total:	270
Maximum Points Possible:	400

Evaluator Signature and Date: _____