

Evaluation Scoring Sheet
RFP No. 080-2400407-AA

Attachment E

Score: 500 points maximum

Respondent: SULLY-MILLER Evaluator #: 1 Date: 6/23/23

CRITERIA	Total Points
<i><u>I. Technical Proposal Summary Statement</u></i>	<u>150 max.</u> <u>135</u>
<i><u>II. Value Added Enhancements</u></i>	<u>125 max.</u> <u>115</u>
<i><u>III. Schedule</u></i>	<u>100 max.</u> <u>100</u>
<i><u>IV. Key Personnel and Subcontractors</u></i>	<u>75 max.</u> <u>50</u>
<i><u>V. Degree of Compliance with the County Model Contract</u></i>	<u>50 max.</u> <u>50</u>
Respondent Total:	<u>450</u>
Maximum Points Possible:	500

Evaluation Scoring Sheet
RFP No. 080-2400407-AA

Attachment E

Score: 500 points maximum

Respondent: OT/NO CONSTRUCTION Evaluator #: 1 Date: 6/23/23

CRITERIA	Total Points
<i><u>I. Technical Proposal Summary Statement</u></i>	<u>150 max.</u> <u>140</u>
<i><u>II. Value Added Enhancements</u></i>	<u>125 max.</u> <u>125</u>
<i><u>III. Schedule</u></i>	<u>100 max.</u> <u>100</u>
<i><u>IV. Key Personnel and Subcontractors</u></i>	<u>75 max.</u> <u>75</u>
<i><u>V. Degree of Compliance with the County Model Contract</u></i>	<u>50 max.</u> <u>50</u>
Respondent Total:	<u>490</u>
Maximum Points Possible:	500

Evaluation Scoring Sheet
RFP No. 080-2400407-AA

Attachment E

Score: 500 points maximum

Respondent: Sully-Miller Evaluator #: 2 Date: 6-26-23

CRITERIA	Total Points
<u>I. Technical Proposal Summary Statement</u>	<u>150 max.</u> <u>135</u>
<u>II. Value Added Enhancements</u>	<u>125 max.</u> <u>110</u>
<u>III. Schedule</u>	<u>100 max.</u> <u>80</u>
<u>IV. Key Personnel and Subcontractors</u>	<u>75 max.</u> <u>65</u>
<u>V. Degree of Compliance with the County Model Contract</u>	<u>50 max.</u> <u>50</u>
Respondent Total:	<u>440</u>
Maximum Points Possible:	500

Evaluation Scoring Sheet
RFP No. 080-2400407-AA

Attachment E

Score: 500 points maximum

Respondent: ATXO CONST. Evaluator #: 2 Date: 6.26.23

CRITERIA	Total Points
<u>I. Technical Proposal Summary Statement</u>	<u>150 max.</u> <u>145</u>
<u>II. Value Added Enhancements</u>	<u>125 max.</u> <u>120</u>
<u>III. Schedule</u>	<u>100 max.</u> <u>90</u>
<u>IV. Key Personnel and Subcontractors</u>	<u>75 max.</u> <u>70</u>
<u>V. Degree of Compliance with the County Model Contract</u>	<u>50 max.</u> <u>50</u>
Respondent Total:	<u>475</u>
Maximum Points Possible:	500

Evaluation Scoring Sheet
RFP NO. 080-2400407-AA

Score: 500 points maximum

Respondent: DhNo / RJM Evaluator #: 3 Date: 6/27/23

CRITERIA	Total Points
<u>I. Technical Proposal Summary Statement</u>	<u>150 max.</u> <u>150</u>
<u>II. Value Added Enhancements</u>	<u>125 max.</u> <u>125</u>
<u>III. Schedule</u>	<u>100 max.</u> <u>100</u>
<u>IV. Key Personnel and Subcontractors</u>	<u>75 max.</u> <u>65</u>
<u>V. Degree of Compliance with the County Model Contract</u>	<u>50 max.</u> <u>50</u>
Respondent Total:	<u>490</u>
Maximum Points Possible:	500

Evaluation Scoring Sheet
RFP NO. 080-2400407-AA

Score: 500 points maximum

Respondent: Sully - Miller Evaluator #: 3 Date: 6/27/23

CRITERIA	Total Points
<u>I. Technical Proposal Summary Statement</u>	<u>150 max.</u> <u>140</u>
<u>II. Value Added Enhancements</u>	<u>125 max.</u> <u>115</u>
<u>III. Schedule</u>	<u>100 max.</u> <u>100</u>
<u>IV. Key Personnel and Subcontractors</u>	<u>75 max.</u> <u>70</u>
<u>V. Degree of Compliance with the County Model Contract</u>	<u>50 max.</u> <u>50</u>
Respondent Total:	<u>475</u>
Maximum Points Possible:	500

