

Attachment A - Final Scoring Summary
RFP #017-202403-MC

Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Proposer: Aetna										Weighted	Rank
Written Criteria	100%	Evaluator #1		Evaluator #2		Evaluator #3		Evaluator #4		Evaluator #5		Score	
PROPOSED COST TO COUNTY (NETWORK DISCOUNTS, ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES (ASO) FEES)	25%	3.5	17.5	4	20	3	15	4	20	4	20	18.5	
ABILITY TO DUPLICATE CURRENT/PROPOSED PLAN DESIGN, INCLUDING ABILITY TO AUTO-ADJUDICATE PLAN PROVISIONS	15%	3	9	3	9	3	9	3	9	4	12	9.6	
NETWORK DISRUPTION FOR WELLWISE AND SHAREWELL PLANS	15%	4	12	4	12	4	12	4	12	4	12	12.0	
HIGH PERFORMANCE NETWORKS (HPN)	5%	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2.8	
ADMINISTRATIVE CAPABILITIES (IMPLEMENTATION PLAN, CUSTOMER SERVICE, CLAIM SYSTEM CAPABILITIES, FINANCIAL ARRANGEMENTS, & CYBERSECURITY)	15%	1.5	4.5	2.5	7.5	2	6	2	6	2.5	7.5	6.3	
PERFORMANCE GUARANTEES	10%	2	4	2	4	1	2	2	4	2	4	3.6	
QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE	5%	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2.8	
RESPONSIVENESS TO RFP INCLUDING EDITS TO MODEL CONTRACT	10%	2	4	2	4	2	4	3	6	3	6	4.8	
Grand Total	100%		55		62.5		54		63		67.5	60.4	
Add 5% to eligible OCLSB	0%		0		0		0		0		0	0.0	
Final Total			55.0		62.5		54.0		63.0		67.5	60.4	3

Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Proposer: Anthem Blue Cross										Weighted	Rank
Written Criteria	100%	Evaluator #1		Evaluator #2		Evaluator #3		Evaluator #4		Evaluator #5		Score	
PROPOSED COST TO COUNTY (NETWORK DISCOUNTS, ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES (ASO) FEES)	25%	4	20	4.5	22.5	4	20	4	20	4.5	22.5	21.0	
ABILITY TO DUPLICATE CURRENT/PROPOSED PLAN DESIGN, INCLUDING ABILITY TO AUTO-ADJUDICATE PLAN PROVISIONS	15%	5	15	4	12	4	12	5	15	5	15	13.8	
NETWORK DISRUPTION FOR WELLWISE AND SHAREWELL PLANS	15%	4	12	5	15	4	12	5	15	5	15	13.8	
HIGH PERFORMANCE NETWORKS (HPN)	5%	3	3	4	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	3.6	
ADMINISTRATIVE CAPABILITIES (IMPLEMENTATION PLAN, CUSTOMER SERVICE, CLAIM SYSTEM CAPABILITIES, FINANCIAL ARRANGEMENTS, & CYBERSECURITY)	15%	3	9	3	9	4	12	4	12	4	12	10.8	
PERFORMANCE GUARANTEES	10%	3	6	3	6	2	4	3	6	3	6	5.6	
QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE	5%	3	3	3	3	3	3	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.2	
RESPONSIVENESS TO RFP INCLUDING EDITS TO MODEL CONTRACT	10%	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	2.0	
Grand Total	100%		70		73.5		68		77.5		80	73.8	
Add 5% to eligible OCLSB	0%											0.0	
Final Total												73.8	2

Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Proposer: Blue Shield of California										Weighted	Rank
Written Criteria	100%	Evaluator #1		Evaluator #2		Evaluator #3		Evaluator #4		Evaluator #5		Score	
PROPOSED COST TO COUNTY (NETWORK DISCOUNTS, ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES (ASO) FEES)	25%	3.5	17.5	3	15	4	20	4	20	3.5	17.5	18.0	
ABILITY TO DUPLICATE CURRENT/PROPOSED PLAN DESIGN, INCLUDING ABILITY TO AUTO-ADJUDICATE PLAN PROVISIONS	15%	5	15	5	15	5	15	5	15	5	15	15.0	
NETWORK DISRUPTION FOR WELLWISE AND SHAREWELL PLANS	15%	4	12	5	15	4	12	5	15	5	15	13.8	
HIGH PERFORMANCE NETWORKS (HPN)	5%	3.5	3.5	4	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	3.7	
ADMINISTRATIVE CAPABILITIES (IMPLEMENTATION PLAN, CUSTOMER SERVICE, CLAIM SYSTEM CAPABILITIES, FINANCIAL ARRANGEMENTS, & CYBERSECURITY)	15%	4	12	5	15	4	12	5	15	5	15	13.8	
PERFORMANCE GUARANTEES	10%	4	8	4	8	4	8	4	8	4	8	8.0	
QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE	5%	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4.8	
RESPONSIVENESS TO RFP INCLUDING EDITS TO MODEL CONTRACT	10%	4	8	5	10	4	8	4	8	4	8	8.4	
Grand Total	100%		80		87		83		90		87.5	85.5	
Add 5% to eligible OCLSB	0%											0.0	
Final Total												85.5	1

Score Range

5 = Excellent; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = Below Average; 1 = Poor; 0 = Unacceptable