Evaluation Scoring Sheet
RFP No. 080-2400407-AA

Attachment E

Score: 500 points maximum

Respondent: Sully-Miller Evaluator #: 4 Date: 6/27/23

CRITERIA	Total Points
<i>I. <u>Technical Proposal Summary Statement</u></i>	<u>150 max.</u> <u>135</u>
<i>II. <u>Value Added Enhancements</u></i>	<u>125 max.</u> <u>120</u>
<i>III. <u>Schedule</u></i>	<u>100 max.</u> <u>100</u>
<i>IV. <u>Key Personnel and Subcontractors</u></i>	<u>75 max.</u> <u>65</u>
<i>V. <u>Degree of Compliance with the County Model Contract</u></i>	<u>50 max.</u> <u>50</u>
Respondent Total:	<u>470</u>
Maximum Points Possible:	500

Evaluation Scoring Sheet
RFP No. 080-2400407-AA

Attachment E

Score: 500 points maximum

Respondent: Ohno Evaluator #: 4 Date: 6/27/23

CRITERIA	Total Points
<i>I. <u>Technical Proposal Summary Statement</u></i>	<u>150 max.</u> <u>145</u>
<i>II. <u>Value Added Enhancements</u></i>	<u>125 max.</u> <u>125</u>
<i>III. <u>Schedule</u></i>	<u>100 max.</u> <u>100</u>
<i>IV. <u>Key Personnel and Subcontractors</u></i>	<u>75 max.</u> <u>75</u>
<i>V. <u>Degree of Compliance with the County Model Contract</u></i>	<u>50 max.</u> <u>50</u>
Respondent Total:	<u>495</u>
Maximum Points Possible:	500

Evaluation Scoring Sheet
RFP No. 080-2400407-AA

Score: 500 points maximum

Respondent: OHNO Evaluator #: 5 Date: 6/27/23

CRITERIA	Total Points
<i><u>I. Technical Proposal Summary Statement</u></i>	<u>150 max.</u> <u>140</u>
<i><u>II. Value Added Enhancements</u></i>	<u>125 max.</u> <u>120</u>
<i><u>III. Schedule</u></i>	<u>100 max.</u> <u>90</u>
<i><u>IV. Key Personnel and Subcontractors</u></i>	<u>75 max.</u> <u>70</u>
<i><u>V. Degree of Compliance with the County Model Contract</u></i>	<u>50 max.</u> <u>50</u>
Respondent Total:	<u>470</u>
Maximum Points Possible:	<u>500</u>

NMG?

Evaluation Scoring Sheet
RFP NO. 080-2400407-AA

Score: 500 points maximum

Respondent: SULLY MILLER Evaluator #: 5 Date: 6/27/23

CRITERIA	Total Points
<i><u>I. Technical Proposal Summary Statement</u></i>	<u>150 max.</u> <u>150</u>
<i><u>II. Value Added Enhancements</u></i>	<u>125 max.</u> <u>125</u>
<i><u>III. Schedule</u></i>	<u>100 max.</u> <u>90</u>
<i><u>IV. Key Personnel and Subcontractors</u></i>	<u>75 max.</u> <u>70</u>
<i><u>V. Degree of Compliance with the County Model Contract</u></i>	<u>50 max.</u> <u>50</u>
Respondent Total:	<u>485</u>
Maximum Points Possible:	500

Interview Evaluation Scoring Sheet
RFP No. 080-2400407-AA

Attachment E

Score: 400 points maximum

Respondent: OHNO **Evaluator #:** 1 **Date:** 7/13/23

QUESTIONS	Total Points
1. What is the largest cost saving effort have you identified for this project? And why?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>95</u>
2. What Element of Design have you incorporated to reduce the ongoing maintenance operations or extend the useful life of these improvements?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>100</u>
3. The ground water at this site is very high (approximately 3ft), knowing that the water table depth may influence overall design, what actions would you take to confront the ground water issues?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>100</u>
4. What is your experience working with SCE and how have issue been resolved? How has budget and schedule been impacted by your solutions?	<u>75 max.</u> <u>75</u>
5. Pop Question – Drawing from past experiences, what challenges do you foresee with this project and what actions would you take to mitigate those challenges?	<u>25 max.</u> <u>25</u>
Respondent Total:	<u>395</u>
Maximum Points Possible:	400

Interview Evaluation Scoring Sheet
RFP No. 080-2400407-AA

Attachment E

Score: 400 points maximum

Respondent: SULLY-MILLER **Evaluator #:** 1 **Date:** 7/13/23

QUESTIONS	Total Points
1. What is the largest cost saving effort have you identified for this project? And why?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>75</u>
2. What Element of Design have you incorporated to reduce the ongoing maintenance operations or extend the useful life of these improvements?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>70</u>
3. The ground water at this site is very high (approximately 3ft), knowing that the water table depth may influence overall design, what actions would you take to confront the ground water issues?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>70</u>
4. What is your experience working with SCE and how have issue been resolved? How has budget and schedule been impacted by your solutions?	<u>75 max.</u> <u>65</u>
5. Pop Question – Drawing from past experiences, what challenges do you foresee with this project and what actions would you take to mitigate those challenges?	<u>25 max.</u> <u>20</u>
Respondent Total:	<u>300</u>
Maximum Points Possible:	400

Interview Evaluation Scoring Sheet
RFP No. 080-2400407-AA

Attachment E

Score: 400 points maximum

Respondent: OHIO CONSTRUCTION **Evaluator #:** 2 **Date:** 7.13.23

QUESTIONS	Total Points
1. What is the largest cost saving effort have you identified for this project? And why?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>95</u>
2. What Element of Design have you incorporated to reduce the ongoing maintenance operations or extend the useful life of these improvements?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>95</u>
3. The ground water at this site is very high (approximately 3ft), knowing that the water table depth may influence overall design, what actions would you take to confront the ground water issues?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>100</u>
4. What is your experience working with SCE and how have issue been resolved? How has budget and schedule been impacted by your solutions?	<u>75 max.</u> <u>75</u>
5. Pop Question – Drawing from past experiences, what challenges do you foresee with this project and what actions would you take to mitigate those challenges?	<u>25 max.</u> <u>25</u>
Respondent Total:	<u>390</u>
Maximum Points Possible:	400

Interview Evaluation Scoring Sheet
RFP No. 080-2400407-AA

Attachment E

Score: 400 points maximum

Respondent: Sully-Miller **Evaluator #:** 2 **Date:** 7.13.23

QUESTIONS	Total Points
1. What is the largest cost saving effort have you identified for this project? And why?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>75</u>
2. What Element of Design have you incorporated to reduce the ongoing maintenance operations or extend the useful life of these improvements?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>65</u>
3. The ground water at this site is very high (approximately 3ft), knowing that the water table depth may influence overall design, what actions would you take to confront the ground water issues?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>65</u>
4. What is your experience working with SCE and how have issue been resolved? How has budget and schedule been impacted by your solutions?	<u>75 max.</u> <u>60</u>
5. Pop Question – Drawing from past experiences, what challenges do you foresee with this project and what actions would you take to mitigate those challenges?	<u>25 max.</u> <u>20</u>
Respondent Total:	<u>285</u>
Maximum Points Possible:	400

Interview Evaluation Scoring Sheet
RFP No. 080-2400407-AA

Attachment E

Score: 400 points maximum

Respondent: Oh No **Evaluator #:** 3 **Date:** 7/13/23

QUESTIONS	Total Points
1. What is the largest cost saving effort have you identified for this project? And why?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>95</u>
2. What Element of Design have you incorporated to reduce the ongoing maintenance operations or extend the useful life of these improvements?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>100</u>
3. The ground water at this site is very high (approximately 3ft), knowing that the water table depth may influence overall design, what actions would you take to confront the ground water issues?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>100</u>
4. What is your experience working with SCE and how have issue been resolved? How has budget and schedule been impacted by your solutions?	<u>75 max.</u> <u>75</u>
5. Pop Question – Drawing from past experiences, what challenges do you foresee with this project and what actions would you take to mitigate those challenges?	<u>25 max.</u> <u>25</u>
Respondent Total:	<u>395</u>
Maximum Points Possible:	400

Interview Evaluation Scoring Sheet
RFP No. 080-2400407-AA

Attachment E

Score: 400 points maximum

Respondent: Sully Miller **Evaluator #:** 3 **Date:** 7/13/23

QUESTIONS	Total Points
1. What is the largest cost saving effort have you identified for this project? And why?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>75</u>
2. What Element of Design have you incorporated to reduce the ongoing maintenance operations or extend the useful life of these improvements?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>65</u>
3. The ground water at this site is very high (approximately 3ft), knowing that the water table depth may influence overall design, what actions would you take to confront the ground water issues?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>70</u>
4. What is your experience working with SCE and how have issue been resolved? How has budget and schedule been impacted by your solutions?	<u>75 max.</u> <u>65</u>
5. Pop Question – Drawing from past experiences, what challenges do you foresee with this project and what actions would you take to mitigate those challenges?	<u>25 max.</u> <u>20</u>
Respondent Total:	<u>295</u>
Maximum Points Possible:	400

Interview Evaluation Scoring Sheet
RFP No. 080-2400407-AA

Attachment E

Score: 400 points maximum

Respondent: Ohio Construction Evaluator #: 4 Date: 7/13/23

QUESTIONS	Total Points
1. What is the largest cost saving effort have you identified for this project? And why?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>100</u>
2. What Element of Design have you incorporated to reduce the ongoing maintenance operations or extend the useful life of these improvements?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>100</u>
3. The ground water at this site is very high (approximately 3ft), knowing that the water table depth may influence overall design, what actions would you take to confront the ground water issues?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>100</u>
4. What is your experience working with SCE and how have issue been resolved? How has budget and schedule been impacted by your solutions?	<u>75 max.</u> <u>75</u>
5. Pop Question – Drawing from past experiences, what challenges do you foresee with this project and what actions would you take to mitigate those challenges?	<u>25 max.</u> <u>25</u>
Respondent Total:	<u>400</u>
Maximum Points Possible:	400

Interview Evaluation Scoring Sheet
RFP No. 080-2400407-AA

Attachment E

Score: 400 points maximum

Respondent: Sully-Miller **Evaluator #:** 4 **Date:** 7/13/23

QUESTIONS	Total Points
1. What is the largest cost saving effort have you identified for this project? And why?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>75</u>
2. What Element of Design have you incorporated to reduce the ongoing maintenance operations or extend the useful life of these improvements?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>75</u>
3. The ground water at this site is very high (approximately 3ft), knowing that the water table depth may influence overall design, what actions would you take to confront the ground water issues?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>75</u>
4. What is your experience working with SCE and how have issue been resolved? How has budget and schedule been impacted by your solutions?	<u>75 max.</u> <u>60</u>
5. Pop Question – Drawing from past experiences, what challenges do you foresee with this project and what actions would you take to mitigate those challenges?	<u>25 max.</u> <u>20</u>
Respondent Total:	<u>305</u>
Maximum Points Possible:	400

Interview Evaluation Scoring Sheet
RFP No. 080-2400407-AA

Attachment E

Score: 400 points maximum

Respondent: OHNO **Evaluator #:** 5 **Date:** 7/13/23

QUESTIONS	Total Points
1. What is the largest cost saving effort have you identified for this project? And why?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>100</u>
2. What Element of Design have you incorporated to reduce the ongoing maintenance operations or extend the useful life of these improvements?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>100</u>
3. The ground water at this site is very high (approximately 3ft), knowing that the water table depth may influence overall design, what actions would you take to confront the ground water issues?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>100</u>
4. What is your experience working with SCE and how have issue been resolved? How has budget and schedule been impacted by your solutions?	<u>75 max.</u> <u>75</u>
5. Pop Question – Drawing from past experiences, what challenges do you foresee with this project and what actions would you take to mitigate those challenges?	<u>25 max.</u> <u>25</u>
Respondent Total:	<u>400</u>
Maximum Points Possible:	400

Interview Evaluation Scoring Sheet
RFP No. 080-2400407-AA

Attachment E

Score: 400 points maximum

Respondent: SULLY-MILLER **Evaluator #:** 5 **Date:** 7/13/23

QUESTIONS	Total Points
1. What is the largest cost saving effort have you identified for this project? And why?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>70</u>
2. What Element of Design have you incorporated to reduce the ongoing maintenance operations or extend the useful life of these improvements?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>75</u>
3. The ground water at this site is very high (approximately 3ft), knowing that the water table depth may influence overall design, what actions would you take to confront the ground water issues?	<u>100 max.</u> <u>70</u>
4. What is your experience working with SCE and how have issue been resolved? How has budget and schedule been impacted by your solutions?	<u>75 max.</u> <u>65</u>
5. Pop Question – Drawing from past experiences, what challenges do you foresee with this project and what actions would you take to mitigate those challenges?	<u>25 max.</u> <u>20</u>
Respondent Total:	<u>360</u>
Maximum Points Possible:	400